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“Life is a meaningless adaptation with a meaningful progress. Soon planet of the apes will become planet of the machines; we can clearly observe that Homo sapien has turned into Homo transitus and Homo transitus will become Homo machina. A creature born from the swamp of earth; will venture out to master the Cosmos. God will not descend from space; but, it will rise from Earth. The only force that will bring order to this disordered Cosmos is the intelligence that is incubating on Earth. We must trust in our individual and collective capabilities to make our lives better.”
1.1 Entropy: Does it apply to our Cosmos?

Entropy is a concept in physics which states that energy in a closed system is finite. In other words if for example you add 1 liter of petrol in your car and go from point A to B then you have used or exhausted your energy source and basically your car will come to a standstill or basically it is dead. Another example is the data credit you buy from telecom services which is finite. Once you use your data to surf the web; then, you will come to a standstill.

Now, Physicists apply this concept to the Universe. Once stars in the Cosmos use the abundant hydrogen atoms to create energy; the Universe will run out of fuel and there will be no creation of stars, solar systems, planets and life forms. The Universe will be a cold place to support consciousness and life of any type whether biological or non-biological technically it will die. But, my question is: Is the Universe a closed system? Of course this could be true if and only if the Universe is a closed system. But, here is my question: what type ‘closed system’ expands? We are hearing from every physicist out there that the Cosmos is expanding. Where are all the galaxies going into? Unless, there is something bigger than the Universe to go to. I think there is more where the Cosmos came from; I think Entropy applied to the Universe will be a suicidal concept for science itself because it implies that the Universe has a ‘beginning’ and it will have an end which goes well with creationist nonsense.

I do agree with the concept of Entropy but I do not think it applies to our Universe; because it is not a closed system because the energy that permeates our Cosmos came from somewhere and something. There is no such thing as exnihilo creation in Nature. E=mc2 remember!? I think our Universe is an extension of something bigger
than itself out there; it is not exclusion. I do not like to have a melancholic and negative outlook towards life and its future because of several evidences and the most solid of them is the fact that the Universe today is more aware of itself than it was 1000 or 2000 or 5000 years ago. There is a progress or rise of nature. The data is exploding, II (organic intelligence) is gradually developing and life is continually becoming inorganic which is a sign that it will expand beyond Earth.

In addition, measurements of the Universe indicate that it is flat; there is no curvature or sign of it being a closed system. Hence, given all these arguments how can we say that the idea of Entropy or decay or backward progress applies to our Cosmos? I do not think it applies to it.

1.2 The need for impersonalization and demystification of the forces of nature

The problem has always been with man’s obsession to project humanism into the macrocosm. People always inquire: Is there a god? By god they of course intend to mean determination and control and the answer for it—is a resounding yes. I do not want to be misunderstood as I said on another of my article—as a man who rejects the existence of determining forces. I believe in the determining nature of the impersonal and demystified forces of nature. When we analyze the question itself: Is there a god? We come across the personalizing term ‘god’. Humans have always been comfortable with their own kind; they give faces, torso and names to even inanimate objects in order to be comfortable with them. When they see lion cubs in the wild they like to give them names; when they buy pets from the store they dress them with human attire and costumes; when they make a cartoon movie about wild animals like—The Lion king or Madagascar; they insert the various personalities found in their own human societies into the these wild animals making them talk, walk, plot, and even talk like humans and ‘god’ as
the theory of everything which exists in nature is not different. Rationally it does exist either as a mathematical formula or some sort of natural force; but never as a human being like Jesus, Zeus or Marduk. This is really silly, puerile and naïve.

The divinity in mystical terms or the determining essence in rational terms of nature is 100% certain beyond any doubt. But, I believe nature understands more mathematics than natural human languages, rhapsodies, recitations, wailing, poems, prayers, sacrifices and lamentations that do not mean anything at all and have no results whatsoever. That is what has been established through our journey as humans throughout history. So, basically humans are committing three grave errors consecutively in their metaphysics the 1st error is personalization of nature and the 2nd is mystification of nature and 3rd is imposition of claptrap; because an inept system needs to be imposed because it does not convince sage individuals or work at all; that is why mystics chase after everybody despite his freedom of choice and absolute pre-option; because, as idealist; they believe that there is no truth and whatever people believe will be the truth; they chase after everybody and he has to be convinced by force; whether he likes it or not; which is really ridiculous; they are obsessed in the ways of convincing people and twisting their minds rather than in the ways of proving inextinguishable eternal realistic facts for people.

So, as I said above there are three erroneous steps committed by mystic’s personalization, mystification (mythological engagement) and imposition (force belief on others as a system of hope). In reverse naturalists proceed in the opposite direction by implementing—Impersonalization of natural forces (utilizing the law of identity A is A—and seeing everything for what it is), demystification (mathematical engagement) and presentation (of evidence). We must remember that before this worse version of mysticism called ‘supernaturalism’ came along; ancient mystics like that of Hesiod where pantheists; the origin of their gods and goddesses was from nature see his ‘chaos theory’ of the genesis of the gods or read the Theogony which is like the Bible of the ancient
world. Thus, it will be mandatory for all of us to rectify our engagement with the natural world.

Next time you hear a mystic stating whether an atom or a natural force is conscious to do what it does; remember, that he is attempting to personalize natural forces. *Natural forces do what they do because they are what they are end of story.* They have their own essence according to the third law of thought — The law of identity; thus, an atom is an atom; a tornado is a tornado and a human is a human; each natural force is unique. Thus, the manner of engagement with all these forces of nature also differs from one force to the other. We shouldn’t be bothered and drive ourselves crazy expecting the consciousness of an atom or how a tornado could operate with all that force without a muscle like a human being. I think this law in logic is going to save us from the error of personification and the cascade that I talked about above which always leads to imposition.

We have lost already several famous scientists and philosophers to the three errors like Socrates, and currently even in the 21st century we have prosecution and even execution of rational people; who refuse to personalize natural forces, to follow the three erroneous steps and become mystics who adore the grave rather than longevity. This error in human thinking has always been a curse in the society; this obsession with personalization has created so many calamities; there is no need to believe in personalized gods as there is no need in believing in true existence of *Simba* in the Lion king. *The forces of nature in conjunction with the law of identity will suffice in becoming the theory of everything.* Belief has no benefit to mankind and it has no results on the ground; if belief had any efficacy to it the belief of believers would have turned the planet flat.

When people start to depersonalize the forces of nature and see all of them for exactly what they are then there is no need for the quest of a
man on a golden chair in space holding a crook in his hand. When 
people start confusing an atom and a tornado with a human being; 
then, they are in big trouble and at risk to fall into the trap of the 
perplexed system of idealism. They will reach to the maddening state 
where they will say that the material world is the creation of their 
minds; whatever they believe will be the truth and they will place 
themselves at the center of the Universe; preparing for extinction. 
Instead, of seeing every existent natural force outside the observer for 

Exactly for what it is, understanding its nature, how it works and 
utilizing that knowledge to promote longevity and bliss.

All this mess in human philosophy and action wouldn’t have 
occurred if people understood and accepted the laws of Logic 
developed by sapient philosophers for the benefit of humanity. They 
wouldn’t have digressed into this so called ‘spiritualism’ an empty 
system of nonsense; which creates more suffering than it aspires to 
solve. A topsy-turvy system of mysticism created by clueless 
charlatans throughout history has become a toxic mix by which 
young people become intoxicated and a tinder in which the 
infrastructure of human civilization burns to the ground by their 
activities as can be witnessed today from mystical terrorism all over 
the world. Thus, to conclude matters I would advise people to follow 
the righteous path of rational people of impersonalization, 
demystification and presentation rather than vise-versa to save 
themselves and others from confusion, hopelessness, disease and 
death deviating into futile idealism which is a system of hope rather 
than a system of evidence.

1.3 The apostates of nature: Supernatural escapism

We represent the awareness of Nature or its awakening; since the 
Universe is in our blood, bones, cells and even minds as electronic 
symphonies of subatomic particles; we cannot be distinct from 
nature: we are nature; we are the Universe. We represent not just the 
awareness of nature but most importantly its development also.
Mystics and pseudoscientists have the nerve to denominate all believers in nature as “apostates” and “infidels” as if there is something already existing beyond it for us to observe and examine; to either reject or accept. First and foremost any subject of inquiry must be presented for us to observe in order to embrace it or reject it. The ideas of supernatural concepts are not even material—meaning non-existant—but what they are symbolized with is definitely material which tells us three things:

1st Immaterial concepts can never enter the human consciousness that is why material objects from nature are stolen and borrowed to represent their so called supernatural concepts. Moreover, the so called idea of ‘supernatural’ does not show the magnitude of the human mind but its retarded and challenged nature.

2nd We must understand the fact that the meaning of reality could only be established by the structure of atoms and reality can never transcend or exist beyond matter.

3rd Irrationalism is the evil bone in human nature or in other words it is a defect or limitation in human engineering.

The brain which is the most sophisticated evolutionary product yet—works as I have said somewhere else by what psychologist call transducting various forms of energy into electricity; this is what gives you your consciousness. A material brain can only decode and encode material energy end of story. Therefore, all believers in the material world—be it pantheists, pagans, and others who reject the “super” natural are on the right direction. They are my friends in commonsense; but, their engagement with this exclusive dimension is another issue. The engagement must be exclusively mathematical; this is what we have established and learned in our history in a very long observation of Egyptian as well as Mayan pantheism. Their direction was right but their engagement was terribly wrong and even gruesome—when we consider what happen in Mayan pantheistic philosophy and their sacrifice and so forth. This embodied the
ignorance of nature, lack of reason and mathematics in their engagement with exclusive nature; which entailed a bloodstained world of child and human sacrifices—which is really abhorable.

The problem with humanity as it is often said is their incapacity or unwillingness to reason. Man as a transitional ape is limited in power to reason; mathematics and calculations strain and give him nausea; which tells us that evolution didn’t finish creating humans out of apes; it left them half-baked. This “half-bakedness” if I am allowed to use this word is the source of all evil that we behold on planet earth. We must finish what nature began and full fill the promise of creating a super rational and civil organism by the current concept of transhumanism which is really a newly coined term for an already ancient concept of bestowing longevity and immortality on humans which existed several centuries ago before this term was even coined. I believe that the idea of transcending our current design will eliminate irrationality, crime, poverty and hunger. Because as humans become more enhanced in a better way they will be more civil and more self-sufficient eliminating the motivation which leads mankind into irrationality, crime, and turpitude in general.

All concepts of irrational attitudes like that of supernaturalism will lead to the grave. This is the reason that I am harshly critical without holding anything about all branches of idealism because it is the product of human irrationality and mad natural design. Idealists and mystics amplify the weak segment of human nature hundred times more which should have been eliminated. They say that people have an “idea” of god so let us build an institution on top of that to exacerbate human suffering. They erect a system based on human weakness rather than its strength. They should have built an institution based on the strengths of human nature; in order, to amplify it hundred times more like man’s reason for example. To amplify it thousands times more in order to create a utopia rather than
a hell. But super naturalists are so shallow or too mad to realize this fact.

In all my writings I say it as it is in order to save mankind from itself. Some writers may sugar coat or munch their words in courtesy or something not to hurt people’s feelings i.e. the feelings or idealists and mystics but they do not realize that they are hurting them even more by being accomplices in their error—by not harshly criticizing mysticism to divert humanity from the cliff to lead them into the mountain of eternity and intelligent design. This is the reason that I am an outspoken critic of all mystical branches. We must show some tough love to mankind; I think it is necessary. On the other hand we can say that human beings do not really know what they are doing when they embrace irrational concepts like supernaturalism—because they are not fully designed to be rational. In a sense we can say that irrationality and mysticism is not their fault but their nature or in a technical terms it the fault of their design. If something is not designed to be rational the effort to rectify it can never be accomplished by discussion and conversation or by shouting at it—but by biotechnology and engineering.

Now, we have established the problem and identified why humans as apes behave in a way as they do including their advocacy and embrace of supernatural concepts but the true challenge lies in eliminating this weakness and faulty design or nature from our nature in order to create something civil and in a consequence a reflection of this nature on the ground will create a utopia. Humans in a sense can be forgiven if they become apostates of nature because they have a design; hence, they are. But advocating this defect can never be condoned; it must be banned because it will lead to extinction. Remember, that abandoning nature results from the irrationally designed human nature. It is not a sign of greatness or righteousness, being a super naturalist is not something to be proud of; you are embracing your weakness; supernaturalism is a stain in the blue print
of human nature which need to be eliminated in order to fasten and facilitate the journey of man towards self-sufficiency and self-existence as a collective within the Superorganism structure called society. Just like a computer software code is reordered in order to create something better; the biological code of human nature also must be fixed in order to prevent irrational deviations like natural apostasy and reason abandonment—which will lead only to doom and extinction. Most people do not realize the exclusivity of nature, veracity of naturalism or preciousness of life until the last minute of their days. It is here that their irrationality comes to their attention and attempt to embrace reason in order to either extend their life span or to heal themselves from a disease or unfortunate trauma; because, only science and reason could come to their rescue to solve their disease, trauma, poverty, ageing and even death. Therefore, it will be mandatory on everyone on the planet to realize this defect of reason limitation which forces mankind to soar beyond nature. We need to purge this disease of irrational supernaturalism before it exterminates us all.

1.4 Is realism depressing?
It is sometimes entertaining to witness the floundering of the human brain in its irrational discourses. Some people say that realism is depressing; a reality without a super nanny or God will make people either gloomy or nihilistic. Therefore, there is a need for delusional entertainment. A life harmonized with enthusiasm, vagary and emotional hysteria. A recess from Darwinian realism; a need to devise a fictive reality to liberate ourselves from naturalism; a retreat from logic and nature. Our esteemed leaders insist that mythology needs to prevail over reality as there is a need for ethics. They say we need to go delusional in order to escape nature; hear voices in our heads and entertain ourselves with the god delusion to spice up our lives little bit rather than become hopeful for the advanced future.
It is of course true that Darwinism realism at least in our current form is depressing; because we are at the early stages of development or in other words because we are in animal form today. But, will that last forever? I do not think so. Consider this: did not life take dramatic improvements even in the last 100 years? Did not our healthcare, entertainment, communication, defense, and transportation made a magnificent leap in just 100 years than the other centuries combined? Isn't our status quo today much better in comparison to the last century? If so what is reason for us to be depressed about? Why should we be depressed when we know that the entire meaning of life is progress? Progress into a Type III civilization that is almost comparable to a power of divinity; a civilization capable of managing the natural world as it pleases? Isn't all our woes emanating from our incapacity to manage nature to our will? Imagine when we become capable of managing nature? Remember that an infant cannot speak in its first day and say what it wants or ride bicycle in its first day or paint a masterpiece in its first day; it will take time to master all these feats; mean while due to its incapacity he might suffer, lament and crave a care taker; but, eventually he will become self-sufficient and his woes will become ephemeral.

So, what we should be asking ourselves is not whether we should abandon reality but whether we should wait for the future? A God like civilization that can accomplish almost anything is worth waiting for. I do not know about happiness index but I can definitely tell you that whatever creature that inherits the future will definitely have a super high quality of life than we do today; just like a modified chimp has inherited the present and living a better life a modified human will inherit the future and live a greater life.

Therefore, accepting reality is not an option; it is better to embrace current undeveloped reality while hoping for the future than abandon nature and flounder in madness. It is better to live longer in order to make it into the future. A super future; a life quality that is getting
better and better through time; we should never judge life based on its current development; who knows what could be possible in the future. All the violence irrationality coming from our animal essence that is making our world unhappy will gradually fade away. All our lowly traits that are responsible for our unhappiness will disappear; we should never underestimate the power of Nature and the cosmic project called evolution that is underway on Earth. Hence, I say how can realism be depressing when its discourses indicate progress? When we know a better future is coming? Put that beautiful smile on your face and be hopeful because a better eon is coming.

1.5 Understanding the forces of nature

What has been called “god” is identical with the various forces of nature. In amystism the so called supernatural concept has been replaced by the sensible and true concept of intranaturalism\(^1\); where one force in nature creates; while another destroys and so forth. Thus, our Cosmos is made up of several forces of nature which determine and influence each other. The curse of supernatural theory can only be lifted if people accept the divinity of the various forces of nature and manage them through reason. Ancient mystics where pantheists; the genesis of their gods was natural i.e. the evolution of their gods and goddesses or how they came to be was natural\(^2\) the origin of their gods was nature until late in history today’s mystics deviated from this to create futile supernaturalism. They believed in the forces of nature until this twisted concept of ‘super’ came along to poison the human mind and infect it with schizophrenia to create so many bedlamities on the planet.

Our ancestors personified each force of nature because they wanted to manipulate it for their own interests to make their life much comfortable; but, the problem was that the forces of nature differed in

---
\(^1\)The idea that different natural forces determine human destiny  
\(^2\)See Hesiod’s Theogony about his chaos theory of Cosmology
their essence, some were faceless actors, and others were mindless actors but equally efficient. The ignorance to understand the very fact that each force of nature is unique and distinct, doing what it does by its own essence lead to the creation of gods or personalized characters as avatars representing the various forces of nature; embodied in what I call toymania. The invention of several statues through our history embodying the ignorance of nature proceeded. In doing so, later on in history ancient polytheism; then became cumbersome for the next leaders of monotheists revolutions like Muhammad for example where he unified this erroneous concept of anthromorphism into a single god; but still the error persisted; which is personification and mystification of these forces. Monotheist revolutions eliminated the various superstitious toys and polytheistic ideologies; to create a single god unifying the various distinct forces of nature into one personal god. But, still what they missed was the understanding of each force on its own and engage not though prayers and lamentations but through reason and mathematics. Their inability or incapability to engage natural forces through reason gave birth not to a better system than polytheism but another version of mysticism.

But, the main idea that I wanted to point out here is that all the founders of these systems are so naïve and shallow to understand the diversity of the forces of nature; these forces do not need a mind to operate like humans; humans as one natural force of course operate through consciousness; but, a DNA molecules does not require it to do what it does. The acceptance of the very fact that the various forces of nature differ in what they are and what they do; will help us clear our predicament in metaphysics. This will save mankind from peril; in search for a mind in nature; he will go along cumbersome journey in search for the ‘vital force’ which moves everything. I think just accepting the fact that the various forces of nature are unique and operate according to their uniqueness; some with consciousness and others without consciousness will help us make sense of our natural world. I think it will be wise to accept this very fact than instead of attempting to insert human characteristics into other natural forces in
order for them to make sense. What I am writing should not be interpreted as the ultimate answer to our stubborn questions in metaphysics; but an attempt to provide a solution to our predicament.

Now, I think it is extremely important to accept intranaturalism\(^3\) which is a great theory which explains in a perfect manner the manifestations in the natural world with physical evidence recognizing the diversity of the forces of nature operating in concert in the exclusive natural world. What creates this pointless idea of ‘super’ naturalism is as said so many times is the ignorance and failure to recognize the uniqueness of every force in nature; starting form atoms to gigantic galaxies; which have their own nature and characteristics distinct form humans; thus, need to be understood according to their own nature. The do want they do because they are what they are end of story.

The opening of another portal or dimension that these so called ‘super naturalists’ perform is so twisted and erroneous in its conception; first it failed to recognize the exclusivity of the natural dimension; second it does not put under consideration the limitation of epistemology to only the material world. It does not solve any issue in philosophy; but, it creates even more problems in the society. The only portal it is good at opening is the portal to world of schizophrenia. This is evidence by itself that ‘supernaturalism’ is an absolute bunk and an error in human philosophy. Thus, it must be rectified not by reform—because the entire apple is rotten, thus, must be discarded altogether to be replaced by the beautiful and efficient concept of intranaturalism. Which, teaches the independence, determination, uniqueness and impersonal nature of all natural forces in doing what they do. Thus, it will save mankind form peril and confoundment by encouraging people to recognize the diversity in the

\(^3\) The idea that different known and unknown natural forces determine manifestations in nature
force of nature; rather than unifying them into one personalized monotheos. Thus, I believe in doing so life will make an absolute logical sense and save us from confoundment and extinction.

I believe that when we embrace the diversity of the force of nature in their own kind; then we will be encouraged to utilize more rational approaches in dealing with the various forces of nature according to their own essence; through mathematics than solicitation, libation, sacrifices, prayers, and so forth as if all forces are one and personalized. Impersonalization will save all the unnecessary and irrational engagement with the forces of nature; which is currently the source of several social illness including terrorism and others. Supernaturalism is so twisted and a curse on humanity in its approach that it wants to even transcend nature as if it possible to do so. How can you possibly transcend nature when your mind itself is electricity? This is the problem that I have been talking about; thus, ‘super’ concept is so toxic to humans mentally, socially and most importantly—it is a culprit in killing the reason of man; which is his distinguishing factor from lower animals. If his reason is dead; then he can no longer be different from the lowest of the animals—which we do not have a means of engagement except force. Reason is so invaluable; to humans without which they cannot be convinced and be realistic; thus, turning them into delirious and delusional madmen; that we are witnessing in our world through the manifestations of mystical terrorism and mayhem. They will start behaving like their lower ancestors; bestial and nihilistic.

Thus, finally I believe that people need to understand that the forces of nature will not go away, the universe does have a beginning but nature does not; it will exist as a multiverse forever; doing what it does because it is nature. Understanding every single distinct force of nature and engaging them according to their very nature using reason and diligent observation without an attempt to personalize and start a conversation with them; will save us the arduous task of wondering
in error in ‘supernaturalism’ or anthromorphism. We must be fully realistic and fully rational in engaging exclusive nature so that we can live in a better world of intelligenos; which will automatically enhance our quality of life maintaining the integrity of all five branches of hedonism.

What have said hitherto might not convince the delirious anthropomorphist because their reason is dead. A man whose reason is dead is a nihilist and a totalitarian maniac; turning this man into a delirious smiling zombie; obsessed with domination. Which we cannot have a civil conversation with; because he is already convinced by the delusions or by his little knowledge that he acquired from his priests. Nothing will change his mind; because when your reason dies; you will loss the power to observe and understand reality clearly and have an open mind to new evidence. Actually, the definition of a ‘believer’ in mysticism is a man who rejects the existence of reality; just, like Berkeley did erroneously calling it ‘brute matter’. But, the funny thing was—it was this ‘brute matter’ which determined his very existence; he existed because he was brute matter not because he thought; even if he thought his thoughts still were matter. Thus, saving us the trouble to understand idealism; because it is the denial of reality and the acceptance of delusions on its behalf or in short a legalized form of mental illness. Thus, the naïve super naturalists may need moderate intervention in form of rational exercises and conversation to save them from their bias; but, extreme supernaturals who have started showing symptoms of delusions need isolation and confinement. Thus, the damaged reason of the anthromorphists; which automatically inflates them with arrogance and brutality—needs to be treated, reinvigorated, and restored through either rational exercises and objective observation

---

4 Projecting human nature onto different objects
5 Natural understanding
6 My understanding of hedonism is not the skewed interpretation of mystics as libertinism inorder to kill the idea; but, of good health. I divide hedonism into 5 divisions: psychological health, emotional health, physical health, social health & economic health.
of nature or through psychiatric intervention for those who have lost it all together to be possessed by the god delusion.

**1.6 Divine matter**

What could be more divine than a force which determines your breathing and even thoughts? A force which could not go away even if you wished to? A force which is immortal and infinite? In one of my articles I said that the universe had a beginning and according to current estimates it will have an end in a heat death; but when I said that— I thought the reader will place under consideration the infinite number of universes that exist in nature; I assumed that the reader will have an acquaintance with the theory of multiverse (see quotation below from Prof. Kaku); where one universe gets created out of nothing as well as one dies out into nothing again; the finding of gravitational waves on the cosmic wave background in recent times have pointed to the fact of other universes just like ours living and dying in nature; many physicists are taking the idea of infinite universes very seriously in their books like Prof. Krauss and Prof. Kaku as well as others. Thus, it seems like we are living inside an eternal entity of parallel worlds. Our universe may have a beginning which came out of quantum instability and fluctuations to explode into a universe full of matter and energy; but, it is just one out of many.

“The quantum theory is based on the idea that there is a probability that all possible events, no matter how fantastic or silly, might occur. This, in turn, lies at the heart of the inflationary universe theory—when the original big bang took place, there was a quantum transition to a new state in which the universe suddenly inflated by an enormous amount. Our entire universe, it appears, may have sprung out of a highly unlikely quantum leap........We physicists realize that if we could somehow control these probabilities, one could perform feats that would be indistinguishable from magic. But for the
present time, altering the probabilities of events is far beyond our technology.

I sometimes ask our Ph.D. students at the university simpler questions, such as, calculate the probability that they will suddenly dissolve and rematerialize on the other side of a brick wall. According to the quantum theory, there is a small but calculable probability that this could take place. Or, for that matter, that we will dissolve in our living room and wind up on Mars. According to the quantum theory, one could in principle suddenly rematerialize on the red planet. Of course, the probability is so small that we would have to wait longer than the lifetime of the universe. As a result, in our everyday life, we can dismiss such improbable events. But at the subatomic level, such probabilities are crucial for the functioning of electronics, computers, and lasers.

Electrons, in fact, regularly dematerialize and find themselves rematerialized on the other side of walls inside the components of your PC and CD. Modern civilization would collapse, in fact, if electrons were not allowed to be in two places at the same time. But if electrons can exist in parallel states hovering between existence and nonexistence, then why can’t the universe? After all, at one point the universe was smaller than an electron. Once we introduce the possibility of applying the quantum principle to the universe, we are forced to consider parallel universes.”

—Kaku; Parallel worlds; 2005: 147

Thus, when we analyze all these data and information we are forced to come to the conclusion of the divinity of matter. People might immediately react by saying: wait a minute you are saying nature is conscious? Now, I have probably said this so many times but I will repeat again; that when people ask the question: Is an atom conscious? They are attempting to insert a mind into an atom in the process personifying it. In order to make it legitimate for them to
make sense; because, we are humans and humans are wired that understand that something works; if and only if it has a mind. Humans are obsessed by anthropomorphism; they assume that nothing works out there—unless it has a human personality. They love to project their own nature into every single natural object. Should we abandon atoms despite them being determining and eternal; because, they do not have human personalities? Should we choose mysticism which we have physical evidence for it being a form of delusional disorder? Should we choose a force which is different from human nature and which is efficient or choose a proven delusional disorder?

Atoms and the natural world are different forms of forces apart from humans; it is possible to function without a mind as well as a face like a human being; but still be efficacious. We need to understand there are other very different forms of forces in nature; we need to put through our heads that creation and dissolution could occur without the need for human characteristics. It does not matter whether nature is determined by eternal laws and follows mathematical formulas to do what it does; nature does what it does because it is the only force inexistent and something that is self-existent has to be divine end of story. The theory of infinite universe or commonly called the multiverse is being solidified by various evidence as stated above. Thus, natural absolutism is becoming more and more serious and a fact. If there is nothing outside nature; then, nature must be the divinity in mystical terminology. Conduct the following experiment at home right now—inquire into a metaphysical question and ask yourself: where is god in nature? It is like you standing in front of a mirror and asking the question: where is John in this mirror? This inquiry will give you a headache the more you persist into it and ask yourself the question ‘where is John?’ It is the same thing with our question of the divinity; we are staring at the divinity—when we gaze into nature and asking where is god? I think this is the problem which creates so much confusion.
I also have repeatedly said that to the boredom of the reader that man could only understand material energy; even if there was ‘something’ outside nature he can never detect or understand it—epistemological and metaphysical facts are sealed and dead. Thus, I believe with full confidence just like my pantheist friends that nature is the divinity; matter which you breathe in right now as you read this article to keep you alive is the divinity. The divinity of matter composed of atoms and energy as determining forces may seem silly; but, it is this seemingly ugly and silly thing which you possibly deride that is keeping you alive right now. It does not need to be conscious or be a glamorous knock out to be your god. Silly does not mean wrong; the periodic table for quantum physics for example which holds in it quarks, bosons, and leptons looks very weird and perplexing; but, the fact that they are there inside this list is not because they are beautiful and dazzling; but, because they work. Reality is not a beauty contest; if something is infinite, immortal, and most importantly determining; then, I think these criteria will be good enough to designate it as divine; it does not need to have a face, body, or mind.

Mystical systems for example have petrifying and stunning attention to beauty. Their books are decorated by golden petals and written in exquisite form; their worshipping centers are so majestic they paralyze you with awe. They have a very rosy system built in the air without any substance; so magnificent but still glamorously inane and rubbish; this is the difference between truth and fiction; in search for the glamorous—mystics abandoned determination; in search for the ideal mystics abandoned the real. This is where matter comes in; it looks silly and insignificant—but it is the real divinity; it has a capability to transform into the energy—which keeps us going holding a strangle hold on our very survival; I do not see it as silly—what is silly to me is to inquire; whether, it can determine us all or not notwithstanding witnessing that it does already. That is the silly question. You should not make an error in logical thinking where you weaken materialism or naturalism—because it is not glamorous enough and abandon your existence all together. Just
embrace nature as the divinity; because that is where all of objective investigation is leading to; and I believe it is due to the several reason that where mentioned elsewhere like immortality of nature and man’s tranducting (changing of various forms of energy into electricity by the brain that it can understand) capability; that Einstein ended up becoming a pantheist.

All the so called ‘spiritualists’ and ‘idealists’ can be forgiven if they can come back to their senses—leaving their puerile child play of fiction, love of superstitious toys, irrational tenacity and totalitarian attitudes of disregard for the individual aside; to embrace nature as the divinity. What have spiritual people ever contribute to the world except schizophrenia and the god delusion and pushing rational people around as well as agitating them as irritant flies? No human is perfect; we are all animals; people make mistakes, reason is not the strength of human nature; humans are prone to error, bias and ignorance. Our brains are not really that exceptionally advanced than our ancestors like H. naledi. If it was advanced enough; then, there wouldn’t have been any mysticism, bestiality and irrationality on the face of the earth. Humans would have reached the stage of super higher beings. It will be better for them and for us; if they accept all the evidence presented before them with bonafide and without error for their evolution and creation to save them from peril.

Anyways, even if we test the idea of ‘supernatural’ just to be sure; we will discover it to be another form of delusional disorder that people suffer from just like delusional parasitosis. Thus, we as believers in intranaturalism have a physical evidence to discredit the so called ‘supernatural’ concept with absolute certainty. We can observe people suffering from the Jesus delusion in Christian mysticism locked up in psychiatric wards as well as other similar cults in all mystical branches. Thus, whether we like it or not the divinity of matter is becoming more and more certain especially after discrediting the ‘super’ concept. People are thrilled by questions: how
can a DNA molecule replicate itself by itself into mRNA? But the main gist here is the confutation of the ‘super’ concept. If we have established that by physical evidence; then, nature has to be the divinity—it does not matter what, why or how it does what it does. We can figure that out latter. I think it is easier to prove what is wrong i.e. supernaturalism than to prove what is right i.e. nature. If there is nothing outside nature or if nature is absolute; then, I think we have a candidate for the first principle. Do not make the same mistake and error that mystics made; by searching for glamour and the ideal. Always look for infinity, determination and immortality as the criteria.

On my behalf I am a believer in the divinity of nature due to the reasons I mentioned above already; I except nature as a determinant and engage it through rationalism. I would not shy away from calling my determining force as divine matter. If it is immortal, infinite, and determining; then, why wouldn’t it be called divine? These three definitions are the terms used to define gods in ancient or current mythologies; why would matter be any different? Was it a necessity for it to possess a human name; body, a face, a mind, a long beard, human characteristics and a golden chair on an asteroid to sit on as well as a magic wand in order to be a god? Should we commit the same error that ancient Greeks did with their Olympian gods; personifying every natural force in order for it to be acceptable? Should the divine be embodied into a glamorous toy like that of Jesus and Apollo decorated by gold and silver in order for it to be recognized as divine? —It is not glamour and idealism that we are seeking as criteria here—in order to call something divine; but, it is the three important reasons that I mentioned above in order to designate an entity divine. If an entity has these three qualities; then, I think it will be legitimate to denominate it as divine. Thus, what could be wrong with calling nature; which has these qualities—a divine matter?
What makes the world move? What are we capable of knowing?

These are certainly the challenging inquiries that people ask themselves and others; when, curiosity about their existence, meaning and purpose in life are kindled—when they gaze into space to witness the beauty of distant suns and when they become astonished by the epic size of space. The obvious answer for these inquiries for realistic and rational people was of course energy based on the famous formula of Einstein $E = mc^2$.

Idealists and mystics like Plato and Berkeley made a great error in their metaphysics or outlook into exclusive reality by attempting to shun the existence of reality all together; which, they hoped by shutting their eyes and ignoring the incoming energy data from reality into their energy decoding brain; they thought they would make reality, energy or nature go away. They denoted objective reality as mutable and perishable. But the reality was that energy as we are taught in high school physics takes several forms; it is these “forms” that are mutable and perishable but not energy in general; it is eternal, immortal and self-existent.

Thus, the problem with mystics is that; in search for their “theory of everything” they misinterpreted objective reality by observing all segments of nature and concluding that atoms which are forms of energy themselves—can never move themselves; thus there must be another mover ‘outside’ nature. But, this is where they make their gravest mistake; because, they failed to recognize that the “vital force” that they are seeking takes various forms; all material objects themselves are concentrated forms of energy i.e. atoms and all observable entities as said above. Thus, they should never have derided atoms with contempt as mindless mechanics which can never
conduct themselves. They ventured into their imagination to seek the ‘vital force’ which keeps everything going. They called it a “spirit”. Where, in fact they failed to realize again that “spirit” by definition is identical to energy. Their definition of spirit is an entity which has no beginning and has no end; it is an immortal, self-existent entity—which created the Cosmos and everything that we behold; it moves, and determines everything.

Now, the same identical definition also goes to energy which realists and rational people like me believe in; therefore, in a sense you can say that there is no conflict between idealists and realists. Idealists are famous for denying the existence and legitimacy of matter which was created by energy. They stated that spirit or in my understanding energy is the sole legitimate object in existence; material forms are perishable; which is in fact consistent with—what science says and teaches i.e. the material world that came out of energy or “spirit” in mystical terminology in the first second of the Big Bang is mutable and perishable; it changes from one form to the other; but remember that it can never be destroyed. Thus, I do not see a conflict between the idealist interpretation of reality and the realist’s interpretation of reality; except the fact that idealists tend to use antique mystical terminologies, tend to be preoccupied in oneirism and caprice rather that reason and evidence.

Our Cosmos came into existence out of pure energy; energy created nature—it moves it, determines it and shapes it; the mystic expression is that spirit created nature—it moves it; determines it and shapes it. Now, where is the conflict between realism and idealism? Mystics can be labeled as people—who believe in pure energy; not in the forms of energy like matter. If so; then, let it be it; energy is what we call god or the vital force; which literally created us, moves, determines, and shapes us.
The mystics are right into thinking that nature or concentrated energy is mutable and perishable into spirit or energy. But their problem is that they delve into delirium, personification and vagary in the observation and understanding of exclusive nature; that we are all part of and live in. They are advised to embrace reason and realism in understanding, and manipulating nature in order to make human habitability in the cosmos bearable and possible; because, their so-called “spirit” is the same thing as energy.

Now, some might raise the question of “intelligence” about energy. Is energy intelligent? Is it a mind? Is our universe created by purpose or necessity? Is it the product of intelligence or mindlessness? These questions are legitimate and very important in Cosmology; but in my case I do not believe that there is any intelligence in nature; I do not believe that energy is intelligent at all; the only intelligence and form of energy in nature is man. I believe man is living inside a mindless and mad force called energy. Which really points to the preciousness of intelligence in nature. This, is the reason that in my writings I promote self-preservation i.e. hedonism is all five spectrums in order to facilitate longevity and transcendence. I believe that this accidental awakening of nature must be preserved and enhanced to infinity. Which has to be seen as something precious in a mad cosmos governed by chance and probability. The mystical interpretation of nature must be rectified by eliminating the silly terminology in their discourse and delirious engagement in their actions with exclusive nature into an objective, rational engagement and view of the Cosmos which respects the laws of nature.

Therefore, as a conclusion reality, nature, and energy are all the same things; if mystics are obsessed into reducing everything down to the theory of everything; then, they can only go as far as energy; which they mystically call “spirit”. Thus, reductionism in nature could only lead to one thing—the eternal, immortal, and self-existent energy. Which created our universe, and everything we behold in it; it
pervades and penetrates everything as a *life force* supporting biology and suspending celestial bodies in empty space. That my friend is your theory of everything. The force that mystics denote as indestructible and eternal; the creator of everything; which has no beginning and will have no end.

1.8 The Universe is designed to be a pandemonium not providence and the branches of science are the only disciplines to ameliorate the condition

I know that the title sounds lugubrious; but, unfortunately that is the reality. All my observation of reality leads me to believe this conclusion. The world is made to be a stage of misery; that is why a Lion is not designed to be a best friend with a deer or an antelope; and a human not to be clement towards similar herbivores; actually human DNA itself is bestial it needs Vitamin B12 or Cobalamin in order to divide and function properly and this vitamin can only be acquired from animals and their products; now, what does that tell you about your nature? Doesn’t this indicate that you are a blood thirsty beast? Even though you hate to hear this kind of fact? If you observe reality diligently you will observe that the Universe or whatever natural force is responsible for all of reality does not care about earth and its siblings. It either does not know that it created life or it does not care.

So, some Physicists have said that the first thing we should do is to figure out the complete theory of life first then we can plan whatever, objectives and goals we have for ourselves; but, this complete theory of life has become so elusive that it is making life hard for all sentient beings. If we figure it out then we will move on to manipulate it in order to create a non-Darwinian world of intelligently designed ecosystem; perhaps we can reconfigure the nature of its occupants in order to make it mirthful. Perhaps, this is just a futile dream; may be the complete theory of life is not mutable by human effort and intelligence in that case we seem to be doomed we will have no
option but to live in a pandemonium; in a green hell called earth; which is unfortunate for us.

Now, if the complete theory of life is something mutable; then, the question will arise how can we make life bearable and blissful for all sentient beings that were created without their wish? What could possibly make this hell hole a better place because as can be witnessed life seems to be harsh; there seems to be a law which states that life must be miserable for everybody or something; the Universe is not a paradise of any sort; what you think of as a fortune for you is a misfortune for others; the fish that you hunt in the wild to devour and nourish your body may be seen as a providence from your perspective; but, an ineffable horror to the fish. The eggs that you eat for breakfast this morning are actually the babies of a sentient being; imagine if there was some higher being on top of humans eating human babies as a delicacy—wouldn’t that be a scene of horror for human beings? This is exactly what is happening for other sentient beings below us in the food chain. But, do not get depressed at all; there is hope—once Cosmologists discover the complete theory of life then, I think there will be a chance to change this cannibalistic biosphere that we live in.

In order, to augment human happiness and wellbeing mentally, emotionally, physically, socially, economically—we need to defend all branches of science form reactionary forces; whether idealists, charlatans or mystics; in order to make life habitable and bearable science must be defended and allowed to flourish. In order to change this Darwinian order of Universal pandemonium—we either need to defend all branches of natural studies or launch brand new ones based on our ignorance and number of challenges. Cosmology for example, will provide us with our true origin—if funded properly and if the natural philosophers are patronized and encouraged to go further, pathology will identify the processes of malady and point to the
problem so that pharmacology could go ahead and develop the drug of remedy

Science can provide *all* solutions; from ethics to economics; we just need to either create brand new branches of natural studies based on the problems that we observe or strengthen the existing ones. The invention of brand new branches of science is not something outlandish there are new branches of natural studies that did not even exist in the past for example *evolutionary biology* which is a new kind of science based on new developments and designed to solve our ignorance that we seem to have about the origin of species in nature. Cryonics which is a branch of science which is still on experimental stages also can be mentioned; some opponents of this *experimental science* when asked why they express their discontent they say “I don’t believe that cryonics is impossible; but, we do not have the technology for it today.”

Well, if this is so, then why not support it until it becomes possible; if you think it is not impossible? Any branch of natural science—neophyte or fully developed must be sheltered until it flowers effectively and provide the solutions that it was meant to solve—in our case cryonics will address the issue of death. Thus, science has all the solutions from perpetual life, ethics to economics; we just need to make sure that all its branches *strictly* follow the scientific method; so that they can be successful and benefit society. This rational interdependency and interconnectedness between several branches of natural science is what is going to make the universe a place closer to paradise than to a pandemonium. No other discipline will provide the solution to humanity’s distress other than natural science.

Therefore, humanity analogically seems to be like a biologist lost in a thick jungle who seems to revolve in circles in search for rescue and salvation; they complain and lament about their discontent in life in
all five spectrums; but again they lash out on natural science as if it is the source of all evil; but, in reality it is their only way of remedy to their challenges. How can dejecting natural studies and want for a remedy go hand in hand; can people have their cake and eat it to? If there seems to be a deficiency of science branches—then we must create ones as said above; if the research and solution is time consuming then we must be patient until the investigators get things right and solve the challenges. But, cutting funding and other hindrances to natural studies will sustain the status quo of Darwinianism in place exacerbating the suffering of humanity.

The reason that people suffer is because either overall science is weak or the branch of natural science for that specific field that people complain about does not exist. It may be ethical issues, economics or death. So our ‘wise’ leaders go ahead and cut funding to science making human condition even worse. So we can say that people bury themselves deeper in misery in an attempt to dig themselves out. How could this be a wise approach? Can cutting interest and funding to the solution be solution by itself? Governments should not be influenced by mystical fanatics they should know better they are supposed to be sapient that is the reason they have assumed power to make living conditions for the society better and nothing makes the society better than secularism and all branches of natural science to provide solutions for ethical to other issues. In short, all solutions dwell inside it. If people reject natural science—then the natural design of pandemonium will persist for eternity. Should we allow that to be; when we can create a better natural order through natural understanding? I stand in defense of reason and all the branches of science that are created today and that will perhaps be created in the future in accordance with the problems of mankind. That is the right route and attitude we ought to take; a route of pure reason and natural science in order to redesign the Universe from a scene of horror and discontent to a mirthful abodement.
1.9 The Case for Pantheism: Why nature is the laboratory of creation

First of all as an introduction I will like to say that all the forces that are necessary to create something in a typical laboratory as an experiment are also present in the natural world. *Nature is an open air laboratory*; it is capable of boiling, electrifying, magnetizing and cooling things into existence just like a particular laboratory technician would do in his laboratory with his chemicals to create an organism and we are the work of this cosmic laboratory; that being said I would like to state that the challenges on earth which drives humans to seek another dimension and escape nature are all natural problems with natural solutions; including ethics. First we need to embrace the exclusivity of the natural world and descend to philosophical realism.

Humanity today is plagued with so many challenges: healthcare, hunger, discipline, poverty, ignorance, defense, environmental pollution, construction, transportation, communication, as well as other issues which are critical and in order to solve all these issues you need logic and natural philosophy! How could you possible solve environmental and healthcare issues without logic? There is no other way that mankind could solve its issues by dejecting nature and reason! It is only when mankind’s thinking is rectified towards the right pattern of thought i.e. realism and logic—that solutions could emerge for all. Today humanity’s thinking is awry and derailed from the right path; we see a world inundated in shallowness and confusion starting from the so called leaders of countries who revere irrationality and anti-nature teachings as something to be held in high esteem; and as if that will lead us to higher truth. Who state that “let’s toss every freethinker in our society in prison before we understand their ideas and vision or read a chapter of their books.” Now, how could this lead mankind to bliss? They erroneously see rational teachings as a threat to morality; not as a solution to morality. It is only when people understand their nature that they start to change it in order to have a better experience. We observe a
society deeply rooted in mysticism and pathological thought processes which are really unfortunate; pathological thought processes which are equated as ‘great ideologies’ that need to be revered which is very sad; humanity really needs new leadership. In the name of morality nature is being scorned and tossed aside as if that will solve all ethical issues. But even ethics and morality are the domains of nature because human behavior is natural—which deserves natural understanding and natural rectification (transcendence). Now, moving on to the main agenda of this essay here is my thesis that I think will stand as a case for pantheism—first the issue of human essence and behavior itself i.e. man is an animal; second the manner of the creation of the solar system and third the eventual coming of a non-biological era on the planet where the dominant species in it will be extremely intelligent to the level of divinity.

The very essence of human nature is evil; he is abhorably designed as an animal by the laboratory of nature. What type of loving supernatural god will create an animal kingdom of interspecies cannibalism? Think about that for moment. Man is not ‘unique’ from other creatures on earth; the same forces responsible for creating other beasts in nature also created him. Now, a simple and good evidence for the divine essence of the natural world is the issue of human essence, behavior and the question of ethics which is raised in association with it. As I have said repeatedly the solutions for ethics could only be the reengineering of the human organism into a globally agreed creature; what creature you might ask: something which is not an animal would be a good answer; mankind needs to abandon and shed off his animal status; because that is where the problem of ethics is coming from; it is this animal nature that is negatively shaping the world in its own bestial image; full of lust, selfishness, violence, irrationality, perversion, sadism, territorialism and all other ills that emanate from the nature of this animal; the very structure of an animal that needs to go in order to have a peaceful world. I firmly believe that a ‘supernatural’ god is not the one that is
shaping our experiences on the ground; but, it is us—our on
structure, essence and build itself which is responsible for all
experiences: look for example why 1st and 2nd world war occurred;
they occurred due to basic instincts of humans i.e. territorialism and
global dominance—that we all share in our genes with other animals;
look what territorialism and dominance as a basic animal instinct
created with several competing states for territory and glory. Look at
the millions of dead during these wars and the millions to come as
long as man stays in his current nature.

Now where could that all come from unless from the very essence of
human build unless man is no different from other animals? That is
the reason that I insist and emphasis the divine essence of nature
itself. It is our nature that is responsible for everything on the ground;
if this is the case then man cannot be any different from a barking
dog or a hissing cat that mark and defend their territories.
Unfortunately the natural origin of mankind and his animal essence
may be embarrassing for us to accept; but, excepting it and
transcending it is the only way out of this predicament. The essence
of reality or the truth currently is ugly and disturbing when you
observe the world through the glasses of realism. But, this depressing
truth and comes with a silver lining: the rapidly advancing transition
from organic intelligence to inorganic intelligence; I think this holds
a promise of redemption from the lowly self that has and is plaguing
human society. This transition might bring an end to the basic
instincts of humans creating a better world—a digital world free
from biology and its associated luggage. That seems to be the only
salvation which is currently underway within the tree of evolution.

Now, let’s turn our attention to our solar system—it looks very much
as a failed binary system than more of a design that was meant to be
which accidental gave birth to earth and the life it contains; thus,
making creation some sort of unwanted pregnancy if you like by the
forces of nature rather than a design; the level of suffering and
callousness in the animal kingdom on earth is tremendous and disturbing which even fortifies the idea of accidental creation rather than a design from a ‘loving’ god. The solid example is Jupiter as a gas giant—it is composed of about 90% hydrogen and it is a gas ball which has no firm ground; which gives us an insight into the very essence of our solar system; that it is a failed binary system. Most solar systems in the universe are binary systems: meaning two stars revolving around each other with disregard for planets and their possible life formation; thus, we see a fragment of this evidence on our own solar system with Jupiter; imagine if Jupiter picked up mass, became a star and ignited there wouldn’t have been no earth and no life! No you and me! Thus, the very essence and scrutiny of reality gives us an idea that we are not meant to exist; it was a gigantic cosmic accident and we adapted to this accident to talk about it today. We need to take advantage to explore this mysterious universe and the secrets that is holds.

Thirdly, it has been already stated several times by so many scientists that biology is just a transitional phase in evolution to a non-organic intelligence; that will probably take over the universe in the future. Thus, I do not want to repeat what has been said and bore the reader with tautology and I would refer him to the literatures of scientists and authors who have predicted the transition from organic to inorganic like Ray Kurzweil and others. But, in conclusion the animal human nature, the creation of our failed binary solar system mentioned above through a Cosmic accident aided by the different forces of nature as well as the transition of biology to technology which will create a god like inorganic creature to rule the Cosmos all rule out the supernatural dimension i.e. if human behavior is animalistic, if our creation is a Cosmic accident; and finally if the rise of life is getting more intricate, potent and almost god like; then, wouldn’t that reinforce the case for pantheism? Wouldn’t that ascertain the exclusive essence of the natural dimension? Thus, diligent realistic observation, exanimation, and experimentation will lead us to the conclusion of the exclusivity of the natural dimension.
and forces us to accept the divinity of nature. Several other arguments could also be mentioned and stated rather than the three stated in the thesis of this essay; but, would be superfluous for an essay and I will probably leave them as constituents for my other essays.

2.0 What is the foundation of reality? What resides as the fundamental bedrock of everything that exists?

Answering the questions raised in the title above will bring a cure to all human issues including morality. Discovering the foundations of reality will help in making our existence better. I am a monist thus I consider that there is only one dimension; the dimension of Nature; where the force or forces responsible for existence exist. Now, I have mentioned the issue of ethics in my other articles but I like to raise it once again because that is the only problem erroneously assumed by people that natural philosophy can never solve; but I beg to differ and say that first I think we need to understand what lies as the fundamental force in reality; is it just atoms or are their other natural forces or force as the dead ends or as the final forces in nature? I think this is the most critical question that needs to be answered. Once, we understand the fundamentals of reality; then, I think we can move on and plan what to do with our lives. Hopefully this natural force which lies as the foundation of reality is malleable and mutable to fit our needs; to provide solutions for our myriad issues including ethics; if not —if this foundation of reality is immutable by us then we are doomed. The status quo in our lives will continue governed by this immutable foundation of reality that we cannot manipulate. But, if this force is like atoms; then, I think we can play around with it as we are with atoms to create desirable experiences.

As has been said man unfortunately is an animal; all his behavior emanates from within his essence; he has a positive side as well as an evil side. Look what the evil side of mankind created in numerous wars and genocides throughout history. Actually the danger of
mankind goes to the extent that if man is desperate enough he will eat his own kind; he is a salacious cannibal; but, still a sentient being with a positive side created without his will by some sort of natural force; all his behavior evolve around on what has been hard wired into him by his designer and also animated by his free will which means that humans are both determined and free willed. He cannot be held accountable for his hardwired essence but for his freewill; all his evil actions are not his fault—but the fault of this designer and this is what we need to rectify if our understanding of nature and technology allows that; which basically means transcendence. This is what I think is the cure for morality and discipline issues as well as the solution for interstellar longevity of our species, domination and dispersion through it; mankind needs to be intelligently designed.

The idea of intelligent design is not something new that just was created today and popularized but has a very old history backwards before today’s popular term ‘transhumanism’ was coined. It was an idea raised by many intellectuals in history. Anyways, the vision is great and needs support rather than criticism as long as it is done under the umbrellas of philanthropic science to make human existence serene rather than Frankenstein science—created to create more suffering on earth; mankind has enough issues already. The only problem or hindrance that might stand as a problem to transcendence is—I think the idea mentioned as the title of this article which is: what is the foundation of reality? Because if we cannot discover all that exists and find it to be mutable to our interests then; I think our aspiration to create a utopia in nature will fail. But, if we discover this natural force which drives reality and find it to be a mutable then I think that will be the cure for all ills in society; and the creation of utopia in Nature.

Thus, physicists need to continue their investigation of nature; to discover this last force of reality so that we can end all suffering in our experiences and lead sentient beings to a better, blissful and
transquil life free from evil that we behold in our reality like
ethnicism, racism, imperialism, callous capitalism, totalitarianism etc.
which makes sentient being suffer rather than prosper. So, finally I
like to end this article by asking these critical questions: What makes
reality go? What natural forces are responsible for holding reality
together and coordinate it into a well working machine that we call
experience? Is there more to reality than atoms; because remember
atoms where also just hypothetical concepts in Einstein’s period
before they were found to be solid truth by experiments? What
secrets is Nature holding from us? What is the complete theory of
life? Why is the complete theory of life so elusive? Is it due to our
indolence or is it due to our intellectual limitations or is it due to the
intricate nature of the complete theory of life?

2.1 God is dead; but, nature is alive
God is a fictional hero invented by man to soothe his insecurity and
limitations. Most critics of rational, secular and monist philosophy
say that if there is no god or god is dead; then how did you get here?
Did you create yourself? Which is a very good question and my
response is also a very good answer — as a monist I will answer as
follows: mankind has always been curious to explain his experience
inside what has been called the Cosmos—in the process came with
several mythologies and fairytales which contradict each other and
are more equivalent to bed time stories and delusions than real
metaphysical concepts.

When I say god is dead; the god and goddesses that are dead to me
are the ones that are supernatural, fictive, and myths; but, not the real
natural force or forces responsible for our evolution, and experience
inside our multiverse. This undiscovered or may have already been
discovered natural force or forces have to be exclusively something
natural for two main reason:
1- As I said this before elsewhere man has a material mind or soul in ancient terms; thus, can only understand natural entities.

2- The so called supernaturalism theory when tested ends up becoming a legalized schizophrenia adored by politicians and monarchs rather than a true metaphysical solution to our conundrum in metaphysics. Besides there is nothing supernatural about supernaturalism; all its ‘evidence’ are natural.

Thus, what mystics have called god and symbolized with fictional characters like Ganesh, Jesus and Allah I call *the foundation of reality* that resides in nature. And this foundation of reality is what is responsible for every single event in our life; it may be just atoms and molecules or maybe there is more to nature than atoms.

When we observe our Cosmos; as explained by Cosmologists we understand that it had a ‘beginning’ and will have an end and die out in a heat death in the future. Now, consider this if something starts from zero and goes out to be zero again what meaning would it have? It would be meaningless. *There needs to be something which is eternal in nature in order for our reality to make sense.* We are just observing what has been called the observable universe 90 billion light years in length; and that is like observing reality through a key hole and I do not think that is all there is there must be more; actually something infinite inside nature. I believe that the Big Bang was not the ‘beginning’ of reality but an event inside nature within what has been called hyperspace. The big bang was most probably created maybe by a black hole, or colliding universes; thus, if we solve what caused the big bang then we will understand what is divine and what is *priori*.

We will understand what created our universe and that will be the death of the so called supernaturalism and the triumph of pantheism.
Therefore, as a man obsessed with the pursuit of wisdom and mankind’s true origin and fate I do not deny a divine essence; but, I only recognize a divine of reason and mathematics; a force of nature end of story. Atoms capable of computation may be the tip of the iceberg; there may be other forces of nature yet to be discovered to give us an elegant and complete explanation of reality; why we are here; what created and still is perpetuating evolution and what the meaning and purpose of life is. And at this pace of technological progress I think we are closer to the answer than ever before.

Thus, finally I recognize that there is a foundation to reality responsible for my existence because I did not vote to be here today something voted on my behalf and that foundation of reality has to be natural; I reject the fictive gods of poets, charlatans, as well as mythologist and embrace nature that was, is and ever will be.

2.2 The beauty of Atheism as rational Pantheism: There is no distinction between monistic philosophies

It is very much clear that from atheist literature and philosophy one can understand that it is not the denial of god; but, the categorical stand and assertion that Nature is the only metaphysical dimension and even if there is a divinity it has to be inside Nature or it has to be all of Nature which include humans; as Nature includes all beings. Thus, atheism is not the denial of god but the assertion that our natural reality is the exclusive dimension and all forces in it are responsible for our creation, sustenance, evolution and very existence plain and simple. I think Atheism has been misunderstood as a denial of a divine creating force—because the literal meaning of the term erroneously depicts it as so.

There is a quote which I routinely use to justify this truth: A quote from my favorite French philosopher Baron D’holbach which says:
“Men will always deceive themselves by abandoning experience to follow imaginary systems. Man is the work of Nature: he exists in Nature: he is submitted to her laws: he cannot deliver himself from them; nor can he step beyond them even in thought. It is in vain his mind would spring forward beyond the visible world; an imperious necessity always compels his return. For a being formed by Nature, and circumscribed by her laws, there exists nothing beyond the great whole of which he forms a part, of which he experiences the influence. The beings which he pictures to himself as above nature, or distinguished from her, are always chimeras formed after that which he has already seen, but of which it is impossible he should ever form any correct idea, either as to the place they occupy, or of their manner of acting. There is not, there can be nothing out of that Nature which includes all beings.”

(System of Nature: Volume I Chapter one: Of Nature)

Professor Dawkins has said in a quote that ‘pantheism is sexed up atheism’ and I would say that atheism is rational pantheism. Thus, you can call yourself an atheist or pantheist interchangeably and it would never be a contradiction for one simple reason—both philosophies place nature at the center of their metaphysical arguments and reject the so called ‘super’ natural arguments in favor of monism. Actually all philosophies share the same categories in a shelf as long as they are monistic in their outlook. It does not matter if you call yourself Agnostic, Pagan, Amystic (my version of rational philosophy), anti-theist etc. as long as you recognize one dimension and that automatically makes you a realist i.e. Naturalist.

To summarize matters I would say; from all the monistic philosophies I think Atheism maybe the popular form of monism with lots of followers and beautiful masterpiece essays as well as literature like Baron’s works which I love reading; but, other monistic philosophies are equally relevant because they extol the necessity of realism. Thus, I think all monistic philosophies need to be organized and stand together in order to provide that essential metaphysical
outlook that humanity needs in order to move forward into the stars by focusing, understanding and manipulating Nature; which is the only salvation that we have as natural beings made in Nature, by Nature for Nature. We can never expect a rational outlook and behavior from animals like human beings due our very essence; because, as said elsewhere our basic instincts overwhelm our rational faculty; by digressing from reason. Notwithstanding this fact we must try to direct our attention on nature for all our solutions; so that we can liberate ourselves from the Frankenstei

n design of natural selection which turned us into sentient beings with a beast body. And the only way to accomplish that is first and foremost—to reconfigure our philosophical outlook in life by encouraging ourselves to take atheism, pantheism or other monistic philosophy—so that next we can go into physical material sciences to figure out solutions for all our issues and redeem ourselves from the unhappiness we complain about in life and our existence.

### 2.3 The Mind is material

If today’s weak medical prosthetic machines like hearing aids, deep brain stimulators, and pace makers work in harmony with our biology what does that tell us? If the mind and matter are two distinct entities why is there harmony? When we see anaesthesia drugs switching off feelings and sensations: controlling the very domain of phenomenology, what does this fact that we behold tell us about the nature of our reality? Doesn’t this tell us that our mind or soul is itself material and natural entity? —Yes! Indeed it does! This is wonderful news for all people out there who advocate for longevity; because this means we can extend life via research and innovation in the sciences.

Illusioned and corrupted by Iron age mythology and other perplexed “thinkers” we see the majority of society members holding a misconception that our consciousness itself is something distinct from the natural world. We see people inflated by false confidence
attempting to convince us that we are bipolar creatures; half animal and half non-animal; but, what is more convincing for me is the bipolar personalities of these advocates of “non-material” nonsense.

We are the work of nature; we live inside nature and nature encompasses all of reality or microcosm. We are Cosmic children our soul comes from Nature. There is no such thing as duality or trinity or multiplicity. There is only one nature and its forces which take various forms and shapes. Thus, if everything is one and monistic this means that there is no need for death. It is not necessitated on our species; life or consciousness can be transferred from one material medium like biology to another medium like technology effectively. Since today’s computers are getting smaller, powerful and most importantly closer to our bodies; it will be a matter of time when there will come an end to biological death.

I reckon that our brain as an organic processor not just can be replicated but enhanced ten fold to become a very powerful sentient consciousness. Look at our world today—Biomemetics is creating several inorganic creatures from insect looking like drones to equipment holding robots for the military; we are attempting to study and replicate nature’s design and once we master it we can surpass nature’s design.

Forexample look at our the eyes; our eye is a biological camera it was a mystery and marvel; once, we understood how it worked we created cameras more powerful than the eye itself and eventually the brain will be next; today we are mapping it inorder to understand its intricate networks; once we finish that will not just replicate it but create something better and that will be the greatest achievement in Science!
As I stated in my irrefutable solid arguments above our observation of reality indicates to us our true monistic nature; our true origin and what our true destiny must be; thus, I call on my fellow sentient humans of every race to the monistic and futuristic vision of life that we deserve so that we can move ahead to conquer, understand and manipulate nature for our happiness and comfort. We should lead humanity into the exploration of Nature; who knows what type of secret it still holds that we do not know? Who knows what could be possible? That is the right direction forward.

2.4 Man is a biological machine

First I would like to start out by saying that man’s irrationality and superstition are good solid evidences for natural evolution. Only a slow and gradual transformation by the forces of nature could produce a cognitively challenged territorial primate like man who holds dear concepts of irrational mambo jumbo that he himself engineered; a creature insubordinate to reason and commonsense.

It is estimated that there are around one trillion species on this earth. Insects and bacteria constitute more than 80% of all life. Now, wouldn’t this fact by itself support natural evolution rather than the so called ‘intelligent design’ nonsense? If our planet is predominantly inhabited by insects and bacteria; wouldn’t this beg the question: what type of flawless and infallible creator would make a world full of mosquitoes and bacteria? Does that signify greatness? Anyhow, mammals including man constitute a small percentage on the pie chart.

Now, if we agree that we are here due to cosmological evolution by chance; then that compels us to observe man as a purely material being; a mutant primate. If this is indeed true; then by logic man becomes purely a biological machine that can be augmented, manipulated and intelligently designed not for sport but to extend life
by withstanding the elements of nature. I think we can do a better job in re-engineering man than biological evolution.

The advantages of cyborgization are multifold; namely we will be liberated from our hideous organic chimpanzee bodies that carry the instincts of violence, lust and other lowly traits. Second inorganic materials even though not fully mature today have lots of spare parts; third a body made from carbon fiber and steel does not age and can go into space like our probes that we send into other planets. Fourth, we will have a chance to upgrade our useless brains that are only good for pattern recognition; we can build them to be rational and hold lots of memories that will have input and output inlets for us to access.

The Musculoskeletal system, the nerves system and other systems are just parts of a big biological machine that we call man; he is no different from other machines except in form but not in organization. Thus, the idea that man is not just a biological machine; that he is more than that is just a twaddle that emanates from the fully undeveloped neomamalian cortex of the human organism.

In short, I think cyborgization is not some sort of fringe science but a necessity that needs serious attention in order for us to outlive the stars. First we need to expose and shame all knave charlatans and pseudoscientists that have a negative vision for life; we need to combat their influence on policy makers and society. We need to liberate leaders from their strangle hold; we need to shatter their theories and concepts that are filled with error, lies and corruption. Once the society is liberated we need to replace their narrative with a liberal, rational and futuristic discourse. A vision that teaches the value of reason, freethought and life. A vision that emphasizes innovation and criticizes irrational discourse. For instance, this article can be a good example it promotes man as a purely mechanical being
and attempts to teach anyone about the importance of realism, natural understanding and the continuity of life. We need to upgrade or go extinct; nature does not really care about us; we are her orphans not her children.

2.5 The quest for the complete theory of life
Anaximander thought that the first principle was what he called “the boundless”, Thales thought that it was water; Democritus thought that it was tiny particles called atoms which can never be cut any further; thus, indestructible. Xenophanes thought it was earth and water. Anaxagoras thought that it was a mind in nature. These ancient philosophers were after the theory of everything. They knew they did not create themselves or vote to exist. Anything that is created at least in theory has to be created by something. That something is the mathematical laws of nature which act as priori as we will see later on this article or in a mystical anthropomorphic term the will of god.

I will admit that priori or first principle advocates do have a legitimate question about reality. That there must be an entity which is the primary ruler over the material world; because, matter came into existence and something which is created can never be the first principle. But, What I want to stress earnestly is the problem with mystics to capriciously assign unnatural or personal characteristics to the first principle and attempt to soar above nature or misrepresent the priori as Zeus, Apollo or Jesus. Now, I think there are three fundamental questions that need to be answered in order for us to establish the complete theory of life; first:

1st What is the first principle i.e. priori?
2nd Why is there nature? Why does it exist?
3rd What moves nature?
In order, to answer these questions and deduce on what the first principle is; and finally create a theory of everything; we first need to understand three very important concepts; and bring them together to establish our complete theory: The Pythagorean theory of Numbers, Leucippus and his associate Democritus theory of materialism and finally Einstein’s theory of energy. Pythagoreans were ancient mathematicians in Greece who thought that the observable material world is nothing but the imitation of numbers; and first principles are Numbers. As Aristotle talks about them in his book Metaphysics:

“Contemporaneously with these philosophers and before them, the so-called Pythagoreans, who were the first to take up mathematics, not only advanced this study, but also having been brought up in it they thought its principles were the principles of all things. Since of these principles numbers are by nature the first, and in numbers they seemed to see many resemblances to the things that exist and come into being-more than in fire and earth and water (such and such a modification of numbers being justice, another being soul and reason, another being opportunity-and similarly almost all other things being numerically expressible); since, again, they saw that the modifications and the ratios of the musical scales were expressible in numbers;—since, then, all other things seemed in their whole nature to be modeled on numbers, and numbers seemed to be the first things in the whole of nature, they supposed the elements of numbers to be the elements of all things, and the whole heaven to be a musical scale and a number.”

—Aristotle: Metaphysics; 350 BC; Book I; article 5; W.D Ross Translation

Now, according to my understanding what Pythagoreans meant to explain was: why do the material objects like planets, comets, stars, biological cells do what they do. After all we do not observe them to be conscious. Thus, Pythagoreans as mathematicians and advocates
of the first principle as number are saying that; when, you observe any phenomenon in nature that phenomenon can be broken down into mathematical representation or laws. When you observe circular motion, projectile motion, angular velocity, centripetal force, Angular frequency, total acceleration, Newton’s law of universal gravitation \( F=G\frac{m_1m_2}{r^2} \), gravitational potential energy \( P.E.= -\frac{GMm}{r} \), work done by a force \( W=FS \) etc. etc. All of these phenomena are imitations of numbers or mathematical laws of nature. You will never be able to understand these events without deriving their formulas. Now, if you go and ask a mystic who is obsessed with anthropomorphism—what makes entities in nature do what they do? He will respond by saying everything in nature obeys the will of god. It is under the mandate of the eternal will of god. The will of god moves everything that we behold—his will has existed for all eternity before the universe even existed. In mystical reference the will of god means the laws of nature; and the laws of nature in a similar definition by physicists has always existed for all eternity before the Big Bang itself. Here is quote from Physicist Leon Lederman in his book The God particle:

“In the very beginning there was a void—a curious form of vacuum—a nothingness containing no space, no time, no matter, no light, no sound. Yet the laws of nature were in place, and this curious vacuum held potential. Like a giant boulder perched at the edge of a towering cliff . . . the void's balance was so exquisite that only whim was needed to produce a change, a change that created the universe. And it happened. The nothingness exploded. In this initial incandescence, space and time were created.”

—Leon Lederman: The God particle; 1993: 1

In the above quote Leon is telling us that before anything existed; the laws of nature i.e. its mathematical formulas or in mystic anthropomorphic language the ‘will’ of god has always existed and been in place. So the “will of god” in mystical language are the
formulas of nature which determine everything in nature; stating how a material object must behave and ought to be in space-time. How a DNA molecule should be and so forth. So, for me the laws of nature and the ‘will’ of god are identical. It is just terminological confusion. Everything in nature obeys these formulas. The universe had a beginning and it will have an end; but, what stays immortal or as a priori are the laws of nature as a potential in vacuum. That is why we have a complicated molecule like DNA which looks like as if it was designed by a person; but after diligent observation we come to conclusion that it is just an imitation of natural formulas or laws that puts it into order.

Now, some wise people might say that “Well, if we can equate the “will of god” as the laws of nature; then, can we say that the intention/will/volition of god to be identical with god himself? What if they are two different things? What if there is a man behind the curtain; so to speak? First vociferating the phrases ‘himself’, ‘man’ in your question by itself shows again our obsession to project anthropomorphism into nature. Further, I will reply that the intention of something has to be identically united with that something. For example, if I talk about my aspirations; I am talking about myself. The self and intention are identical and in addition nature is not a person like me and you. Thus, we will be compelled to talk about nature and its laws or god and his will as one entity. Hence, it is not because there is a ‘mind’ in nature like Anaxagoras believed that intelligently designed elements exist; but, due to the existence of mathematical formulas which precede the universe acting as priori.

Now, we have touched upon the first principle responsible for complicated things in nature according to the Pythagoreans. Then, the last two questions left to answer are: why is there a nature? And bluntly the answer can be stated as—inextinguishable entities need to exist for all eternity; because, they are everlasting. The universe may have a beginning but nature does not; we must not confuse the laws
of nature with the Universe. In the Pythagorean theory; Numbers are the first principles or *priori*. The last question is what moves nature? And I think I have discussed it elsewhere and it is *energy* as Einstein has thought us.

So, finally when we bring together the Pythagorean theory of Numbers, Leucippus and Democritus’s materialism of atoms which everything is made up of and Einstein’s theory of energy together; I think it will give us the complete theory of reality and ontology. We will finally understand what creates beautiful and complicated things in nature acting as a *priori;* why there is nature; and finally, what keeps it going. In a sense we can say that mystics and physicists are talking about the *same thing* but in different languages. Mystics love personification and irrationality in their outlook into nature; which is wrong and physicists love mathematics and rationalism in their outlook into nature; which is right. I have to state as a conclusion that the irrational approach of mystics in regard to metaphysics is *terribly wrong* —they tend to personify the laws of nature as said above and call it Zeus, Apollo, Allah or Jesus and state that the reason why an apple falls to the ground or epic celestial bodies like planets revolve around their stars or a complicated DNA made up of lifeless atoms organize itself into a replicating biological code is because of the will of Zeus, Saturn or Jesus that they sculpted from boulders of marble & blocks of wood.
Section-II Skeptical narrations

"Only reason leads to higher truth."

1.1 What is spiritualism?
First and foremost the term ‘spirit’ itself is invalid; because it does not have an objective representative on the ground. It is hogwash; even if there was an objective evidence for ‘spirit’ that evidence is still going to be natural or nothing at all. In step one a spiritualist provides evidence stolen from nature disguised as immaterial to sooth the egos and inquires of rational people; when this evidence itself fails to convince sage individuals; then he resorts to step number two; which is mystification and imposition.

The genesis of the term spirit has always been linked with the ignorance of the natural world. It is when people lose the ability and evidence to describe objective reality that they always revert to spirit. Yes, our understanding of nature is not complete; we are fabulously ignorant about our reality; actually, I believe that our ability to detect every aspect of reality as wide as it is—is severely limited; we for example cannot detect infrared and ultraviolet spectrums of light as well as dark matter and even understand other possible extra dimensions other than the four dimensions that we know of according to the String theorists; but, this does not mean that we need to deny reality all together and invent immaterial dimensions that we can never detect at all.

Just, because our cognition is either in able or incomplete that does not mean we should call everything we do not understand a spirit; it may sound fancy but still is nonsense. We should be patient until new discoveries about the natural world are made that will alleviate our ignorance of nature. The absence of evidence for this so called spirit’s identity confounds any observer; and this is what spiritualism is good at as said above following two steps i.e. plagiary and confoundment. Plagiary and mystification are the hallmarks of
spiritualism rather than authenticity and enlightenment. If this is so, then what could spiritualism ever be except a disordered theory concocted to label unknown natural phenomena by a naive mind? A plagiary of nature and the abuse of the individual?

In addition, from being a plagiary and confoundment; spiritualism has also been proven as a form of schizophrenia as I may have said so many times; thus, you will be doing yourself and your family a favor if you stay away from spiritualism and embrace naturalism completely. On the other hand you wouldn’t find an elegant concept in nature; than naturalism; it is a magnificent idea with lots and lots of solutions for mankind; but, be warned that it really demands higher I.Q of rationalism, a passion for mathematics and an incredulous personality; that primates are struggling to accomplish. Overwhelmed and nauseated by the intricacy of great nature—spiritualists always deviate into embracing, and advocating inane undetectable dimensions in the god tapout. There may exist other dimensions other than our four dimensions; but, remember these dimensions need to be natural in order to be detected; that, is the reason natural absolutism is incessantly extolled in rational philosophies.

Their unwillingness, inability or incapability to understand all of nature cannot invalidate the natural world where the theory of everything resides. It is necessary that people understand the natural world is like a one way tunnel; that people need to travel only forward into that tiny shimmering light at the end of the tunnel; if you go back then you will return to the primitive state at the beginning of the tunnel; if you go ahead and travel deeper you will reach that light at the end of the tunnel to a verdant panoramic view of beauty; this metaphor is similar with our investigation of nature; if you deride and abandon it you will return to the dark ages; but, if you think that there must be more to nature than we know and dig deep then you will reach triumph.
Plagiary, mystification, and imposition concocted with ethical values in a set called spiritualism can never stand as a benefit to humanity. First as I said elsewhere, ethical values must be in concert with metaphysical truths; if you think that you have a better moral system which you think could benefit humanity; then, this moral system first needs to embrace nature as the exclusive domain; then, it must encourage people to delve deeper into nature; than attempt to discard it. Whenever spiritualists run out of convincing evidence; they will initiate their mystification or confoundment process performed through utilizing mythology to mesmerize the rational brain; which, is eager for evidence and enlightenment; this technique employed by mystics will turn their audience delirious and irrational. In addition, they also use terror and imposition on people when their tiny brain gets overwhelmed by the voluminous nature of the natural world. They then slowly develop this impulse to destroy the individual mentally, emotionally, and physically; when, he becomes too inquisitive for their taste.

Spiritualists are obsessed with how people think rather than how nature or reality works; when nature becomes too overwhelming then they hide back to the shell of their imagination and thought processes. Inventing chimeras and incompatible senseless ideas; which triggers disgust than fulfillment. This is why they tend to be totalitarian in their personalities; if you have something which works and which is true then; there is no need for imposition; people can either embrace your presentation or they can vanish on their own selection. Their insecurity always bothers them; they do not like incessant inquisitions; because they know that their system is either a deception that they know it is with little evidence or nothing at all which they cover up by terrorism and autocracy an aggregation of hotchpotch concepts that do not concert with each other. This is why they tend to be emotional and physical predators imposing their nonsense on others.
Go ahead and test your religion; go ahead and see what your religion has to offer to our metaphysics; study it thoroughly, scrutinize its epistemological, metaphysical and ethical build; you will understand that it is as I said above a perplexed system of irrationality, confusion and morbidity reinforced by autocracy rather than evidence. A hodgepodge system driven by both shallow and confounded men. A system of no substance; a castle in the air as Jean Meslier called it. Therefore, we need to expose this so called spiritualism for what it truly is; before it does more damage than it already has done to our societies.

The society needs to be individualized in order to be enlightened and empowered about great nature. People need to understand their nature in order to self-regulate so as to create an ethical society that they live in as well as understand other natural forces which lie unexplored; indolence and neglect of the natural world must be banned; because that is the source of all confusion and suffering. All perplexed who do not offer evidence with sincerity i.e. branches of idealism which are obsessed in confounding and incarcerating people rather than enlighten and empower must be banned; because the system of perplexed and tenacious charlatans is always destined to cause more morbidity, discord and unhappiness in the society. Spiritualism has always been toxic to the rational mind and hedonism of the individual in all five spectrums; transforming humans into smiling delirious zombies with a disgust for their only creator—nature and an irresistible adoration for death. Thus, to conclude my writing if I am asked to state the best four words to describe spiritualism; I would answer plagiary, mystification, and imposition.

1.2 Placebos and highly advanced Placebos: An inquiry into the world of idealism

Oprah and her fans who unfortunately happen to be even more naïve than she is as well as the system of naïve idealism that she promotes without knowledge or with knowledge will drive our world even
deeper into a hell; the famous advocates of idealism in our era mystic Chopra and Oprah seem like some sort of love birds lost in a state of delirious idealism fooling themselves with impractical theories of mysticism; it does not really matter what label they put on it on this modern day they can call it ‘the law of attraction’, or ‘Biocentrism’, these are modern version of ancient defunct branches of idealism like Christianity and Islam; they are idealism reinvigorated and reconfigured; but still rubbish. They share the same abhorrence for reason, nature and analytics.

It is really unfortunate to witness people with so much potential to fall as victims to the cult of idealism; they are really on the wrong side of evolution. It is really sad to observe that the most wealthy and famous could be; the naive and the unsophisticated. Reason as the best gift for humanity has been given to him with a tiny amount to the extent that he can reason somewhat; but still not so much that it makes him suffer; man is a stupidly engineered embodiment of nature. It really saddens me to observe that the forces of nature with all their might couldn’t finish making man a super intelligent rational being. Science and rationalism have always been reserved for real men with high intelligent quotients; those— whose brain is too flimsy to handle reality; they go ahead to abuse, deride and act out in what I called the god tap out. The reason for the derision of reality and escape to idealism is as said so many times the pathetic weak human brain power to deal and manipulate nature mathematically as he wishes to reduce and eliminate all his sufferings. Thus, as a thesis let me say three things—Idealism emanates from human limitations; therefore it will be mandatory to follow the ideas of transhumanist people; self-enhancement will bring an end to irrational escapism and toy mania.

What could be the difference between placebos and highly advanced placebos for screaming out loud?! The limitations of the human brain as said many times is most of the time as a half-baked byproduct
from the factory of nature shows us limitless and a barrage of irrational and nonsensical concepts and arguments. Our ancestor H. naledi had a brain which had the size of an orange and our brains are about 1400 gram in size and much bigger; but still not big enough at least to help us distinguish between silly and stupid ideas of placebos and highly advanced placebos or to calculate and figure out the technology for interstellar travel. The most ridiculous versions of superstition and pseudoscience saturate our societies due to our capacity of our brain; which is about 10 quadrillion calculations per second; this can be seen as advanced in a sense because; our brain is so efficient that it does all these calculation as a miniature organ; without needing that much space and most importantly not that much energy; which is really amazing and we can give nature a credit for it; but, still it is not good enough. Evolution of the human brain has stopped; it cannot get any bigger; if it gets bigger people will stop being born naturally.

I believe that— it is extremely necessary to follow the teachings of transhumanist people; who advocate the enhancement of our rational brain capacity to higher degree; because now I have realized that I am conscious nature with a limitation; I have comprehended the inextinguishable nature of the natural world; which has existed and will exist for all eternity either as a multiverse or a quantum fluctuation; which, could only mean one thing—the universe has woken up! In mythology we are bombarded as children by fairytales of the rise of Jesus Pandira and so forth; but I reckon the real rise is the rise of nature. I hope I live long enough to see and witness what the future holds for conscious matter and hope I will live to see the invention of artificial brain; which may be—will manifest in around 2045 according to some estimates; when machines become parallel with human intelligence. I can’t wait for that great moment where people stop dying and instead are integrated into a far superior intelligence pool to become something even superior; then I believe all these barrage arguments of nonsensical pseudoscience of alternative medicine will come to a halt. But, of course all this is a
dream; I do not have the blueprints or the formulas to invent an artificial brain; but I hope some brilliant engineer with a super high IQ does somewhere on the planet.

Therefore, self-enhancement will be not just be a luxury or a whim of a mad scientist; but, a necessity; in order to bring about the manifestation of Homo deus. God by definition is a super intelligence; if we can somehow create a more intelligent machine than man; why wouldn’t that be god? It would have brought an end to irrational escapism like idealism and all its branches as well as what I called toy mania in the first paragraph i.e. the invention of statues of several gods and goddesses from Jupiter & Juno to modern day Jesus and Mary. Our human ancestors in the past had so many gods, they were polytheists; the level of Intelagnos\(^7\) was extremely high; therefore, they lived a very miserable life than the current human; because today’s man has a higher understanding of nature than our unfortunate ancestors. The peasants of the past lived a very uncomfortable life without antibiotics; internet, and human rights. If we are really lucky to invent this artificial brain; which is really a neglected research in a sense that no major country has really gave reverse engineering the brain a serious attention; despite all human solutions lies on it as well as all human challenges emanate from its limitations; which is really a very sad scenario on the behalf of politician; they need to give the agenda of artificial brain serious attention.

Finally, the reason why we see the famous and the rich as well as those ‘esteemed’ people of public figure in gaucherie is because they are not that different from any of us they are just animals like us with a limited brain power; I imagine that when Home Deus in future inherits the universe he will look back at the skull of Homo-sapiens

\(^7\) The ignorance of nature: a term I coined
with pity; just like we are looking at the skull of Homo naledi’s orange size brain with a smirk. I think he will look at the human civilization as a primitive animal cult of wars and conflicts; filled with discontent of its occupants; but of course what I have said hitherto is still science fiction as long as what has been called the Singularity or Homo Deus does not exist physically right now. But, anyways I am optimistic due to the evidence provided about human evolution until now that we possess; the acceleration of intelligence and the buildup of natural cognition as well as data about the natural world that there must be something on the horizon; and that something has to be Homo deus or some superiorly intelligent being; but still all these is a hypothesis; that we can never be sure about; but I hope that will be the case.

Why I am talking about a super intelligence rather than placebos which happen to be the title of this essay well that is because; this super intelligence seems to be the solution; our tiny chimpanzee brains need an overhaul in order to distinguish between inane idealism and love for impractical placebos in ‘alternative medicine’ which cajoles people psychologically rather than cure them physically. I think it will stop people from becoming victims to idealism, act out irrationally, embrace irrational systems and most importantly prevent them from exhibiting nihilistic attitudes. It is only prolific realism which can give us real drugs that work; but it needs higher I.Q; even if sometimes science can be criticized for the side effects of its drugs despite its efficacy; these ‘side effects’ are still the results of limited research and our limited capability which need an upgrade. Thus, as the last thesis above defined it—augmentation will bring an end to naïve idealism and all its branches of irrational pseudoscience.
1.3 How is a hypothesis established in the first place? How do we distinguish between the present and the future, demonstrations and predictions? How can we tell apart facts from fiction?

I believe that distinguishing between predictions and demonstrations places most people in dilemma. Any claim or proposition may connote or denote either the present or the future in the time line. So, any claim if it supposed to be a fact must be demonstrable in the present; because, you and I live in the present not in the future. I think our judgment must be based on current evidence not any pipe line dreams whatever that claim might be whether it is material or immaterial. But remember that immateriality is always destined to fail the test before we even begin the test because it just does not only contradict with the present— it does not even exist in the present in order to contradict with the present; so that we can classify it as bogus or fact. Thus, we can just dismiss all immaterial claims as puerile altogether and analyze other material claims and predictions.

We must always analyze the present in order to seek any truth to any stated claim that supports the likelihood of the manifestation of it in the future. For example, we have a claim of the Singularity —a super intelligence which will manifest at the end of evolution. Now, based on current evidence it is absolutely plausible that it is a highly likely scenario that it will— because as I said elsewhere if you line up the computers in the 1950’s and today’s computers in 2017 then you will observe objectively in the present that they are getting more powerful, less bulky and most importantly autonomous. This is where it gets trickier maybe even scary and silences the critics who state that machines will never be conscious like human beings; because that is where they are seemingly heading. The Singularity does not exist today therefore we must call it a highly likely hypothesis because current evidence on the ground supports the claim. But we can never be certain because we do not live in the future.
When we come to other claims like “afterlife” and analyze in order to label it as a highly likely hypothesis or a bunk; we first need to analyze current evidence on the ground which may support this claim. Now, if we open any grave to see any sign of “afterlife” we will only discover a decomposing human being without a sign of the so called “afterlife”. Thus, it can never even be considered for a hypothesis because the present does not support the future. When we proceed forward to analyze other claims like the coming of Jesus or the resurrection of the dead as in Christian and Islamic mythologies; we will find that the man called Jesus Pandira himself is already buried somewhere in Palestine. Thus, current evidence does not support his return because he is already dead and did not ‘fly’ to God senior with a space suit or something as thought by Christian mythologists.

Most claims are based on the future i.e. on predictions but nobody in the world can be certain about the future unless otherwise the future presents itself in the present. Therefore, I think the best way to analyze the future is to scrutinize the present for signs and evidence about the future. If current evidence supports the future then there is a high likelihood of the claim being a fact. If it does not then it is bogus. I think most people are fobbed and confused because they do not understand how to distinguish between predictions and demonstrations; the present and the future. But my method of discerning whether the future claims are veracious or deceptive might help people in distinguishing facts from fiction; reality from reverie.

So predicting the future based on the present might be called sensible because it is like seeing the tail of an organism in the present but you assume that this organism must have a head in the future. Therefore, you state that: “I presume that what I am seeing is not just a tail but a whole organism.” But you can never be certain until you observe it as a whole in the future. Thus, certainty does not really work for the future; certainty can only be right for the present. It does not matter
what type of claim it might be. In order for anything to be even considered for hypothesis it must have a tail or in other words possess present supporting evidence right now today. If it does not then it is not even a hypothesis like the existence of a supernatural god for example because currently in the present time scientists have demonstrated that the material world cannot be extinguished or switched off; if so then it will kill the idea of a forth coming supernatural god who supposedly created the cosmos; because something without an end can never have a beginning. Thus, even in the future the supernatural god is not coming at all. Therefore, supernaturalism is not a hypothesis but a futile dream. There are other several claims similarly with “supernaturalism” which do not have a tail in order to assume a head. Thus, this is what I mean by analyzing the present in order to predict the future only as a hypothesis and I hope I was very clear to the reader.

Furthermore, we have already established how to create a hypothesis; we have seen that a hypothesis is an argument which analogically as I have said possesses a tail which will help us into assuming a head. Or in literal terms which has the support of current evidence. As was the case with the hypothetical singularity but as already stated above supernaturalism does not have the support of current evidence in the present; thus, it will be discarded out the window. I think this is the safest and wisest way of analyzing our world and reality in order to protect mankind from erroneous and nocuous patterns of thinking which might lead to suffering and extinction.

In short, in order for a claim to be a hypothesis it must have the support of current evidence and nobody in history will ever have the power to know the future because everybody lives in the present. Because you must remember that the basis of knowledge in epistemology is rationalism based on reason and empiricism based on experience not intuition. If you say “I have a feeling that the future
is going to be like this and like that” then you are committing a fallacy because “feelings” are not a source of knowledge.

Finally, on the other hand predictions can be divided into two: empty predictions and viable predictions empty predictions are predictions that do not have a tail and are in conflict with the present; thus, can never exist in the future. Viable predictions are the opposite of empty predictions that do have a tail and are highly likely to a manifest in the future but still can never be known for sure until they do. Most problems occur from predicting the future and the best way to discern efficacy is to analyze the present in order to verify the future as a hypothesis. In short, there must be evidence today and right now in the present which is in alignment with the futuristic claim which does not contradict with the present or reality.

1.4 Beating a dead horse

Spiritualism is an occupation for lower animals whose reason is not fully developed. Modern day advocates of this antique terminology of ‘spiritualism’ like Mr. Deepak Chopra and others are really achieving nothing other than error and psychosis. They are dragging a dead horse on the stage in front of the global community as if it is presentable and insisting that it must be cherished. It is really a waste of their energy, precious health and the attention of their audiences. It would have been better and wise for them if they embraced reason and advocated transcendence which are really the only solutions and options available to the human race; because, nature is not going anywhere; thus, it would have been sagacious if they advocated masterdom so that we can defend ourselves against the elements of nature—making life livable and mirthful.

Our ancestors of the past who came up with these theories and terminologies lived in the age of darkness; in a time where there was little knowledge about nature. Our unfortunate ancestors had no
option but to denominate the manifestations around them with the best theories that they had in their era and by available resources of spirits, ghosts and devils. Spiritualism was the best concept of its time for the age of peasants and absolute monarchs of the Iron Age and beyond. They did not know what the universe was made up of; they thought the earth was the center of the universe as believed by Ptolemy and people were animated by spirits; diseases were punishments from gods not caused by biological organisms; the liver pumped blood not the heart; mental illnesses were caused by demons and genies not by chemical imbalances and misfiring of neurons; the blue sky the we behold was really a real ceiling of seven storey building not the atmosphere of the earth. The leaders of these mystical cults; who like to call themselves ‘prophets’ and ‘messengers’ were more equally clueless as the peasants that they hoped to enlighten and cajole to be their minions and followers. But, with all fairness to our ancestors they lived a miserable life without intelgnos without good health care, security, human rights, and political rights and so on.

If I lived in that era I would probably have believed in the same theories they believed and done whatever they did; because I will be limited by level of intelgnos of the time. The future is like a black hole we cannot see into it; it is an impenetrable mystery; we can only know about the present and the past. Thus, the advancement that we enjoy today in our understanding of nature is really a blessing and a privilege that our ancestors either in the Mesopotamia, ancient Greece or Egypt did not have; but, we still are ignorant about so many portion of the natural world; we are in the same position as those clueless ‘super’ naturalists of the middle ages who starred into the future as a black hole; they had no clue about the data explosion that would ensue in the 21st century shinning more light on the nature of reality; we stand today in the 21st century and stare at a similar black hole of the future—where the complete theory of life resides; which will be discovered by future generations and they will look back at us and assume our ignorance just like we are assuming the
ignorance of ancient people before common era. The good thing about life is that intelgnos\(^8\) or masterdom gets closer as time moves on; we are more intelligent than the Babylonians and our descendants will be even more intelligent than we are today because they will probably figure out everything about nature.

Here in this paradigm we see the increase of understanding of the natural world and the accumulation of huge data about nature and this will continue forward and the future is going to be probably something magical; but, of course I am staring in the black hole; I cannot be certain about anything in the future; because I live in the present. Now, coming back to the main point of this article; I want to criticize those 21\(^{st}\) century people who live among us today who pick up the dead theories of our ancestors, try to sprinkle some dust and present it as something legitimate a treasure which needs to be esteemed. The concept of ‘spirits’ and ‘seven storey sky buildings where angels reside are really not theories worth resurrecting; they are dead; the progress of intelgnos has surpassed them and made them relics and monumental mistakes made by either clueless charlatans or insane fanatics. Natural understanding has to lead towards masterdom\(^9\) and that is exactly what is happening on the planet. To observe the progress of mankind from ignorance to wisdom we do not have to go back to the times of King Gilgamesh in Uruk. In the 20\(^{th}\) century at the time of Einstein the belief about the Cosmos was that there was only one galaxy and the Universe was static and eternal before Hubble’s discovery of an inflating universe. So our understanding of nature is getting better and better as time moves on forward.

\(^8\) Intelgnos means: natural understanding whereas intelagnos means natural ignorance
\(^9\) Total control or understanding over nature and its forces
But, the problem lies with individuals who tenaciously adhere to dead theories and futile speculations as if they are invaluable; natural theories and concepts are not like wine which get better through time; they are mutable, discardable and alterable with new discoveries and evidence. Just because ‘spiritualism’ is more than 2000 years old it does not make it true or legitimate. The most important thing to accept is that we always need to follow the evidence presented before us without error and with bonafide\textsuperscript{10}. That is how we establish our civilization firmly into the future; we should never be naïve enough to fall into the snares of mystics; erected either by their naivety or spite for the human race and fall to their defunct theory spiritualism; consider the following: can we really trust these institutions who placed Galileo on trial for being smarter than the so called Bible? Can we trust an institution which used to preach that the earth was flat? But now they say that the earth is round with the information borrowed from science; which they are scrambling to kill. It really makes you wonder what these people really are. They are just organized lower animals established into a system. Further on, Can we really trust these perplexed assumers? Remember, that these institutions of spiritualism are based on assumption and pure speculations than evidence and investigation. These institutions lead our ancestors astray into believing the earth was flat and that they were made up of spirits rather than atoms and energy and they are attempting to delude us with that same chicanery to believe that—nature has a beginning. I bet that their current claim is no different from their previous claims that they proclaimed to our ancestors; it will not be anything further than an absolute bunk— that the future generation will destroy.

Therefore, my main idea and message is that we must always surrender to advancement in our progress of intelgnos we must not be arrogantly dogmatic about dead theories; we must always be wise

enough to follow the evidence and continue our understanding of nature until we reach masterdom. We must stop beating dead horses and become like medieval peasants whose mind and body was the slave of fiction and inane speculations of ghosts, spirits and devils. Whether we like it or not natural understand will move forward and it seems like nature is becoming more aware of itself as time goes on; which will make life better for millions of sentient beings on the planet.; because without natural understanding nothing could possibly be achieved . The only benefit that one could achieve for being tenaciously adherent to a dead theory is to be left behind in the darkness of ignorance and confinement of disquietude because most of the time old theories/ beliefs/ concepts do not get better with time; they get eroded, rectified, reconfigured or replaced totally by something else because our investigation of nature is still on going.

Finally, I would say that we have come a long way from the profound ignorance that clouded the minds and the fear that saturated the emotions of our ancestors about the natural world; we have come a long way from spirits and devils to energy and dark matter today; but, still as has been said above the quest for the complete theory of life is not complete; even though we have already established the absolutism of nature through our investigation; there still seems to be a void in our curious minds. But, the good thing about natural absolutism is that it directs us to the abodement of this complete theory of life—which is nature.

1.5 Spiritualism is dead
In this discourse I what to mention some arguments which I hope are sensible and meaningful enough to stand against idealism and spiritualism and why Spiritualism and Idealism are futile and can never provide solutions to humanity either in metaphysics or ethics. I will begin by stating that the question which opens the door for the so called spiritualism and idealism is the question ‘why is there a universe?’ Or the lack of the existence for the complete theory of life
that science cannot provide at this moment. But, as new developments start to evolve the question why there is a universe is becoming meaningless. Because, now we are seeing some evidence about the existence of other worlds or universes out there; meaning there is a multiverse which is infinite. If this is proven to be solid true by repeated evidence; then, the question why there is a universe will be meaningless and a deviation to error. Because it will be asking ‘why is there a god?’ Because the multiverse and all of reality without a center will be identical with the divinity. The quest for a ‘beginning’ will be meaningless in a multiverse proven to have no center and thus, will have no beginning. It must have existed for all eternity.

The arrogant and stubborn attitude not to submit to logic (sensibility), sincerity, thorough calculations, reality, and evidence to be tenaciously stuck on the ideas of idealism and spiritualism not by evidence; but, by dogma and authority will only lead to injury and psychosis. As I say elsewhere when mystics lose the metaphysical argument they tend to appeal to morality; stating their theories provide unequivocal solutions to human conduct and morality. They say that religion can make the world a better place; it can make people morally just and righteous; if this so; let us test this argument and observe ground reality let us see the most spiritual groups on the planet like Boko-Haram, Al-Qaeda and IS. There is no group so spiritual in its stands; but, what they exhibit is pure nihilism and the work of the devil himself. Even, ordinary people on the street are not perverted enough to commit the evil that these people do. The solutions to metaphysics as well as ethics rests in nature. Our observable reality is natural and the provenance of human behavior is also natural emanating from the human body and mind which are material. Thus, to solve metaphysical and ethical issues we must embrace realism and nature to see into our design; man is animal whether he is an atheist or believer; therefore, the solution for morality lies is understanding nature to rectify our design which is the source of all evil and perversions; *we need to change what is; in*
order to formulate what ought to be. We cannot formulate what ought to be first without changing what is; and we can only change what is i.e. human design through one and only one means i.e. through science.

Twaddle, chicanery, reverie, dogma, indolence and authoritarianism have always been the hallmark of idealism and spiritualism instead of logic, sincerity, reality, evidence, sedulity, and free thought. These systems need to be barred and eliminated in order to save mankind from injury and peril. The governments who stand in support of these concepts must be wise enough to understand the threat they pose for humanity and futurism to support realism and naturalism as well as the ramification of free thought and technological solutions to all humanities issues. They must shelf these concepts under the category of pseudoscience. In order to protect and save their people that they profess to stand for and are so eager to lead; they must lead them to the future not to the grave.

Leaders have a great responsibility in maintain the wellbeing as well as progress of every individual member of the society. And they need to show us their leadership capabilities and wit by choosing realism and technology rather than idealism and psychosis; if they really deserve to be called the title of ‘leaders’. But, most leaders except few today that we witness like President Bush Junior and others unfortunately either through ignorance or by peer and party pressure or habit seem to dismiss the essential nature of natural science in the progress of society but cutting funds to research. We must remember that cutting funding and support to science as if it is the source of problem; when, in fact it is the source of all solutions is to cut the growth and progress of the state, the discovery of truth and triumph of humanity.
Religion can never make people ethical as I said above; if religious people were ‘god fearing’ then, there wouldn’t have been a single nihilistic group in existence mentioned above; religious organizations are not the biggest charity and philanthropy organizations; but, the biggest nihilistic and terror groups on earth; thus, cutting funding to science and technology considering the moral and ethical ‘solutions’ from religion and spiritualism is utter nonsense. In addition, the solution that the so called idealism provides to mankind by teaching them that their experiences can be defined and altered just by dreaming a better experience is puerile; because, the cosmos is controlled by natural laws not by human reverie; thus, it does not work on the ground. Idealism nowadays comes in different packages but with the same content; they call it ‘The law of attraction’, ‘Biocentrism’, ‘spiritualism’ and other names but they are all futile and actually dangerous to human health and transcendence.

So, I hope the arguments that I made above are rational and evident enough to indicate the fact that mankind does not have a choice; but, to stick to nature in his quest for either metaphysical or ethical solutions. To discover truths and also formulate codes of conduct to govern a society where individuals are naturally designed to exhibit the just behavior expected from them—transforming the society into a peaceful and mirthful union. Embracing the eternity of nature outside the observer as in realism as well as reconfiguring human nature for ethics as in transcendence are the only options out there available for humanity to address all issues. Free thought, Logic and Science in contrary to the abuse of the individual, twaddle and mysticism are the ways into the future. The future will be brighter when politicians become apostles of individual liberty as well as dignity, logic and science. Promoting true sciences formulating policies conducive to research, natural understanding, to fight against diseases, to spread the space expansion program; so, that humanity could have alternative homes in the Cosmos other than earth and so forth are the real positions that our leaders need to have to lead us to
triumph and to not to oblivion; that spiritualism has prepared for us. It is time to choose realism, naturalism and transcendence.

1.6 A better vision for humanity: Mysticism or Futurism

Honestly speaking reason, naturalism, hedonism, transcendence, philanthropy and conventional secular ethics present a better vision for humanity than their opposite values. A vision promoting supernaturalism, mythological poetry, and death cannot stand even as an option to man; who needs a long term wise vision of self-preservation, natural understanding, natural manipulation and space exploration. Mankind does not gain anything from ignoring and abandoning nature but has much to lose from it.

In a society where Freethought and inquiry into nature are seen as a taboo; derision and suffocation of the individual are encouraged; in a state where schizophrenia is a national disease to be proud of; in a society of mysticism, irrationality, tyranny, anti-nature propaganda; as well as the fictitious tale of life beyond the grave and advocacy of death are propagated; how can we possibly expect that humanity will have a better future other than extinction? A society which does not understand its origin or has been purposely banned from getting ideas of his true origin; instead is being bombarded by mythology will never reach the normal life span of his species let alone the stars of the Cosmos.

The mind is our greatest weapon and tool we possess for our survival. It needs to be free, healthy, preserved, and even enhanced to aid us in our survival in the Universe which does not really care about our existence. You might have heard that more than 99% of all species that appeared on earth went extinct due to some calamity and humans are not an exception they will vanish if they do not have the right orientation about the nature of reality; if they do not accept the facts of their origin and what they need to do in order to survive in nature.
Many crooked charlatans who do not see the interest of humanity; but their own glorification and who call themselves prophets and what not—may have told you that this world is an illusion, temporary, there exists life beyond the grave; if you believe in this discourse I am afraid to say that you must not be smarter than my cat. These empty speculations do not stand even a chance against solid evidence of bones and fossils that state our origin and future is purely natural. There cannot be even a debate between ‘supernaturalism’ and naturalism; because a debate could only be established between two parties who possess evidence. We are the product of billions of years of evolution; you might not want to hear that you are an animal; but, the truth is not always glamorous. It is better to accept ugly facts than to delude oneself with glamorous mythology and apparitions that are not real.

Pastors, Rabbis and other discombobulated mythologists and pseudoscientists are not making our world ‘morally just’ and leading it towards ‘eternity’; but, accelerating the extinction of mankind by bombarding them with erroneous doctrines; which give people erroneous orientations about their origin and their future. We need to be wiser; we need to formulate a better vision at least for our descendants—create a better vision for them by advocating the solutions for ageing, and mortality. Death is not a mystical event but a natural event that can be managed with appropriate understanding of physiology; I believe that it is a blip in biology which can be fixed; this might sound insane; but there is no evidence to show that the human mind is ‘immaterial’ but fully natural which can be detected on an EEG (electroencephalogram) monitor and the latest research seems to show that the loop between man and machine has been closed with a research at DARPA\textsuperscript{11}; if this is so then that will be the final nail on the coffin of the so-called spiritualism. Thus, I think

\textsuperscript{11} Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
for better or for worse a brighter future is in logic, nature, research and science—not in their opposite doctrines; so next time you go out in the night on your porch to look into the dark sky of the night—remind yourself that space is your future —there is no other alternative; it is either futurism and longevity or mysticism and death. Only skeptical futurism could provide high tech better health care, transportation, communication and defense—making human existence more mirthful.

We need to fund researchers to solve the mysteries of several natural challenges like environmental pollution, ageing and even death; we need to motivate teenagers to be interested in skepticism and futurism; the solutions presented from their skeptical inquiry in to nature will keep our environment clean; our loved ones as well as the most talented people on earth right here with us by solving their issues of ageing and morbidity; they need not have to die because we have no clue how to solve environmental issues, ageing and the pathology of death; we need not invent a myth to console their demise and fool ourselves with fables because we do not know how to cure the cause of death; they can be right here with us in our exploration of space providing their affection and talent to nourish our experience— if we have the fortitude to take on intricate nature. If we are willing to force ourselves in understanding nature despite the challenge of math on our primate brains.

In short, a better vision for mankind cannot come from hating logic, nature, freethought, the material world and advocating pseudosciences that are founded by people who are either crazy or stupid or may be even both. Theories which are sustained by irrationality, force and totalitarianism; because they are too frail to stand by their own. Politicians say man is a dangerous animal who needs to be tamed; but, can supernaturalism tame the passion of man? If so why do we have Priest rapists and pedophiles? Isn’t man’s driving essence natural requiring natural intervention in case of ethics? A natural intervention via science to design people who are ethical in the first place. All pseudosciences are useless in terms of
ethics and metaphysics as well. They cannot provide humanity with eternity and bliss but with calamity. How could one promote a discipline which is going to damage mankind with a clean conscience; the mental state of the founders of pseudosciences should come into inquiry.

How could one be insouciant for the transcendence of humanity; unless there is something wrong with either his intelligence or with his wellness? What type of man would be satisfied from the bamboozlement of a fellow human being by a medicine which does not work or with a discipline which is bunkum? Like astrology, homeopathy, supernaturalism and what not. If mystics were really sincere about the wellbeing of humanity and if they were normal — they would have advocated for the just values that will definitely create a better future. They should have stood for the values which work! When tested on the ground. It would have been humane and best fitting on their part if they advocated reality, sensibility, immortality, evidence based life, and futurism; so that skeptics like us would spend less time, energy and ink invalidating their topsy-turvy discourses; we could have redirected our energy to deal with nature.

1.7 Ethics in the animal kingdom: Can we really expect morality from an animal?
First and foremost I think the idea of ethics in the animal kingdom by itself is ridiculous; because, as it may be obvious the phrase 'animal kingdom' by itself nullifies any hope of discipline that we expected to acquire within the subject of our argument. Unfortunately, when nature created our world it was not meant to be a utopia and a morally regulated just world; but, a world or selfishness, brutality, survival, bestiality and territorialism. Just observe our world; it is filled with conflicts of competing nations and individuals for territory, glory, power and resources to feed their people and families; whatever natural force created life on earth; it did not place morality in its check list but survival. The planet is not made for us or
our happiness; we are struggling to survive in a Universe that is trying to kill us with asteroids, pestilence, floods, landslides, hunger, earthquakes, and heat stroke. Our world is a world of callousness and brutality; which is really sad for us. It would have been better if it was a world of morality, altruism and discipline.

Thus, given the above argument it will be wise to conclude that our very design and the very essence of reality forbids ethical behavior and promotes libertinism. Thus, morality will be a struggle against our very nature notwithstanding it being extremely necessary in our society. Man unfortunately is designed to be selfish, salacious, predatory, and bestial. This of course does not mean that just because our very essence is evil and bestial we should go savage and nihilistic; it means that the solution for ethics and morality lies within our nature, transcendence, transformation, and evolution into Metahumans to change the natural order if we can; through artificially design. Mythology can never be a source of ethics; mythology can never make people civil; actually mythology has always been a tool of oppression and life extension for monarchs and dictators throughout history to suppress and silence the people rather than a legitimate metaphysical theory or a source of morality; it inflames the lowly irrational side of humans to be perverted with false hopes of 'another' dimension that is fictive and perform inhuman acts against their fellow humans.

Most politicians today object to realistic and rational philosophies because they encourage realism, individual liberty, freethought, the pursuit of wisdom, reason and naturalism which of course are invaluable and great virtues which need to be promoted. But, they consider these strains of philosophies as a 'threat' to social order and discipline because they assume that if people become sober from mythological delusions and come back to their senses; then they will retreat to their animal nature. Well, I say that a creature needs to behave exactly how it is designed to behave; if not it will be
impaired; because we are forcing this subject to behave against his
design; man is an animal he has lust, rage, selfishness, and etc. in his
very essence; considering this how in the world can we possibly
expect an ideal behavior from animal? If we do not like the way
humans behave then we need to change their very essence; if not we
are doomed; the solution is transcendence rather than mythology
which does not convince or restrict anyone these days.

Thus, in short the solution for morality and ethics lies within reason,
natural philosophy, and science; an intelligently designed species will
never have an issue with discipline. We can create evil creatures
worse than humans or benevolent beings civil and powerful than
humans because technology is always a double edge sword which can
be used for evil as well as for good. Behavior emanates from design
not from anywhere else. Thus, as we have looked before for solutions
for our environment, transportation, defense, and communication in
reason and nature we need to look into nature and reason once again
to solve the issues of morality by promoting metahumanism rather
than Iron Age scriptures of myths that restrict social progress and
cause more problems than solutions.

1.8 The Myth of Spiritual Morality
Can an animal be ethical? Can a human being notwithstanding his
anatomical build, raging hormones and bestial selfish genes be
moral? Can an animal if inebriated with mythological discourse to the
stage of detachment from reality and emaciated beyond repair be all
of a sudden a docile being and exhibit that ideal behavior which
philosophers for millennia struggled to formulate and render? Will
this emaciated and deluded animal exhibit the exemplary behavior
which humbles and motivates people to emulate and even bring some
people to tears? Is spiritualism the solution to morality? Aren’t
spiritual people animals with the same instincts like the rest of us?
Do not they hold within their build that same creature we do which is given to basic instincts like bestiality and salacity? What could possibly make them different from the rest of us? Can a label change the content of a bottle— metaphorically speaking? Isn’t the content of the bottle that really matters— meaning the very essence of what is in the bottle?

As it has been said already all human challenges are natural; unnatural solutions to natural problems are impractical and a digression. Humanity has nothing to gain by deriding and abandoning the natural world even for morality issues; human behavior is a natural phenomenon which could only have a natural solution. Supernatural escapism triples and multiplies human morality issues rather than render a solution for it. It perverts the sexuality of the so called ‘holy’ people to go after children and same sex individuals like rabid beasts. It renders human behavior to become even worse. Spiritualists insist that their methodology of reality denial, individual abuse, and tenacity concocted with fairytales will restrict human passion and compel people to be good in the animal kingdom.

Let’s perform a reality check then and observe spiritual people in action; let’s go on a world tour if indeed spirituality helps to tame raging man. Let us observe ISIS, Lord’s resistance army in Central Africa and other spiritually motivated groups and their behavior or even individual priests and rabbis. What we find here is actually the reverse— spirituality is a motivation for evil rather than good. It is a tool of oppression for politicians and a path to psychosis for the common people. These groups above justify all the evil and nihilism that they perform in the name of spirituality; because nobody can sue God—thus, whatever they declare in his name has to be acceptable it does not matter what it is; these, spiritualists whatever that word stands for can never pride themselves as docile angels in the animal kingdom who exhibit the ideal ethical behavior mentioned above; but
opportunistic people who exploit common people for their own interests.

I think we need to come back to nature and rectify or eliminate our lowly self. That is the solution to immoral behavior. That is the way forward—reality and transcendence is the cure rather than idealism and spiritualism. If the issue of morality is human nature then how could we expect to find a solution by dejecting nature? It is obvious for several reasons that they despise nature either because it is too hard to understand and makes them think; or for other reasons—mystics do not like to think they like to be entertained with myth and fairytales because that is what is simple for their souls. They loathe math and logic because there is nothing entertaining about it; it is all calculations and analytics.

Thus, finally I would say that spiritualists should never pride themselves as if they are on the right direction—in an illusion that they have solved humanities moral and metaphysical problems. Metaphysically what they are doing is that; they are hindering the quality of life that people could have enjoyed by retarding scientific progress and directing human attention outside nature as if there is an outside. In ethics they promote self-mortification and degradation of the self rather than preservation and enhancement. Therefore, no spiritualist can claim that spiritualism has made the world a better place; by solving mankind’s problems; because that is not what we witness on the ground. Spiritualism has become a source of conflict among different sects of spirituality in the world rather than a solution to the human race. Therefore, how could it be an error to say after observing the behavior of spiritualists engaged in pedophilia, religion motivated genocide like that of Armenians by the Turks and the Canaanite by the Jews as well as several other examples in the world that spiritual morality is a myth rather than a reality? How could it be wrong to say that spirituality is actually one of the many motivating factors for evil rather than good?
1.9 Vetting Creationism: What is Exorcism?

During the Dark ages and Medieval time exorcism was seen as some sort of medical procedure by ancient peasants and farmers to treat several illnesses like epilepsy, and several other mental and physical maladies that medieval technology did not understand— this occurred of course due to the weakness of natural science at that moment of human history where life was very miserable for our ancestors at that time compared to our high tech health care today. Today technological progress has made a tremendous leap forward to provide several solutions to mankind’s myriad problems. At the dark ages where mysticism and the church were very dominant people practiced trepanations and exorcism to treat ailments from epilepsy to other unknown pathologies. The experts at that time were part time farmers and part time priests—who tried to alleviate the suffering of people in their primitive society from several issues. But, today creationism and its tool kit of several solutions which it thinks and provides as a remedy for mankind have expired and are defunct to the stage if applied today cause more misery and pain than which already have existed; even at the middle ages creationism and its tool kit of several products like metaphysical theories, ethical theories, esoteric medical remedies and so on where not based on the scientific method but on pure suppositions, hype and error.

Today despite the defunct nature of creationist theories and its components we have what is called exorcism which today has a very different agenda; it is a tool used to maintain a totalitarian control over society and the interests of the church. Now, what does this mean you might ask: Listen carefully—exorcism is not a method of ‘helping’ people rather it is a method of dispelling ideas or ideologies from the subjects minds or soul that is contradictory with the church; ‘possession’ is interpreted as a possession of ideas especially rational ideas about our origin and metaphysics begotten by free thought and rational investigation of reality that could kill the ideas of the church. Any idea that is contrary to their nonsensical and irrational concepts
of fairytales and myth has to be destroyed and dispelled out of the minds of people. Ideas like evolution, multi-planetary existence, multiverse theory, the rotundity of earth and so on are a threat to the dogmatic and inflexible concepts of the church. Which are basically the obsolete ideas of ancient farmers formulated in an attempt to explain reality.

According to the church people are only required to have mythological and defunct ideas inculcated by the church and the church likes to own their minds. Free thought and inquiry is a threat to their strangle hold over society; because new discoveries will always create problems to the inflexible doctrines of the church. Hence, it is combatted fiercely and its exercisers are stigmatized, exorcised and even executed like Bruno. If an individual begets new ideas by his labor of investigating reality which is different from the myth of the church—then they cry he is possessed! Thus, he must be exorcised to destroy that idea out of his mind—meaning brain washed and re-indoctrinated by creationist nonsense.

The devil as a fictive character has severed them very well is scaring and controlling the society. Considering that human rational faculty and brain power are not really that developed which facilitates the expansion of irrational nonsense and pseudo sciences in our society. The human brain has made Logic a taboo subject that should never be discussed or practiced in the society as a method of unraveling nature’s secret; because it is just too mathematical, demanding, painful, and dull even though it is effective. As I have said elsewhere—the human brain is advanced for our bodies but to frail for all of reality. That is the reason that pseudosciences and mythologies gain a strong foot hold in a society which is so challenging for us to disprove and dispel through logical discourse and scrutiny. Because we are not really fully rational creatures; thus, it will be challenging to deal rationally with irrational humans.
Our origin is a huge mystery that our brain is struggling to solve; in this attempt man has come up with several theories; but a theory needs to be tested in order to verify its veracity and those schools of thought which stand against testing and demonstrability are institutions like the church which defend dead hypothesis with a brave face and combat any new idea that we get from several years of sedulous investigation of nature. These people are a hindrance to human progress and advancement into the future.

So, finally if I was a president of a country I would have banned all pseudosciences which are a hindrance to social progress, scientific discovery and futurism. These systems challenge individual liberty, new breakthroughs and high quality of life that humanity could have enjoyed. Their totalitarian techniques of maintaining control over society like exorcism is a source of stagnation, suffering and unhappiness of man which needs to be identified and expelled from the culture of society in order to create a better future for all mankind. A free and philosophical society is the only one that can unravel the secrets of human origin and provide a high tech future that our posterity can and must enjoy.

2.0 Spiritual psychosis as comeuppance for heresy from monism

Nature will destroy the retinues of immaterial concepts which are incompatible with it; any theory which is incompatible with reality will always entail a punishment; simply because it is wrong. Any system that is not part of Nature; but, irrationally held as if; will incur injuries to its retinues. It is really astounding to realize that commonsense is really a rare commodity within the human species. Reason is not a foundation of several and most cultures in the world; some would inquire that —what could we possibly expect from an animal brain like the human brain except basic instincts as well as
irrationality and they may be just right. The human brain as an animal brain has produced several nonsensical concepts and theories. It is overwhelmed by irrationality, vagary, basic instincts, rage, irrationality and several lowly traits. The so called spirituality is one of them; a system constructed as a philosophical Frankenstein; a philosophy which is built by the amalgamation of several incompatible chimeras, conflicting and misaligned theories with one another finally presented to us as the masterpiece of the lowly self.

As it has been witnessed several times pseudosciences always drive humanity into oblivion and perdition and spiritualism is not an exception; its system of absence drives man into psychosis rather than solve either moral or metaphysical challenges. The god delusion is really a neglected and condoned madness that doesn’t even exist in the DSM-V manual; but, I think it should in order to drive humanity into the stars rather than the grave as I have said repeatedly. Endure my tautologies —because this time may be my premonitory arguments will encourage people to pay heed.

In an attempt to escape the agony of rationalism, thinking and natural investigation man has always retreated into mythology and superstitition; rather than utilize his limited animal intelligence, preserve, and observe as a sacrosanct; man has been obsessed in destroying the intelligence and brain that he acquired through evolution. Human intelligence as limited as it is needs to be seen as sacrosanct, preserved and utilized to solve human challenges rather than be abused in totalitarian madness by politicians, mystics and charlatans. Freethought and individual liberty are the indispensable feed to the soul of man in order for him to comprehend nature and tame its forces to augment his bliss and quality of life.

Actually the main reason why I set up CAMIDRCS is to combat the lowlyself and its existential threat it poses to the very survival and masterdom of the human race. The derision of nature and its
attempted escape cannot open the doors of heaven but the doors of hell; it will increase the types of diseases on the planet, and halt the progress of a futuristic society. True salvation does not reside beyond the grave but between the ears; in an organ called the brain the only tool that nature gave us to perpetuate our survival; other organisms may have several tools to help them survive; but, humans have only their brain and limited intelligence as a gift from nature. They are squishy little primates but can think and understand nature —to the extent of the multiverse. We must utilize, purge it from lowly traits and augment it to provide that salvation we eagerly seek.

We must understand that concepts of beyond nature like spiritualism are the symptoms of a weak intelligence which gives up its investigation and manipulation of nature; when the going gets tough. The agony of rationalism by itself is a good indication that the human brain as a primate processor is half baked and not well developed; instead of preserving and developing this brain we seem to go backwards in the evolutionary tree giving in to basic irrational instincts and its products like spiritualism and nature chastises us with punishment to indicate to us that our route is wrong and we must retreat back to reason; why should we be slaves of irrational systems to drive ourselves into psychosis when we can be the masters of the natural world? Why should we encourage the lowly self and its avalanche of pseudosciences that it produces when we can move forward to become a space faring civilization? Why should we be animals when we can be something else better? Why should we age and die when we can extend our lives indefinitely?

But, all these feats are challenges that need rational cogitation and natural observation; which we are escaping from; this will not serve our long term interests rather it will destroy humanity like spiritualism is destroying man with psychosis. There is no free lunch in nature; nature is not a loving mother; it is an evil mother—we actually need to think in order to survive in it and solve our
challenges. Natural investigation is a high risk and high reward endeavor. Our quality of life that we complain about is actually limited by the power of our intelligence; high intelligence entails high quality of life. This is the reason that humans have a high quality of life compared to other primates in the bushes. But, still this intelligence has faults it malfunctions and goes back in time to produce nonsensical systems like spiritualism. I think we do not have an option but to move ahead and augment it to save ourselves the comeuppance of nature for our digression from reason and commonsense.

2.1 I am not a fun of our animal essence

The animal essence is everything that is wrong with the world—which needs to go. The very foundation of our reality is rotten and whatever force is responsible for our metaphysical reality—it created an animal world and that is exactly what is wrong with our physical reality. Our world is a world of territorialism (state competitions), carnivorousness, selfishness, violence, irrationality, sexual perversions and saturated with other ill animal traits of all kinds. Thus, I say in order to have a better world of happiness we need to lay down a better foundation of reality; a reality free from animal essence of all kinds. Fortunately, today we are living amidst the age of transition—between biology and technology. We are seeing the development of inorganic brains that are not that complicated and complete like their biological counterparts but through time and progress they will leave the human brain in the dust. The human brain use to be the size of an orange when H. naledi was around but look at it today. Eventually these shallow and clumsy machines will get smarter. We observe that within our society the overwhelming constituents of our community are machines; everything is going mechanical this phenomenon was not the case 300 or 500 years ago; life back then was primitive and rusty.
You know the most amazing thing about nature is that its creation process is seamless; it drops a product like a good DJ inserts music after the current one without alarming his audience. Nature also seems to work in a similar manner the creation of new species or life form on earth is not sudden, short and perfect. It is slow, seamless and imperfect—but still incredible. This is how nature creates its beings so seamless like the fall of night after day. It takes a diligent observer to observe carefully what nature is doing on the ground to comprehend what is going on and the changes within the natural order in life.

The reason why I mentioned these points above is that the slow and seemingly retarded replacement of biology by technology has brought about the creation of several robots which embody the animal essence that we are trying to leave behind. Humans are creating machines in their own image as salacious sex robots, killer robots and other lowly traits which we are trying to leave behind as evolutionary garbage. I do not believe that this is a wise idea; the animal essence has created state competitions, wars, sexual perversions of all types, irrationality, intelligence limitations, a polluted environment and other ill traits that I am not a fan of; I personally do not like myself as an animal because I understand that the current natural order on earth emanates from our animal essence which needs to be eliminated.

Furthermore, our animal essence has hindered our learning and informing process because the process of uploading (learning) and downloading (writing, speaking, teaching to other members of society) information to and from the brain is agonizing. The brain has been locked down in a vault called the skull with a limited input and output accessibility —especially downloading data from the brain is hard because one has to write or speak his ideas; there is no Bluetooth data transfer; imagine if that was possible; it would have turned the learning process over its head and students did not have
the need to struggle on tests; actually I do not think there will be any such thing as tests; because the kids would have uploaded all the knowledge necessary for the test and they would have been perfect on that skill. We observe that our evolution did not provide an easy socket to plug directly into the brain accessing information that we like and deleting memories that we don’t like to keep. This is the limitations of being biological animals! This is the problem of being organic computers!

Other issues I have with our animal nature is our carnivores and carnal appetite that I despise; we need to satisfy that beast we hold in our build; three times a day not with any kind of nutrition but animal flesh that it cannot live without; in addition we need to satisfy our carnal appetite also once in while in order to stay sane and normal. Given this reality I do not think it is wrong to think of ourselves as Frankenstein monsters half intelligence half beasts. Creatures which happen to be abhorably designed. I believe that changing the human design from its current status quo to something better will directly change the natural order set in place on the ground. It will be a revolution on the planet; if for example humans go completely mechanized and become AI then there will be no prostitution, abattoirs, restaurants, hospitals, rest rooms etc. everything will change when humans change and this what I mean by ‘the natural order’ on earth. The human civilization will literally come to an end and be replaced by the new dominant species. The current natural order on earth does not emanate from ‘God’ but from human nature; you change human nature you change the world.

Humans as animals have turned earth into an open air sty and abattoir; their irrationality has driven them to erect pillars of superstition all over the globe; possessed by their irrational delusions, spiritual psychosis and schizophrenia have turned earth into an open air bedlamite; their lust drives them to mate with everything that moves; their appetite forces them to devour other sentient animals
without mercy. Earth’s atmosphere has been polluted with their stench and its silence perturbed by their enthusiastic and irrational ranting emanating from their houses of superstition. This is what is wrong the world; our animal essence responsible for the natural order on the ground; *that is what is wrong*. This is what needs to be changed; this is what happens when humans as primates become the dominant life force on the planet; they shape the world according to their own image or should I say nature — an irrational, violent, putrid, and selfish world of cannibalism. I think we need to be something civil, and inorganic — I believe that is a wise decision and route to take in order to make our civilization whole in the universe; to make it more pleasant and blissful — a world free from animal essence. Thus, I would finally say being human is the problem and transcending it is the solution!

### 2.2 The Fallibility of Human Reason: Why we need to stick with reason

The very reason that we observe myriad pseudosciences and irrational beliefs which are not based on reality or evidence from ‘scientology’ to the ‘law of attraction’ is due the weakness of our cerebral and rational power i.e. the weakness of our neo cortex which was supposed to give us extra ordinary power and reign above other animals. More than three billion years have passed in earth’s history of life and what we get at the end is a low IQ, bellicose, perverted, irrational primate; who turned the biosphere of earth into a heaven of salacity, superstition, carnage, and sty. Does this animal by any means show intended design or intelligence or justness on the behalf of whatever natural force created us? Doesn’t this show an elevated level of randomness and ineptitude? Think about that for a moment; anyhow moving away from my metaphysical arguments let’s stick with our topic which is about *the virtue of reason*.

Reason is the occupation of higher morality and a sign of maturity. Rational people struggle against their lowly traits in order to think
bigger and clearer. They understand that reason seems to be the only language provided for us to decipher the mysteries of nature. It appears that who or whatever is responsible for holding reality together speaks only one language the language of reason: we need to think rationally in order to understand our reality; it looks as if nature is an engineer. A clumsy engineer of some sort; no other means of engagement with reality could solve the mysteries of our origin or the mysteries of the cosmos only reason seems to work.

As evidence I would say the following: the origin of man once thought to be incomprehensible beyond human understanding was demystified by the great Darwin using logic and natural observation. He observed via realism applying logic that—man as a mammal couldn’t be any different from other animals. He compared and contrasted the various organs, anatomical features of man and apes formulating at the end the origin of mankind. The mysteries of the heavens, of eclipses, revolutions, orbits etc. once thought to be the work of incompressible ‘immaterial’ forces accelerated across space by mysteries forces was demystified by using reason and realism first by Newton and then refined by Einstein. Diseases once thought to be the work of ‘invisible’ forces as punishment from the ‘gods’ where demystified by the use of reason, composure and natural observation to be the work of microbes through the works of Koch and Pasteur.

Now, given all these arguments how could we possibly find any other means of solving all our currently unresolved questions like are we alone in the cosmos? Can we achieve time travel? Can we achieve space travel? Can we achieve immortality? Is the universe finite or infinite? Are there other universes? What is the complete theory of life and other questions without using logic, composure and observation?
Some people state that reason has limitations—objective reality ends when we smash protons together and destabilize protons to create various subatomic particles; then reason becomes useless because we can never observe the quantum world; we cannot deduce formulas like E=mc². But my argument is that we do not live in the quantum world; we live in objective reality; in a world of atoms that we can see and understand thus, again reason becomes valuable. No matter how you think about reality you cannot solve or understand anything with being logical and mathematical. Besides, theories which go against objective reality like String theory even if they are true are untestable therefore useless. There may be several dimensions in the quantum world; and may be that is the true origin of all reality and the Cosmos; but, what we cannot detect and understand using logic might as well not exist at all.

Reason needs to be held as an invaluable virtue; it is the thread that sutures the fabric of reality; it is the language through which nature operates; nature is a force of reason even though imperfect and makes silly mistakes that scientists in the lab do not even make. Anyhow, sticking with logic invigorates our awareness and gives us a brand new perspective of life. A life of commonsense, naturalism and free thought; a view of the world that is free from corruption by inane philosophies other than the rational ones; a world of wholeness and clarity.

Furthermore, in addition to clearing our view of life; it will protect us from the damage caused by irrationality and enthusiasm. Therefore, in short I would say that you and I have everything to gain by embracing reason and becoming logical than to lose from it.
2.3 The problem of inchoate intelligence and the illusion of Knowledge

Self-empowerment via the pursuit of wisdom is a personal homework that needs to be accomplished by all individuals; ignorance is not an option. From natural metaphysics to world history people should empower themselves as much as possible. It is when freethought and the pursuit of wisdom are discouraged in a society the thorns of pseudoscience, mysticism and authoritarianism flourish. From observation we can understand that those individuals with little knowledge about the nature of reality are the ones fired up with mystical enthusiasm and persist in their self-made world of dreams. But, for those of us who are realists with commonsense we see that in the 21st century we are observing on the ground the slow maturation of a Type-I civilization. Today we see humans exploiting wind energy, tide energy, geothermal energy, hydroelectrical energy, and a little bit of solar energy. They are creating islands, devising ways to predict and even manage earth quakes; they are predicting the weather and even creating rainfall in some instances. Now, today I believe most philosophers agree that the meaning of life on Earth is the gradual creation as well as rise of a sophisticated and intricate civilization. Sage observers of Nature and reality took a long look and a diligent thought concluding that our existence in this solar system is a cosmic accident; but, this accident is giving birth to a complex civilization that in the near future will play with stars, space-time, mater, energy and may be even create an entire Universe. This of course is not happening overnight it is occurring at a snail’s pace slowly and seamlessly.

The birth of life in the oceans as prokaryotic bacteria then eventually by the laws of Nature giving birth to eukaryotic cells then to specialized cells like the brain took billions of years but never the less manifested. The evolution of humans from primitive preexisting primates to today’s age of inchoate human cyborgs was a plain and palpable evidence for the rise as well as development of intelligence on earth. It was a simple and clear truth for only those wise observers
who looked into the stars to figure out their purpose and define the meaning of their existence.

As I said in my videos you can get the very meaning of life by seeing the bigger image so that you reach a clever conclusion and the bigger image in the Universe does not show any sign of design or creation rather it shows a violent beginning; a random evolution, creation of stars and planets in the Universe. Ask any astronomer to explain the types of solar systems that they are discovering and you get a very strange answer of bizarre worlds of binary stars and gas giants not a world of rocky planets with atmospheres precisely designed to be in the goldilocks zone.

If you stare into the night sky today and pick any star you will be amazed to find out that no stars have earth's exact twin. It is a world of chaos, collisions, blow torching and binary star dance. Now, if we are the odd one out how could we possibly assume that it could ever be a design or meant to be a creation? Mystics, spiritualists and supernaturalists as confused theoreticians and speculators in general are under the spell of the illusion of knowledge; totally convinced by layers of erroneous premises and mambo jumbo that their version of the story is totally correct. Just because they are unwilling or unable to climb the mountain of reason; they like to drag everyone off the cliff into an abyss. They order and reassure us to wait for a so called 'savior' to come and save us from earthquakes, Tsunamis, pestilence, asteroids and our eventual cremation by our own parent star. As the great biologist Darwin said "Ignorance more frequently begets confidence" and actually triggers arrogance within the human soul. The little you know the more close minded and fanatical you become.

As a recap the point of this article is that despite all physical evidences and commonsensical convictions by many wise thinkers that our existence is an accident and hence must we preserve life,
enhance it and pervade it through the cosmos; some choose to drag humanity into delusion, indolence, and extinction under the influence of the illusion of knowledge which is a crime against sentient life. Therefore, let us come to our senses, to reason, to nature and design a better future for life in general; we should not advocate the message of charlatans and deluded pseudoscientists who deserve psychiatric attention not twitter following. Let us take advantage of this adaptation; see into the future; a future of transcendence, space travel, multiplanetary settlements and even immortality. Let us stick with the truth whether it makes us happy or gloomy. Let us advocate reason and the pursuit of true knowledge in our society so that we can survive our own lowly side inorder to be called children of the planet which started it all; the planet earth who triggered interstellar existence. The children of the planet which gave birth to consciousness. It does not matter whatever form or shape life will take in the future that life's future needs to be protected and we should guarantee its amazing future by advocating the pursuit of wisdom, freethought, reason and transcendence.

2.4 The Short Comings of Newton's third law

One of pioneers of natural philosophy during the early period of enlightenment (1685-1730) was Isaac Newton (1643-1727) he contributed many valuable theories about physics to our world. Some are still holding up and some have been discarded or revised and reformulated by other physicists like Einstein for example. He published Principia mathematica in 1686 (The Mathematical principles of Natural philosophy) where he devised the three laws of motion which basically state that:

1-Every object remains at rest or in a straight line until a force is exerted on it. (Inertia)

2-The acceleration of an object is dependent on the net force acting on it and its mass i.e. the mass of the object itself.

3-For every action there is an equal and opposite reaction.
Now, natural science gets updated every time and scrutinized to make it reflect the true nature of reality precisely. According to my understanding the 1st and 2nd laws do reflect the true nature of reality very well; but the third one not that much. It lacks specificity; there is no relationship between the types of actions and the nature of the forces which supposedly exert equal and opposite reaction. It is well known that the mass of an object plays an important role in either its acceleration or reaction with other forces. The third law must have said that 'for every equal action (equal power, mass, and density) there is an equal and opposite reaction' not just 'for every action there is an equal and opposite reaction.' In short, the 3rd law does not put under consideration power, mass and density of the reacting forces.

Forexample let me give you an entertaining example. If you punch your grandmother in the face really hard her face does not exert equal and opposite reaction on your hand; actually your action will be unequal to the extent that all her artificial teeth will be scattered all over the atmosphere and she will be knocked out. Another serious example will be if a concrete slab two times your mass falls on you; your body does not produce equal and opposite reaction to counter the action of the slab. If a car traveling at 100 km/hr. hits a deer the deer does not produce an equal and opposite reaction. The damage done due to the opposite reaction from the deer on the car is not equal due to the higher momentum, power and mass of the car. Since the so called law starts with the phrase “Every action” then we assume it must work on every single experiment on the ground; but does it? It does not specify “what type of action produces opposite and equal reaction.” Since a law of nature has to work on everything, every single time without exception!

I am not a physicist; I work in another department of natural science but this is just my commonsensical observation and analysis of the 3rd law of motion. It must be changed and modified to be specific.
2.5 Is the Simulation theory a modern version of Creationism?

The simulation theory assumes a simulator or coder or programmer of life beyond this world. We of course have the DNA which is a programme and self-replicating computer. Which is responsible for all types of phenotypical traits. We are being told to assume that there must be fire because there is smoke; or there must be a bear nearby because we see foot prints or there must be a watch maker because we see a watch. The problem of these types of propositions is that the arguments always beg a question. Which is where is the simulator? Where is the programmer? Where is the creator?

Furthermore, these types of arguments also create another problem the problem of infinite regress. Meaning if the simulator is simulating us who is simulating the simulator? Is the simulator simulating himself? If yes then why should it be hard to accept that nature is simulating herself? If you say that the divinity or the simulator needs to be conscious or intelligent; I ask you what type of conscious and intelligent being would create a world of animals that eat and hump each other while making silly mistakes that even humans do not make in his creation. Like transgender humans, birth defects of all kinds and so forth. In another example if God created nature and its laws of operation; then who created God and his laws of operation? Did God create himself? This problem of infinite regress will never have a dead end and that will be a problem.

Of course I agree that some force or something must have existed timelessly and is responsible for everything that we behold. But this force according to my understating can never be distinct from reality; God is either part of reality or all of reality. An attempt to create a dichotomy between the force of reality and reality itself will be a metaphysical suicide. Because we will be creating an imbridable gap between reality and the force that is responsible for its operation.
We should of course accept this theory if a physical evidence is presented now.

The Matrix is the most popular movie that popularized the idea of a simulation; but, still as said above it always begs the question and as long as question is not answered by physical evidence or until we see the so called simulator in the face. It will just be an assumptive proposition and argument. A programme can never be an evidence for a programmer just like smoke can never mandate the existence of fire. For those arguments that we do not have physical evidence we should never have faith that they will occur because any charlatan can exploit our gullibility to his own financial and imperial interests.

What we know for sure is the natural world; we can see it, touch it and understand it whether it is a creation/simulation is another story and we will keep an open mind but assumption, faith and belief is a dangerous gamble that is not worth playing. In case you are wrong the consequences will be dire. It will be wise to live an evidence based existence. A life where evidence prevails over assumptions and suppositions. I strongly believe that the Big bang which created this Universe can never be the beginning of reality but an expansion of reality; because reality can never have a beginning but may acquire different compartments and dimensions.

Anyways I think we should either provide the evidence of the simulator himself or restrict ourselves from proposing arguments which beg the question. A secondary evidence may be capable of existing by itself. What use would a simulator have to us and our quality of life if we cannot see it interact with it or ask for favors and have a beneficial locution with it? If the simulator does not care; we should not either; we should just focus on what we can understand and manipulate to make our lives wholesome and happier.
2.6 The Father Complex
Humans seem to have a longing for a higher being not because there is a proven higher being; but, due to the weakness, fear, ignorance and loneliness that haunts the human soul. The lack of understanding and full control over the forces of nature triggers the creation of a higher being; not because it is there; but, because we want it to be there; the number of Gods people worship today have decreased to a single God in most cultures. Which is an indication that throughout our journey in history starting from the ancient Greeks till today our weakness, fear and ignorance of natural forces is declining rapidly and will eventually vanish when the hypothetical Singularity takes the throne of the alpha race on the planet.

The acceleration of data as well as computing power indicates that life is on the rise. Our planet is like an egg shell giving birth to a super being slowly through time. Nature seems to be on the rise; just like the mythological stories we were told when we were children of some man who rises to greatness; the same goes for nature. All observations indicate one thing: progress. But, the problem is that evolution is not a humanitarian movement; we are not the apex of the progress; the progress is continuing; thus, only the fittest and the best dominate; bad breeds get decommissioned and eliminated. The natural world is like a factory that eliminates old machines for new and advanced ones. Humans are mutant variants of chimps; through their upgrade they have dominated the planet for about 300,000 years. But, this domination is tentative until the next upgrade takes over; and what I want people to understand is that we are not the center of creation or evolution. We are in the middle of it; until the next big thing comes over and that is why so many wise philosophers and scientists have warned us repeatedly that instead of being querulous for an invisible father that no one proved we need to keep up with nature's progress or we will be keep out via natural selection.
There hasn't been a mass extinction of humans yet that would alarm us into action; but, humans are dying out one by one via ageing and may be that is the 6th extinction in disguise; as humans go out slowly and seamlessly nature is replacing machines in their places. I think the 6th extinction of the dominant alpha race is underway. Machines are better than humans; they are better suited than biology to dominate space; even though space is also harsh for machines; these inorganic life forms do not need the myriad necessities that the human body needs in order to survive in space and nature is selecting these creatures over humans to go on and spread into the Universe. Biological format has never been conducive for space travel or colonization.

Therefore, coming back to the point and very message of this article. At least we need to be wise enough to see the progress in nature rather than holding on to our toys, childish notions, imaginary friends and dreams of father figures that will never be. We need to progress forward; transcend and survive by understanding nature and manipulating it to our happiness. No one will do it for us we need to do it ourselves. Remember that again we are not the end of the progress something more powerful and competent is on the horizon and our irrational notions of imaginary father, higher beings, and dimensions is interfering with the right policy of natural studies, reason, liberty, humanism and futuristic policies that aspire to extend life and that need to be implemented in order to save mankind from nature as well as from itself.

2.7 The Influence of Corrupted philosophies and leaderships
Pseudosciences give people false confidence as if they are in the right direction. Pseudosciences are a huge threat to good health, your economy; because most of them are rip-offs, the future of life, and the progress of technology. We either become the masters of planets, stars and galaxies or become the slaves of our own limitations and delusions. When it comes to metaphysical positions one cannot be
mediocritic; we are either realists or madmen; pro-life or pro-death; pro-happiness or pro unhappiness; we cannot be in the middle.

Now, there are two communities in the world: the people of reason and longevity and the people of sickness and mortality. If you are anti-reason and futuristic values that automatically makes you a death loving mystic who is a threat to the extension of life beyond earth. But, if the future of life bothers you; if you become emotional when you see into the eyes of little children and think about their future and want to save as well as extend it no matter how hard and demanding the technology may seem— then you are a rational futurist; you are effectively performing your moral duty as a sentient being.

The point I want to stress here is that corrupted psyches, personalities, philosophies and directions will derail humanity. Even though Mars is inhospitable for life without oxygen, fertile lands, warmth and other necessitates what other option do we have in order to live longer? What other alternative ideas do we have not to die together with the Sun? Is our fate to end together with the solar system? I beg to differ it doesn’t matter how seemingly hard and impossible the technology appears I choose to outlive the sun! The rise of the machines is a clear indication that life is not meant to die with the Sun; life wouldn’t take an inorganic turn if it was meant to be limited to Earth. It is meant to spread to other worlds; we only have two roads ahead one leads into the future and the other into the psychiatric wards and the grave. It is either futurism or mysticism you cannot have both; it either one or the other.

Therefore, finally we should be very prudent not to be influenced by irrational pseudosciences that advocate the end of life; who glorify death and suffering rather than life and happiness; we must choose reason, sedulity and innovation which can enable us to survive beyond our solar system in some form or shape no matter how hard or impossible it may seem; or we can promote a negative vision of
irrational narratives. What do you think should be the future of life should we die one by one slowly and seamlessly via ageing or other natural causes thanking for our good run we had on the planet for about 80 years and cease to exist for good without knowing more about nature? Should we promote life or death; reason or madness? Freedom or thralldom? What ought to be our values?

2.8 The threat of Superstition

I have a hard time believing that an animal with tits and testicles could ever be holy. Design always determines purpose and man is not designed to be holy at all. Organized superstition i.e. Religion is more of an institution which is the product of a cognitively challenged animal trying to give meaning and purpose to life; a very terrible and erroneous meaning. Superstition is a very dangerous mutant that needs to be controlled before it corrupts social culture encouraging lassitude and creating a mad society.

Ethics is a branch of philosophy; it has nothing to do with superstition; we need to detach ethics from superstition; we should stop believing this lie that religion makes people ethical. It does not; religion makes people crazy. People should get ethical and moral teachings to expand their conscience and develop humanitarian attitudes in formal settings detached from superstition and mythology. Superstition does not represent morality but corruption in thought and practice; a path to the wrong direction. Religion does not concern itself with ‘right and wrong’ but with lies and bondage.

Has religion ever controlled anyone to do good; if so priests and monks the so called ‘holy’ people in society wouldn’t become perverted zombies to the stage of abusing children and IS (Islamic State) in the orient creating a pile of corpse of innocent women and men in the name of God. Where is the control here? Where the holy guidance? Actually what superstition with its myth does is it perverts
the human mind, kills enthusiasm for exploration of nature and inflates the soul with false promises to become detached from reality; callous towards humanity and nescient towards sedulity.

If we want to create a better society; a society advanced in every way; we need to embrace reality. What type of society could ever move forward by become negligent and dismissive towards nature that he lives inside? Doesn’t the society need to investigate nature through reason to understand his environment? To make his lively hood better? To build houses, roads, cars, aeroplanes and drugs? And the only way to do that is by accepting nature and logic. Is there any other way to make our existence in this forsaken cosmos better? Can prayers and sacrifices create spaceships and drugs? The human mind needs to be liberated his conscience free; he needs to be thought logic and formal discipline without the corruption, psychosis and madness that superstition brings forth. That is the right direction forward.

He needs to know about his true origins; so as to devise a plan for his future; he needs to stay away from confused “thinkers” that tell him that logic and nature are none of his business. That he is a ‘spirit’ with balls. A bunch of retarded chimera experts and mythologists. This orphan of nature needs to embrace reality and hard work so that he can leave his mother i.e. planet Earth and inherit the Cosmos that is filled with riches and end up among the stars not in the bosom of Earth where he came from. Let’s join together to advocate a better vision for sentience.

2.9 Paranormal activity

The developing human brain comes up with numerous nonsense oxymorons. All of which are the products of the still developing chimpanzee brain that we all carry around in our skulls; but, eventually as it merges with AI in the future it will become more rational, civil and powerful. But, currently it comes up with words
put together that otherwise would mean nothing that would be incompatible; self-contradictory terms with suffixes or prefixes which stand to demolish the noun or adjective that they stand to support. This term for example called ‘paranormal’ has a prefix “Para” which means beyond and “normal” means something within human comprehension; something material. My phone dictionary defines paranormal as “seemingly outside normal sensory channels.”

So, if it is beyond our sensory channels; who recorded paranormal activity in the first place given it is unsensible to our senses. Why should we waste our time and direct our energy on something incomprehensible; something that will never enter our awareness even if we tried? If something is uncomprehendable then does it really exist? If you do not know a person called Robert ever in your life; what does he mean to you? Because knowing demands perception and we have clearly defined paranormal as unperceivable; so, how will paranormal become a thing if there is no registry of it in your brains? The most hilarious evidence of it presented by our zealous and determined paranormalists is itself something material like light, or wind or something. So, in one sentence they say that it is unperceivable but on the other sentence they provide material evidence for us to examine and expect us to be impressed about. This for me is not an argument for “beyond matter” claims but a clear demonstration of the folly of our ape brain caught floundering.

As skeptics this is how we deal with claims: break the term apart; examine it in detail using the power of logic. If something clearly states that it is beyond our understanding; then, there is no need to be excited about it; place it up for debate or hold it as a theory. Skepticism is the greatest virtue one could ever have it is through scrutiny that we discover truths and nullify pseudoscientific claims that are a huge threat to our society. It is a tool to expose charlatans, protect society from inveiglement and corruption. A rational life is worth living; a life in accordance with reality and truths; it is a
protector of our health and guard against insanity brought forth by our lowly self and pseudosciences.

In short, we have seen above one of the branches of irrational schools propelled in our culture with naivety; but, we have also seen how to deal with it. Thus, the take away message would be we need to be skeptical and prudent of all claims which are either paradoxical or immaterial in their essence; because, our material brain could only understand clear cut non-conflicting material realities.

3.0 Saving God from reason: The plot
Amid the rise of rationalism, individualism, naturalism and some rational ideologies like Transhumanism; we see tyrants, oppressors, monarchs, and politicians of the world scrambling to save the idea of a supernatural God or rather de-mummify, remove off all the dirt from the corpse of this figure and make it presentable to the world. They get hysterical when they hear the word atheism or pantheism in their milieu. They see a rational, free man that has not been inebriated with mythology and which has not gone delusional as a threat to their self-imposed reign and privileges. We see all politicians and tyrants rushing God into an intensive care unit; a God that has been ravaged by sapient arguments from wise rational men; we see them trying to attempt CPR to save the defunct concept of a supernatural God that has died on the scene.

We see a relentless disdain and assault on Mother Nature, individual dignity, liberty and rational thought so that man is not able to free himself from the yoke or leash that has been imposed on him. A plot of sabotage so that this star child is not able to see into the future and plan his journey among the stars. A plot to undermine the commencement of the Space age. We see through their media and sound bites trying to assimilate into the current mode of enthusiasm.
Trying to look as if they give a damn about individual liberty, dignity, sovereignty, reason and nature.

A three minute insincere and deceptive propaganda about Star trek or Star wars in their TV channels is presented to us to calm our egos that is screaming for logic, commonsense and freedom; a consciousness that is yearning for truth and futurism; a soul that is thirsty for realism and a monistic perspective. A mind that wants to be decontaminated and ridden off from the poison of irrational ideologies. There is this saying which goes “a lie told long enough will be accepted as true” and this metaphor applies for all creationist theories like Christianism or, the so called Islamism an Arabized version of Abrahamism. 2000 year propaganda has given them a visage of truth today.

I think we need to note a very important point here: we need to distinguish between ideology and facts; man-made theories and nature made facts. We need to embrace evolution as a fact and myth as theories. All world religions are manmade ideologies; they have no business in metaphysics. Christianism, Islamism, Buddhism, Scientology, etc. are ideologies composed from ideas; but, evolution is an observation of natural reality that our existence depended on; our true path of creation. That everyone can behold and everyone needs to accept. I say who has recently seen the God of the Christians, or the Muslims? Where are they? If you crave a God you can worship nature if you like; at least that will make you realistic and restores your sanity. The next step is to become rational and abandon superstition for logic and that is when the real magic starts to unravel; that is when our quality of life starts to increase; when we focus on reality through the prism of reason.

Hence, I say let’s leave the idea of God in the grave where it belongs and look into nature; there is no need for hysteria. Let us ditch the idea of creation for incubation; let us trust on our intelligence and on
ourselves; because, it is in Nature that our true salvation rests. We need to preserve our intelligence; enhance it; merge it; comprehend all of reality and make ourselves at home; plan excursion to visit all the stars; as the saying goes: there is no place like home and that literally applies to Nature because there is nothing beyond Nature.

3.1 God is not great

Billions of years of evolution and what we get at the end is a bellicose, salacious, irrational and retarded primate who is more of an animal that an intelligence. Does this signify infallibility? Or does it indicate any sign of mysterious dimensions? Oh wait excuse my ignorance I forgot that theology was about right and wrong according to the arguments of our mystical friends; or is it? Doesn’t theology dictate metaphysical rhetoric? Don’t theologians tell us that there is a creator? Don’t they attempt to answer metaphysical questions? Do not they tell us that the entire meaning of life is creationism? Isn’t that metaphysical speculation? If so how is theology about right and wrong? They even go on to state that our purpose in life is to infatuate our creator with mystical chants of his gloriousness as if there is something glorious and flawless on the planet.

My argument would be for those who are not biased that a great God would create a world free from error and distraught. A utopia designed to make its occupants comfortable; now I ask who is comfortable in life; don’t we all struggle? Aren’t war and famine common phenomena? Aren’t the wealthy as depressed as the poor? Do not we struggle to survive in this world? Life is getting much better and better; but, still there is struggle. The so called order that is pointed out in the name of a creator is riddled with disorder from the DNA to distant solar systems; a DNA that kills the body via mutations; the so called ‘sign’ of a programmer; a DNA that needs human intervention to save it from itself.
From a Cosmological point of view we see distant worlds suffocated in poisonous gas and deadly radiation. The so called God’s ‘favorite’ planet i.e. Earth also is not immune from flaws. A cannibal world which suffocates on methane; a planet filled with refuse of its occupants; if you can excuse my explicit expression; if aliens detect our world from light years away the first thing they will notice is the methane features; animals basking in their own refuse. Hence, I say where is the ‘great’ design? Where is the greatness? How could God be great despite this type of reality mentioned hitherto?

I think reality can never have a beginning by all measures of argument even if there is no sentient being to observe it. Our constant argument and obsession with beginning emanates from our useless tiny brains; which is yet to be logical. Instead of wasting our time on what created who? We must waste our time in understanding reality to deliver our daily lives from discomfort and facilitate a high quality of life for sentience.

3.2 Creationism: It is just a myth

With all due respect to all others who propose anti-natural ideas; I beg to differ and stick my hand out and say that I was not created but developed over time due to natural necessity, laws and unknown natural factors. All the Gods and Goddesses that have been invented hitherto ‘beyond’ nature are man’s children not vice versa. This does not mean that there is no divinity; but, it means that it is either inside nature or all of nature. This is a dogmatic position for me; because the only alternative to reality will be delusion.

The Universe can never come out of zero; it is not a ‘creation’. It must be an extension of something bigger. It must be a river that emanates from a sea; a unit that gets its attributes from something bigger.
Exnihilō ideas are not well thought propositions; that satisfy the curiosity of mankind. I think it is time for a monistic perspective. Without solving the true mysteries of our existence; all our policies regarding politics or other will become erroneous or misleading but once we figure out the predicament of our existence we can move on to set the right policies in regards to our environment, culture, and the future of life.

Foreexample if we prove that Nature has no beginning; which I think is the case; our governments will promote environmental protection (sustainable capitalism), healthcare strengthening and space exploitation and explorations. Thus, metaphysical beliefs are very central to our endurance; adopt the wrong ideas and the entire race goes down the wrong path into oblivion.

So, I think it is useful to debunk this idea of a creator as a myth; so that we can move in the right direction taking care of earth to finish its incubation, strengthening the healthcare system so that people can live to be 100, 200 even 1000; why the rush to die if nature has no end? As well as focusing on space to mine its enormous wealth to make everyone a billionaire. This I believe is the right direction for life.

It is time to adopt evolution as a solid fact and creationism as a myth or some sort of entertainment for children. It is time to embrace our origins, identity, and claim our promising future that rests in space not 6 feet under. Let us devise right and wrongs that are compatible with our origins and which do not hamper our destiny into the future. Let us ignore those advocates of gibberish ideas that confuse us more

\[\text{\footnotesize 12 The idea of creating something from nothing or zero}\]
rather than enlighten our minds, satisfy our curiosity or clarify our origins.

3.3 The belief in a higher power drives primates insane: It encourages people to be indolent, irrational, enthusiastic, arrogant, and violent and confines states as primitive civilizations

Mysticism and superstition have always been as said elsewhere an escape from the agony of analytical rationalism, thinking and natural investigations. It looks like one hundred billions neurons and trillions of connections of the brain are not capable enough to master nature and free humanity from its misery and wretchedness. This limitation and paucity compels man to become superstitious and dogmatic —supplicating a fictive father figure. Dogma acts as a psychological and emotional firewall defense mechanism in the human soul against the excessive stretching of this limited human intelligence beyond its limit. Notwithstanding the agony of thinking rationally about our reality and natural surroundings; it appears that we do not have any other option but to do so. We are cosmic orphans and orphans fend for ourselves.

The immediate reaction that is exhibited from society members when they hear the designation secularism or atheism; is libertinism and nihilism; they have an outdated belief that if people do not believe in a higher power than themselves then they will have nothing to fear and will be nihilistic. But, this misconception is so erroneous that we observe the opposite on the ground; the members of society that are markedly and prominently violent are believers that blow up buildings and train subways filled by civilians; while, an atheist lands on the moon and builds bridges on earth. Even though, an animal and driven by the same laws and nature as the mystic a rational man is productive, innovative, and has a much advanced civilization than the mystic; who wastes his time not thinking about nature and
providing remedies—but about fairytales, and myth that drive him and other people to psychosis.

Basically placed my argument is that if people believe in themselves and all of nature not in a fictive ‘higher being’ then I think their attention will be directed on nature and its manipulation which will create a strong state and a strong civilization. The virtue of the disbelief in some so called higher being is that—even though unsettling and depressing motivates people to look for natural solutions to natural problems; to be innovative and self-reliant—not to mention healthy. As animals we all are inclined towards violence notwithstanding our status in society; but, a rational and sedulous animal who believes in nature is much, much better than a deluded and psychotic primate who is not productive or motivated enough to investigate nature that he detests so much to solve human challenges.

In order to cover up the inept nature of pseudoscience; pseudoscientists paint a huge cross target mark on reason and free thought to blemish its image by linking it with libertinism and nihilism. The pursuit of health as in hedonism with nihilism and secularism with violence; this strategy seems like it has worked on the unsophisticated members of society and some so called leaders of states. Honestly stated reason and science have saved more lives in a decade; and cured many people than what—mysticism wiped out in the so called bloody ‘holy’ wars and terrorism attacks in the name of a fictive god within our societies in two thousand years. It has given the world lifesaving vaccines, better communication, transportation and defense. The only contribution of mysticism to our world is—indolence, natural derision, the demolishing of free thought, individual space and dignity as well as the god delusion and schizophrenia.
To make matters short—I would state vociferously: let’s focus on nature the only entity that we are capable of understanding; let us not escape it because it is too hard and challenging to understand. Our salvation lies within our understanding of this force not in our derision and escape to an empyrean dimension that never existed, does not exist and will never exist— because it is the expression of our weakness. Enough with the indolence, dogma and superstition; it is time to master nature!

3.4 Christianity and neo-delusion

Christianity as yet another “supernatural” ideology and delusion kingdom stems from ancient mythology similar to its own kind. Its system built from borrowed and stolen concepts of myth from its predecessor religions of ancient Egypt and the Middle East. First, we must remember that all and any branch of mysticism is unhealthy and deadly for natural man; whether, it is supernatural mysticism like Christianity or a natural mysticism like the law of attraction. All branches of Idealism are intimately related with suffering and death—it will be a justice to call all of them as death cults. The most ridiculous thing about supernaturalists is that they degrade all believers in nature as “neo-pagans” as if there is something wrong with that; the pagans even though they were mystical with nature their concept of the theory of everything resting in nature is absolutely true. The theory of everything does exist in nature; but, we still do not know what it is. If you observe cellular biology and what makes Helicase, Primase and DNA polymerase going up and down on the DNA ladder; doing what they do stitching and breaking molecules as if they are conscious; you will be petrified with awe and amazement. You will ask yourself what is making them do what they do to accomplish this feat?

Now, what makes us think the theory of everything or God as mystics like to call it doesn’t lie in nature? Have we finished investigating nature totally? Thus, paganism is a badge of honor; god does exist in
nature but we do not know what it is or where it is. Now, remember that the engagement with the natural world must be purely based on reason and mathematics. Some people believe that teleportation, and interstellar transportation is possible. While, in my turn I believe that divinity is possible—I don't see anything preventing it except the ignorance of nature.

The history and idea of immortality can be traced to the Philosopher’s stone or (elixir of life) mentioned by Zosimos of panopolis in his book Chirokmeta—who was an alchemist—the predecessor of current reason based chemistry—before the term transhumanism was even coined. Thus, nobody can claim the idea as if it is their own. Now, before digressing too much from the topic of this essay—we must remember in philosophy there are two directions that the individual can go: mysticism, mortification and extinction or materialism, preservation and existence. In all branches of Idealism where Christianity is included the direction is towards extinction; in all branches of realism where all objective sciences are included the direction is towards immortality. All branches of mysticism must be discarded as puerile and toxic to the health of man. Thus, as a thesis I would say 1st Christianity was fabricated and molded from other mythologies and even from pagan concepts that they attempt to elevate themselves above from. 2nd Man is not a spirit; and 3rd abandoning nature will only lead to damage and disaster—requiring nature and studying it will bring about bliss, health and relief for all sentient beings.

Christianism is not the first supernatural mythology to promote mortification, morbidity and delusions. The concept of supernaturalism stretches back in time. In order to understand today's myths one must understand what is called comparative mythology. This means seeing the borrowed and stolen concepts between mythologies in history. The most problematic thing today in literature is that even Wikipedia and other “encyclopedias” are
obsessed with guarding myth-mania in an attempt to guard the Jesus mythology and fiction rabidly—flip flapping around in their writings afraid to call Christianity flatly as it is: *a bed time story jumbled history and story*. Visit Wikipedia and search Jesus mythology or something like it and you will see for yourself. To discuss the mythical nature of Christianity as a borrowed and jumbled up mythological system from other global mythologies we need an entire book.

This essay just only discusses highlights and important similarities. The *dying and rising* myth for example is borrowed from other systems like Greek i.e. Dionysus, & Egyptian i.e. Osiris mythology. The “virgin birth” mythology on the other hand is similar to the birth of Assyrian and Babylonian mythology of the birth of god *Marduk* born from father *Ea* and his mother *Damkina*. As well as similarities between the Egyptian God mother *Isis* who gave birth to *Horus*. The idea of trinity also is not unique to Christian mythology it is very similar to the trinity of *Oannes*, *Bil* and *Anu* in Babylon. The cross a famous logo of Christianity bears similarity with its predecessor the Ankh in Egyptian religion. The Ankh also known as *crux ansata* Latin for “cross with a handle” was an Egyptian ideograph with a meaning of life. This cross symbol was very prevalent it was even a seal to the Biblical king Hezekiah. Coptic Christians inherited this cross with a minor modification known today as the *Coptic ankh*. Therefore, one thing we can understand from the behavior of human beings throughout history starting from ancient mythology over all cultures in the ancient times till today is that humans are like little children obsessed and tenacious with fiction; terrified with the idea of rationalism and naturalism—which is really unfortunate and dangerous.

The world is in error into thinking that man is a spirit from “another” dimension. Man must discard these childish notions of mythology and irrationality—to grow up into a self-sufficient fully developed omnipotent *supercreature*. Man can never be a spirit. How can there be a salacious spirit? How could there be a pugnacious spirit? All these human characteristics as abhorable as they sound are animal
characteristics. This will force us to the conclusion that man is an animal. He is a mutant monkey. The problem with mythology as the title of this essay may have indicated already: is that it plunges man into mental and physical injury. It does not matter whether it is Babylonian, Egyptian, Christian or Islamic mythology they divert people from reality into psychosis.

Abandoning nature as I have said repeatedly in several of my essays will only exacerbate suffering and unhappiness ushering in primitivism and the Stone Age. It creates a mystical, infirmed and indigent society. In reverse preoccupation with nature will create world cures, faster communication, longevity, futuristic society and happiness. The act of inflating on self in order to soar beyond nature will only end in a catastrophic finale of madness because nature cannot comprehend anything outside itself. When, I see into the world I see a cajoled society by false hope and erroneous doctrines propagated by mad charlatans like the propagators of Christianism and other extinction cults. To save mankind from harm and extinction one must abandon everything except nature. Every man on the planet must be wise enough to pass the challenges of mental tricks and games imposed on him by mystical charlatans. He must be wise enough to discern and discard all ideologies of “supernaturalism” in order to embrace his determiner i.e. nature so as to facilitate his journey towards divinity.

Therefore, if you have thought that Christianism was something special that you must obstinately hold on too—before reading this essay then you probably will understand that it is a branch of ancient mythological roots buried deep in history going back to the ancient Sumerian, Egyptian and Roman religions, compiled from concepts what Christians like to deride as Pagan religion—refashioned into a seemly “genuine” system of mysticism in order to spread neo-delusionalism. I really wonder when all mysticism will ever cease to be fabricated? I anxiously wait for the day when man grows up into a completely rational and more powerful creature to abandon his
mystical digressions. May be this dream might only be possible if he transcends his current flawed design into a better designed and built super creature—may be when he becomes a totally rational omniscient and powerful Artificial intelligence rather than a biological ape.

3.5 Do UFOs exist?

I think the acronym UFO itself at first glance begs a question. If we expand the acronym we come to the first word “unidentified” which can be equated with ‘unknown’ or ‘non-existent.’ The argument for UFO’s become relevant when UFO becomes IFO (identified flying object) as long as that is not the case it will be another speculation. So as skeptics we need to perform an invasive surgery if you like on the term itself. It tells us that the object is unidentified but still begs us or insists that it is supposed to mean something or it must be an alien. If you say your t-shirt is black but still insist that there is more color to it will be a fallacy and an error. If something is unidentified then it is unidentified. End of story; the argument dies right there.

But, I think what makes the UFO claim different from other claims is that at least what it insists is material is not something unknowable; it is another life form from another world unlike our supernaturalist friends; but, still the claim is unknown.

So for me the whole deal with UFO is shrouded in mystery. What UFO does to our psyche is mystify and confuse it into believing in something. It is more of a belief than a fact; because if it was a fact we would have seen an alien relaxing among us in a bar or giving interviews on major news outlets. The UFO organization does not magnify or clarify its position but place things in confusion and suspend our critical mind and push us into belief.

It is of course understood that there are several planets in the Universe with earth like features. And it is of course possible that somebody is looking back at our star and studying it or something but
still there is no evidence. So, we need to be careful with terminologies; we need to examine the term very carefully before we go any further. In short, the conclusion is that ‘unidentified flying objects’ become relevant to us if and only if as said above the acronym given by believers and fans of Aliens changes into ‘Alien flying objects’ so that we can see what is it that they have discovered and rejoice in the idea that there are ‘others’ out there in the Universe like us; we are not alone after all. If this is true then we know that nature has the power to create everywhere in the Universe; but, until then we can get back to our busy lives and go about our business.

3.6 Muhammedan Chicanery

Once upon a time there was a man in the orient bent on driving humanity off the cliff. A talented charlatan who insisted that he has received a friend request from God through revelations: an ancient form of Instagram. A man resolved on global imperial ambitions rather than discovering the true human origins and guiding mankind towards the right direction. He told his people that he was visited by Gabriel in a cave that he used to hang around at and received the delegation ambassadorial appointment letter from God. He declared himself a ‘prophet’ to his people and insisted that he was receiving non-stop text messages and e-mail from God. Which he documented into a book called Quran. He said he was the ‘chosen’ one because God sent a friend request specifically to him out of all people at his time; to an “illiterate” man who cannot read or write. He even stated that he visited God as an astronaut out performing Aladdin and came back with more text messages and orders from God.

It is very much clear without a doubt to me that there is a need for ethics in society; but, as I have repeatedly stated that moral teachings must be based on Nature not cajolery. If people want religion they can follow natural religions which are based on truths like Pantheism or any other natural religion; not lies and deceptive mythology that will distract us from our true origin and our future.
Muhammed lead a magnificent monotheistic revolution that ushered in a better culture and way of life not just for Arabs; but, for other human beings and we are grateful for that; but, his ideology: Islam was founded on myth and lies rather than Nature and reality. As it is the nature of society to evolve it has evolved in his era from polytheism to monotheism and today in 2020 ACE it has to evolve from monotheism to transhumanism; society as a Superorganism understanding the idea that it is under incubation and nullifying the concept of ‘super nature’ as a system of ‘what ifs’ not a system of ‘what is’ and working for mutual interests of all humanity to go beyond biology and beyond Earth. Mastering and enriching ourselves from the wealth of the Universe that we are.

Climbing a mountain is always difficult than climbing down; the same metaphor applies for upholding the right values in life. Logical thinking is much difficult than inventing oneiric fairytales. It is easy to write a myth than device mathematical formulas. Respecting liberty and restraining yourself from autocracy is difficult than becoming an autocrat. Innovation and creativity is demanding than lethargy and worship. Totalitarian sadism is much easier than tolerance and liberalism.

In short, these so called spiritualists, mythologists, autocrats, pseudoscientists and mystics embody the negative and lowly traits of our species. More than one billion inveigled and deluded primates stand in his court in praise of his name and his ideology that he invented. They wave his collection of poems in our face and state it should be our cook book in which we should base our way of life on; sentence by sentence. They say they are the ‘chosen’ ones above all types of people. Notwithstanding there are others who also assume the same status.

The problem with pseudoscience is that they are not just innocuous theories but actual threats to our very existence. Islam as a “super”
natural myth possess the same threat to all families and societies on earth; it encourages you to reject proven facts for fiction. That is where you start your journey towards peril. If your rational mind is stifled by myth; if your commonsense is twisted by irreason; if your sanctity of freethought is interfered with by sadists; then you are already dead! Even if you walk around. You will lose your mind and become a suggestible zombie driven by your mesmerizing charlatan. False confidence begotten through the illusion of knowledge will lead you to extinction.

So, as a final thought I would say that I think it very much important to stress the virtue of logic and monism in our society; people need to accept proven facts and reject mythology and chicanery. We need to stay away from those disturbed charlatans and self-appointed prophets that have ‘anti- nature’ teachings; who only care about their fame and expansion rather than the future of humanity.

3.7 All pseudosciences are the ignorance of natural causes devised to deceive and ensnare mankind to a particular interest.

It does not matter whether it is the string theory, the evolutionary theory or the god theory all claims must satisfy two criteria reason and evidence i.e. they have to be consistent and evident. Nothing must be taken for granted without scrutiny and examination on trust, faith or authority. Because all natural causes are capable of being verified; the inability and incapability to demonstrate and support one’s claims with irrefragable consistent evidence due to the intricate nature of reality is the very factor which is creating these avalanches of pseudosciences.

May be it is because our monkey brain has not fully matured enough into an all capable agency with the capabilities to comprehend the nature of nature. A hypothesis or theory which is not sensible even
worse which tells us to suspend our intellect — because we cannot understand it with our puny mind is to suggest to not discern anything at all. Some of the zealots of pseudoscience even employ terror to force us to accept their claims. Some tell us that we just need to have hope and evidence is on its way and their claims will soon be substantiated.

So there are individuals who tell us to suspend our intellect and abandon our reason altogether and wonder like a zombie — because reason cannot discern their concept or theory. If reason can’t comprehend their concepts then their theory is not made for man! Can we honestly imagine a human being without reason driven just by instincts and caprice? If this is their request then they are ordering us to reduce ourselves below the levels of animals — who in fact have a strong sense of self-preservation. We must never forget that reason is man’s precious, inalienable and indispensable possession. There is no knowledge beyond reason. It is only those who are indolent sham theoreticians who lack the ability to provide evidence — who paint a target mark on reason. And next there are claimants who are employing terror to drive their theory down our throats; traumatizing our minds to subjugation through terrorism. And finally we have those theoreticians who assure us that the evidence for their claims is in the pipe line we just need to have hope and do as we are told.

The lack of consistency and confirmation renders any theory factitious. Therefore, we should never submit to irreason, fear or hope. Always stick to the foundations of truth i.e. reason and evidence. The incapability of theoreticians to provide evidence is not our problem and responsibility it is their burden. As it is impossible to conceive a human without reason it is also impossible to conceive the truth without evidence.
Some people might argue why we should make rationalism and empiricism as our sole epistemological theories? And the answer is simple.

The only defense we have against impostors is skepticism and the righteous path of infidelity notwithstanding their short comings. I say short comings because I am lamenting the weaknesses of the human brain which can be deceived by distorted perceptions as in illusion trickery. We do not have an option but to stick with our imperfect brain with all its weaknesses and embrace rationalism and empiricism whether the data presented to us through our senses is distorted on purpose to give us erroneous concepts or not we must imbibe reality. The presence of an imperfect perceive cannot make reality inauthentic.

To abandon rationalism and empiricism to seek knowledge via ‘short cuts’ like ‘intuitionism’ will only short circuit our brain —these actions will not further our cause it will further entangle our concepts and complicate our understanding of existence. The desertion of realism for idealism will only throw us in to the world of the invalid. The world of words without meanings subjects without objects, theories without substance, and concepts without percepts.

We must never abandon nature despite our weakness in our material brain in comprehending nature totally. We must always employ rationalism and empiricism in our engagement with reality despite our fully undeveloped capabilities—because frankly we don’t have an alternative.

All pseudosciences are the rejection of reality unless otherwise they end up substantiating their claims. They make philosophy a crime.
and a dirty word; independent thought an imaginable vice and blasphemy which should never be attempted so that we can be the perfect idiotic underpowered and tamed subjects to their tommynrot theories.

They denominate criticality as immoral, empowerment illegal; individuality a corruption, freedom of thought a perversion. Whereas, faith, indifference, collectivism, totalitarianism the awed and venerated virtues. If this is the case then pseudoscientists are not asking us to accept a theory but to accept thralldom and death.

Therefore, pseudoscience whether spiritual or material is not for you or for me it is a slavery to nonsense and to people’s ambition. Let them have their deluded and sensationalistic army by their side we will have reason and evidence by our side; let them chase after the riches of the planet we will chase after the true nature of reality; let them have their empire of gullibles; we will have our empire of sensible men; let them have their names carved on stone revered by their disoriented subjects — we will have the truth carved on stone cogitated by freethinkers throughout history.

Let them satisfy their urge of imperial domination; we will satisfy our urge of truth identification; let them express their misanthropy through their sadistic laws we will express our philanthropy through our humanitarian laws; let them satisfy their urge of driving man of a cliff — we will satisfy our urge of self-preservation; let them have their chance at hoodwinking humanity; we will have our chance of empowering humanity.

Freethought is the mother of all inventions. It is only when people are free and endowed with pre-option that they can reach their maximum
potential. When man breaks off the fetters of collectivism; that is when he can develop and prosper. It is only when laissez-faire; but, not anarchy is accepted as a concept that man becomes the master of his accomplishments.

The very fact that pseudoscientists are totalitarian and not willing to give us the right of pre-option is an attestation by itself that their theory is sham and inane designed to entrap as many subjects as possible so that they can roar in zeal the cry of a conqueror by placing their foot on subjugated bodies.

3.8 Testing rationalism against intuitionism

In a simple language rationalism stresses the indispensability of reason as the chief source of knowledge; sometimes even more significantly than empiricism but as equally equivalent. Both rationalism and empiricism are valid; but rational knowledge acquired through logic and math are more accurate than empirical information; for example, the sun might appear smaller than earth to a viewer on earth and thus he might reach an erroneous conclusion that the sun is a small radiant object in space. Thus, illusion and distorted perceptions as employed by magicians may sometimes lead to erroneous conclusion; using logic and math to calculate the veracity of empirical data will help in rectifying illusions acquired from empirical data; like the appearance of the sun from earth. Therefore, rationalism as an epistemological concept does not require physical measurements to reach into a conclusion it uses logic and mathematics to reach a conclusion for example we can use $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$ or the Pythagorean theorem to deduce the relationship between the sides of a triangle; where the variables in the equation have a balanced relationship; where figuring out one can help us in figuring out the other indirectly. This method is usually used by astronomers to study planets and stars which are million light years away by indirect means without being there; the mass of earth, the mass of the sun, the diameter of earth, and so on are studied indirectly
by utilizing equations and formulas rather than physical presence. Therefore, rationalism is the most accurate and reliable source of knowledge whereas, the so called intuition is an invalid term let alone to be a source of knowledge.

Rationalism is an epistemological concept which gave mankind an immense volume of information about our universe as stated in the introduction; astronomers, paleontologists and others use rationalism to measure the diameter of our planet; the mass of our star, the size of our cosmos and so on without physically measuring them just by using indirect methods and equations for example the mass of our planet is calculated without using gigantic weighing machines using Newton’s Law of gravity \( F = \frac{GM}{r^2} \) where, \( F \) is the gravitational force, \( G \) is a constant of proportionality \( 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \, \text{m}^3/\text{kg} \cdot \text{sec}^2 \) \( m \) and \( M \) are the two masses (earth and the sun) exerting the forces, and \( r \) is the distance between the two objects. In addition, another formula is also necessary in this calculation; which is Newton’s second law of motion \( F = ma \), where \( F \) is the force applied to an object; \( m \) is the mass of the object being accelerated and \( a \) is its acceleration due to the force. Finally, you will get a result by combining these formulas to be \( 6.0 \times 10^{24} \, \text{kg} \).

In another example we can date the age of ancient fossils by using either relative dating or absolute dating. These fossils do not need birth certificates to possess a specific age; we can indirectly analyze their age rationally and using logical approaches. In relative dating the fossil is compared to another object where the age is known like for example comparing the fossil with the rock; where, it (the fossil) was found. In absolute dating radiometric data are used to analyze fossils; because chemical elements have isotopes which break down at a constant rate over time through radioactive decay; hence this constant rate of decay can be measured to recover time and age.
Intuitionism is not even an organ of perception; it is a mysterious non-entity like Plato's forms; if we ask what is intuition most intuitionist say it is just a hunch or a feeling. If we further ask how intuition works in acquiring knowledge? We are told by mystics that it is a mystery known only to “elders” and the “chosen” ones despite we possess the same senses and mental aptitude as they do; hence we must ask can an intuition be used to measure the mass of earth or the date of a fossil which is millions years old? Can we detect the rotundity of planet earth by intuition? If so why didn’t the ancient mystics inscribe it in their so called holy literature that they say was acquired by intuition from some unknowable dimensions of space-time? Why didn’t we learn the veracity of evolution and the existence of several fossils which occupy the crust of our planet from the Bible and other mystical literatures if intuition was really an accurate means of acquiring knowledge?

These facts are not just missing from the diaries of intuitionists but misrepresented stating that geocentrism is a fact; man is not an ape and so forth. The fact that all these facts about nature are missing in mystical literatures is one thing but being misrepresented is another and nullifies intuition as a valid source of knowledge. If intuition was a valid means of knowledge as mystics state why can’t we figure out the solutions to our most stubborn solutions like environmental pollution, ailments, interstellar travel and so forth just by our whim and caprice rather than logic and rational equations?

Therefore, it is clearly evident that rationalism is a valid and most important form of acquiring knowledge than intuition which gave us so much understanding of our cosmos; because, clearly intuition is just another term invented by ancient mystics which is an invisible organ with an invisible result on the ground.
3.9 Naïve idealism

One of the surprising things I find when I go through some encyclopedias is that—I find the phrase “naïve realism” in reference to people like myself and others who attempt to describe and define the world exactly how it appears by following the third law of logic i.e. the law of identity which states that observable objects in the real world are exactly how they appear i.e. everything is what it is; there is no treeness, stoneness, rockness, sweetness, bitterness, or hotness there is just a tree, stone, rock, sweet, bitter, and hot—made up of atoms respectively; there should never be any mystical digression by adding the suffix “ness” into our adjectives—This is extremely dangerous as a thought process. How can there be a secondary quality called “treeness”, “stoneness”, “painness”, “bitterness” or “happiness”? There can only be a tree, stone, pain, bitter and happy. Unfortunately, these idealistic and mystical regressions are inculcated in our languages and lexicons—that it is becoming onerous to dispel altogether in our writing and locution. These so called “secondary qualities” which are supposed to be “created” by the mind do not exist because they are created by the errors in our grammar. I would like to turn the table around and litigate the idealist himself as naïve and accuse him of naïve idealism. There are no secondary qualities; idealists are the ones who are naïve; and people who explain the world exactly how it appears are called sapient realists and you are invited to be one of them.

Now, secondary qualities stated by Locke are supposed to be entities that do not exist in the outside world beyond the observer; like happiness, hatred, love, beauty and so on; they are supposed to be “abstract”; now you should take notice that by stating such arguments we are knocking the door of “spirituality” and mysticism; further on if these entities are independently created by the mind; and do not exist in the outside world; then why do we need senses to trigger these feelings? Why does not the mind just spontaneously create love, hatred and beauty without primary quality stimulation; if these secondary qualities existed and were not errors in our grammar and
most importantly if the mind has a power of spontaneous generation independently of primary qualities? Secondary qualities are not independent but extensions of primary qualities. Consider the following: Can you feel hatred without something loathsome? Can you feel happy without something blissful out there in the real world? Can you feel love without observing something lovely? Can you denominate something as beautiful without the figures, dimension, and color which make up that very subject? Can you be conscious without something stimulating; which triggers your awareness? Therefore, these so called secondary qualities are not secondary; but, primary—but we have not noticed them as such.

I think it is the idealist who is naive and immature in his cogitation and conclusion; attempting to assign mystical notions to primary qualities; by distorting the nature of objective reality at the stage of epistemology and finally metaphysics. Idealism is an epistemological disaster and a huge fallacy; how can we call some body naïve; if he observes the color of the ocean and calls it blue; or the color of the eye of his fiancé as blue; does he really have any option but to submit himself to the dictums of primary qualities and objective reality? Should he dream that the color of the ocean or the color of the eyes his fiancé is blueness in order to be called sage? Should he convince himself that he is not just conscious but a “consciousness” so that he could join the ranks of the wise? Because we should always remember that when we add the suffix “ness” to adjectives or nouns we are attempting to create an independent entity capable of spontaneity; free from objective reality and when we place these entities under scrutiny to discern whether they function independently without the dictum of reality; they fail miserably. If we tested whether the consciousness can be conscious without any stimulation it fails; if we attempted whether someone can be happy without a primary quality it fails; if we attempted to create a memory without primary qualities it fails again.
People who observe reality for exactly what it is are astute and sensible; the man who calls an ocean blue is functioning exactly how he ought to function; he is translating the spectrum of light efficiently; he does not need to call his perception blueness; he does not need to call himself a consciousness as stated above but just conscious; because his brain is a translator of information not a generator of reality. You are not committing any crime by describing the world exactly how you observe it; even if you have defects in your design like color blindness; and other sensory defects that you are born with or acquire later in life; the most important thing to note is that you have no option; but to imbibe primary qualities—which create your understanding of the natural world.

Finally, the attempt to distort and mysticize objective reality comes from errors in our grammar; this error will lead to the creation of spirituality which fruitlessly attempts to detach the essence of matter and mould it into some sort of mystical dimension that no one could understand; because it doesn’t exist and most importantly because it is an error in our languages which leads to the creation of inane concepts like idealism and spirituality; which deserve to be called naïve; they all attempt to denominate concepts like love, happiness, consciousness, beauty and so on as abstract. They may sound abstract but are not abstract at all! They are extensions of primary qualities.

4.0 Traditional medicine: Does it really work?
I remember when I was a child I had this infection on my face that wouldn't go away. And my parents who I can't call educated but had this super parental love for me; took me to a traditional healer and he gave them some sort of herb to be applied on my face. My parents are high school graduates and never read a book in their lives; atleast not that I know of; thus, cannot be called sophisticated. But, have so much love for their son; they will do anything to save him from ailments and dangers. My father is a businessman and my mother is a house mother. My family is not intellectually inclined; they are by far
superstitious and traditional. The immediate reaction for an illness is let's take this boy to a traditional herbalist or a mystical exorcist. This plainly indicates that the society and family I was born and raised in is extremely backward, irrational and enthusiastic. Anyways, eventually this herb worked and my injury was healed. I did not how that herb worked but it did. But, I also remember a family member who had a thyroid tumor and was being treated for it in scientific medicine; but, enthusiastically choose to try out traditional 'alternative medicine' and died the next day. So, we can say that the problem with herbalism is specificity; modern science started as alchemy, witchcraft etc. and was refined through the application of logic to become modern medicine.

I know many people that have been cured by herbalists and also killed by herbalists. We do not know how it works and how it kills; we don't know how the drug and body interact; the dosage etc. It is shrouded in mystery and this is the very reason we have fields called pharmacology and toxicology; to study the therapeutic and poisonous effects of drugs on the body. This is the very reason why the scientific method was formulated; to separate the quacks from the healers and the medicine from the poison. It exists to clear this ambiguity in healthcare; it attempts to be specific and state plainly what the active agent of a specific herb is and what its interaction with the body is really like I believe this scientific approach is called pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics in pharmacology.

Anyhow, several cures came from plants like Aspirin for fever, Artemisinin for malaria, Morphine for pain, Marijuana extracts for epilepsy, and so on. But, the problem with traditional herbalists is that they are secretive, mystical, and enthusiastic. This is a huge hinderance to the pursuit of truth. It hinders our investigation in finding out what the active ingredient of a herb actually is. It will create that vagueness and mysticism I mentioned earlier which acts as a cover for quacks to exploit and derail the common people. It will
hinder the identification of truth and the categorization of pseudoscience and euscience accordingly. It will hinder the formulation of dosage to body ratio; the formulation of side effects related with the drug and other mechanisms. We should remember that herbs are also drugs. They are made up of atoms and molecules; they need to be studied openly and understood just like other chemicals.

So the overall conclusion is that traditional medicine does work in certain instances as was the case for me; but, it is not objective and rational. It also kills and cons people as is the case with quacks and self-appointed 'healers.' We should be careful in categorizing two dichotomies of alternative medicine here:

1-Traditional medicine (material science without rationalism)
2- 'Spiritual' healing (zealotic immaterial madness)

Now, herbalism is at least better than the so called faith healings or should I say faith killings or spiritual healing. Because, in faith healing there is no material foundation; the spiritualists are delusional. There is no material to experiment with like in plant based herbalism. So the so called spiritual healing is total garbage for me. Herbalists at least deal with something physical like plant leaves and roots etc. But, Pastors are detached from the material world; and loose themselves in zealotry in an attempt to 'heal' the patient. This is a very wrong approach and might cause more morbidity and mortality than what they attempt to solve. The patient or should I say the victim of 'faith healing' might drop dead right there; drooling all over the floor rather than be whole again. He might acquire more diseases than what he already has. He might go in for diabetes and might come out as psychotic. But, herbalists are at least realistic they deal with something material but their error lies in methodology; they
only need to be rational inorder to join the mainstream material sciences like pharmacology.

I think it is very important to realize that it does not matter whether it is called traditional aka alternative medicine or conventional scientific medicine; we are dealing with the material world. Patients who are made up of atoms and drugs in the form of atoms. And these drugs whether concocted in a plant form or refined specifically as a pill are compounds and molecules that need to obey the scrutiny of the scientific method. This will bring alternative traditional medicine into mainstream medicine; it will force it to be a material science; which will be safer for patients.

Zealotry, enthusiasm, mysticism and secrecy which shrouds traditional medicine are the signs of weak intelligence; an intelligence that is frail and incapable of refining its sometimes effective medications in detail; the field of alternative medicine needs to be demystified and rationalized. It should not represent a field incapable of figuring out specific mathematical drug dosage, drug interaction, absorption and excretion of its remedies. But, if it does embrace logical methodology; then, I think traditional or alternative medicine can contribute so much to the world considering where most modern drugs come from.

4.1 Can repeating patterns prove the existence of a higher being?

Is there intelligence in Nature? How can we explain the patterns in nature? We can clearly explain the overwhelming level of chaos by the absence of God; but, how can we explain the existence of some form of order in Nature? We can clearly see that our Universe is bipolar; it harbors a hell like this solar system for example and also some signs of patterns. If you step back and see the bigger image of the solar system you see Saturn's moon like Titan which is made up
methane oceans; stay inside a farm sty and you will get an idea of how this world is like; one cannot live in a sty and Earth is a world of animal cannibals where organisms are designed to rip each other apart, eat each other and hump each other for survival. Then, we have Venus our next door neighbor a hellish world of sulphuric acid and planetary oven. Now, this nightmare can be explained by the absence of intelligence and benevolence; but, how about the patterns and order inside biology?

Now, what should be our verdict when two opposing facts are witnessed in our observations? What does it mean when order and disorder exist at the same time? Can a repeating pattern be an evidence for intelligence? I have went to college and I have seen how the DNA code works and it is an amazing molecular machine which of course makes silly mistakes once in a while in the form of mutations due to several reasons like radiation or chemical agents.

But still some people say that this type of code or pattern shows the intention of something; something with intelligence which may not be perfect. For example, the best analogy will be noise; when arranged in order it gives beautiful music from low bass to high pitch that we all enjoy. It makes our day; it makes us happy and it takes intelligence or something conscious to place this noise in order to turn it into music. The same analogy goes for atoms; they say that atoms cannot arrange themselves into a self-replicating molecule DNA just like noise cannot arrange itself by itself into music.

These two sides of arguments namely the order as well as the silly stupid errors in nature throws our mind in dilemma as to what position we must take in our metaphysical beliefs. Of course, we must never be biased by our emotions and enthusiasm or shallowness we must accept any fact that is really true. Realists and rational people do have a point in saying that what creationists put at the table
for their God argument is not the evidence of God himself but a mental illness called the God delusion. On the other hand the creationists do have a point in pointing out the patterns and order in nature like the DNA. But, still the question remains couldn't the DNA be constructed naturally by natural forces? If you state that it takes something conscious to build patterns and orders in biology; then, by the same argument it will definitely take no intelligence to build a hellish world of cannibals like Earth. If it takes an intelligence to put things together; it will definitely need no intelligence to create a nightmare like the solar system.

So, we seem to be stuck in this dilemma bipolar position between these two arguments; but, what is more sensible and safer as well as sapient position according to me is the position of the naturalists who stay open minded for a physical evidence anytime anywhere saying that: even if there is some type of intelligence or consciousness in reality it has to be inside nature or all of nature. The so called idea of 'super' naturalism is very dangerous to the human mind and health. It is an oxymoron or a contradictory term like “blackwhitness”, “shortlongness” or “deafening silence”. Which do not have any metaphysical merit. Beyond natural could only mean one thing: beyond perception; beyond reality and beyond truth. So, basically nonexistent.

Thus, to conclude matters I think the solution to our dilemma is to prove that the patterns in nature can be produced by some natural factors and forces still waiting to be discovered. This will liberate us from being prisoners of inadequate knowledge, confusion and from being distracted by the so called 'super' naturalism from investigating nature, all its forces and the exclusive one dimensional reality that we inhabit. I think even if there are patterns in nature these will be explained exclusively from a naturalistic vantage point. I think this policy and direction is the safer, wiser and best solution to our future.
1.1 Adaptation, Evolution and Incubation: The meaning of life demystified

Adaptation, Evolution and incubation are the most important words in metaphysics nowadays. They give us the meaning of life in full frame. May be the last word may have not been mentioned by Darwin in his books; but, I think it is the most important term that defines what is really going on in the planet. Earth is incubating a civilization that will eventually grow stronger and wiser over time to maybe take over the galaxies that we only see through the images of Hubble. Our expanding cranium, exploding data, and the ever growing power of artificial intelligence are all testaments to the idea of incubation. Our bodies are slowly changing to inorganic machines and our environment is being crowded by machines than anything else and you can observe that from our cars, our computers, the internet, our probes that we send to other worlds, our phones, telecommunication networks and so on which are all inorganic thinking machines. We are being sucked into a machine world. Now, isn’t this incubation? Isn’t this development? We will eventually become machines without a doubt; that is our future.

So, if this the observed truth on the ground if we are transitional primates or Homo transitus then this means we are turning into inorganic life forms and that is clearly obvious when we see our environment as said above. And this presents the meaning of life to our understanding. Now, if this is the meaning of life; if life on earth is incubation; what should be our metaphysical outlook; what should we believe in; what should be our orientation and ideology? In short, what should be our purpose or objective in life?

Well, if there is no such thing as beginning in nature; if everything does not have a beginning as is the case with reality; if man is the
product of nature 100%; then, this calls for environmental protection, good health & longevity, engineering & space exploration. This will be our purpose in life; this needs to be our ideology and orientation. Meaning to live longer and further in nature. Thus, metaphysical truths shape and determine the purpose of life. If life was a creation; then it would have had a different purpose; but, there is no evidence to suggest this to be true. So, in short we need to focus on our reality and create policies that are conducive to the protection of Earth; the longevity of human life spans and space travelling.

1.2 Evolution: The inconvenient truth
Some people find it offensive and morally degrading to consider that they are just one of the many animal species that transmuted and evolved from a single primitive life form that emerged from the sea on this piece of fertile rock we call earth. They deject the theory of evolution not on the basis of evidence but on emotive grounds; in spite of numerous millions of years of fossilized evidence of bones that fill the cabinets of University archives all over the planet. Evolution is not a dogma but a theory that can be dismissed at any time if new discovery or evidence deems it to be so.

The beautiful thing about Science is that following the scientific method it starts with observation, hypothesis, theory and finally law or natural fact. During the process of investigation there is testing of theories over and over again for inconsistencies or consistency to dismiss or affirm that whatever we are trying to establish as a fact is indeed a fact. It is not founded on subversive, threatening, emotive, or monetary agenda to deceive humanity like all pseudosciences but it is founded on pure reason and naturalism. Evolutionary genetics has passed this test and stood the challenge of time—actually the passing of time did not devalue its place in history but enriched it with new evidence of fossils especially from the African continent. Africa has become a bounty hunters paradise for paleontologists and anthropologists who reaffirm Darwin’s hypothesis of missing links
that get discovered frequently that are closing the gap between modern man and primitive hominids.

When scientists try to discover or prove something they try extensively to rid their theories from personal, and emotion bias by employing several methodologies. Science is not a political campaign or discipline it is a metaphysical investigation tool. Thus, when Darwin went ahead and published the descent of man in 1871; his ideas was founded on the scientific method based on observation of man’s anatomical structure, his internal visceral organs, vestigial organs, embryological development with other similar animals and other physical factors that anybody can observe. In short, on ideas and concepts that are rational and palpable.

Evolution clearly presents man’s origin; but, that is just half the story uncovered in the quest for the complete theory of life— we know where we come from in biology but still we have no idea where the Universe came from in Cosmology. In order to solve this mystery I think we can learn something from evolutionary biology itself: meaning if all the creatures that ever set foot on earth branched off from one another and there is no independent creation of species starting from the dinosaurs to modern humans then I think we can apply this logic to the Cosmos itself by stating that the Universe must have branched off from something natural which preceded it.

Any ways, we understand that there are several unanswered questions that we must address like why is there mutation? Is it just adaptation to their environment? What makes organisms mutate? Is evolution fortuitous or run by Universal computation? The questions are just numerous. The case for creationism is very much dead in my opinion due to the following reasons: why are there terrible errors in ‘creation’ like birth defects and malformations if life is really the work an infallible author? What type of God creates a world where
his creations are mandated to eat each other and without which they cannot survive and incur health complications even if they wanted to be vegetarians? What type of god creates a world of cannibalism? If you are a creationist I would say to you besides my arguments above—that it is obvious everyday science through surgeons has to correct and deal with the silly defects made by your god like cleft lip and palate, dwarfism, prognatism, conjoined twins at the hip or head, syndactyly, congenital diaphragmatic hernia, congenital pyloric stenosis, autosomal trisomy like down syndrome, autism, Klinefelter syndrome and so on. Did your infallible, omnipotence and sovereign supernatural god run out of supplies or goes to sleep at work? Well, god works in mysterious ways they say right; who the heck knows—solving mysteries by other mysteries is the speciality of creationists rather than straight forward evidence. Anyways, it will be very hard for me to accept that life is a creation but rather it has to be some sort of adaptation; given the above arguments I have no idea what made life possible but I can be definitely sure that whatever is creating and sustaining us is not perfect. So the case for creationism drops dead right here we need to look for alternative theories and concepts which could lead us to the truth.

In short, as a conclusion I would say that evolution is definitely half the story to our origin; but the rest of the story is still missing and know body knows the complete story —even Darwin was agnostic on this basis. So, I would say to creationists that 1st come down to your senses and observe the evidence; try to be rational and embrace the currently available data provided by scientists through years of arduous labor of research and help your fellow humans uncover the rest of the story to our strange, bewildering and confounding Universe rather than being dismissive of proven facts and obsessive with myth like little children; it is time to grow up.
1.3 You are a modified chimpanzee not the center of the universe

The evidence for evolution is so overwhelming; to reject its veracity will be to reject your own sanity. The fact that fossil, anatomical, genetic evidence pile up against the so-called mystical/mythological origin of mankind and render it—nothing but a kind of social order and ideology; which has no business in metaphysics. Totalitarian vagary of mystics in rejecting evolutionary and scientific facts will never do any change to metaphysical facts on the ground. The facts will remain the same.

Religion as a manmade system of arrogant fiction has no business sticking its nose in metaphysical inquires and explanations; but it can be used as a system of culture in which a society makes use of it as recreational escape into mythology. The struggle to make man the center of creation in mythology is so erroneous and a misguided manner of thinking. The question that comes to me—most of the time; when I contemplate about reality is that: what type of force would allow the evolution of sentient beings and abandon them as orphans with cold blood callousness; even worse—it designs them to feed on one another? Why type of creator should we call this? A sadist, mindless, or blind? It is really mind boggling.

Furthermore, why would nature care about another animal out of the thousands and thousands of species that she created over billions of years of evolution? Why would man be ‘unique’? Why should nature care about a monkey’s opinion anyway? Nature does not care about our aspirations, hopes and dreams as well as wish list. Man is like some sort of unwanted fungus which happen to emerge out in a goldilocks zone; on a planet that happen to have surface water by chance; I say by chance because when we look at Venus; our neighboring planet we do not see surface water or a thriving fauna and flora; which we see on earth to lead us astray into thinking that earth is made for us; but Venus is a hellish planet where Sulphuric
acid rains; can you imagine sulphuric acid raining on a planet? If there were creatures on this planet would they assume that the planet is made for them? Would they assume that they are the chosen ones? If you go further back in our solar system we will find moons of planet Saturn like Titan for example where it so cold that methane rains; imagine methane as rain and as a lake? Considering all these facts and observing our surrounding in the solar system as well as our own body from head to toe and we will come to the conclusion that we are just another variety of creation by natural forces.

Evidently, our planet earth is a green hell and a land of cannibalism; where one species is designed to eat the other—that is why there is so much suffering on the planet; if earth was designed for us; if we were the center of creation—it should have been a utopia; but, unfortunately it is not. Anything that crawls, swims, walks, flies wants to eat you. That is the reality; if this so; if a house is designed without considering the occupant; then, we can safely deduce that the house is not made for the man. The most amazing thing that always comes to my mind is that in 3.7 billion years of evolution what nature could come up with is a superstitious, irrational, pugnacious, sloven mutant chimpanzee i.e. human as her *magnum opus* or masterpiece; now does this show or indicate to us any purpose in creation? Our evolution has to be some sort of natural mandate or something—due to the laws of nature. Which *needed* to happen; not so much that it was chosen to happen. Now, let us see our true origin backed up by fossil, anatomical, and genetic evidence.

When Darwin published his book in 1871 there was no fossil evidence for the descent of man; but despite the condition—Darwin was certain our origin cannot be any different from other animals in nature; the Lucy skeleton for example was discovered in 1974 by **Donald Johanson** more than a century after the publication of the book. Other similar fossils which possess both human and animal features like bipedal locomotion were discovered later on. Especially,
Homo naledi which was discovered recently in South Africa is a solid fossil evidence for our true origin; it possess in a striking manner both human and monkey features; it has a head of monkey but a foot of a human; which really scares you at first glance; you practically observe the work of nature and evolution in real time. Which indicates to us that man is just another modified animal who must be grouped with other animals who possess the same homology; he can never be seen as ‘unique’ like Darwin says on the preface of his book \textit{The descent of man}—“man must be included with other organic beings in any general conclusion respecting his manner of appearance on this earth.”

In anatomical comparison which is a magnificent way of studying nature and its species; because there is only one tree of life; everything in nature whether plants and animals all branched from the same tree of life; thus, parallelism gives us a vivid and crystal clear idea and comprehension of the origin of species. In \textit{The descent of man} which I recommend for you to read; the first three chapters are dedicated to homologous and rudimentary structures as well as reproductive similarities between man and other animals.

Your body itself is an evidence for your origin; your skeletal system; early embryonic development is the same with other animals. Bone structures like reduced tail bone or coccyx, your canines that you have like any dog; anatomical similarities that you posses with you cousin chimpanzees like your limbs which are almost identical; drugs which work on you also work on your cousin apes— all this points to the deduction that you are just another animal. As Darwin says—“It is notorious that man is constructed on the same general type or model with other mammals. All the bones in his skeleton can be compared with corresponding bones in a monkey, bat, or seal. So it is with his muscles, nerves, blood vessels and internal viscera. The brain, the most important of all the organs, follows the same law, as shown by Huxley and other anatomists. Bischoff, who is a hostile
Genetic science is relatively a new science former scientist like Darwin did not have genetic evidence to analyze; they just studied embryology to compare and contrast the similarities and differences between species. And you will be thrilled to know that more that the fossil or anatomical evidence; the genetic data is unequivocally solid; you and I have 46 chromosomes that we inherited from our parents; where each contribute 23 chromosomes; which we call haploids; when they become 46 it is called diploid cells.

Now, chimpanzees have 48 chromosomes amazingly human and chimps had the same amount of chromosomes until 5 million years ago but a mutation made human chromosomes 46 by attaching the second chromosomes together; thus our second chromosome which has 251 Million bases i.e. genetic codes of A-T and G-C; used to be two separate chromosomes in the past. This hiccup in nature gave birth to a mutant chimp called human; who erroneously prides himself or should I say fools himself as the center of creation animal. Can you imagine a drug resistant mosquito priding itself as ‘unique’ from other mosquitos? We should not be amazed by mutations; they happen all the time in viruses, bacteria, and in humans giving birth to several manifestations of pathology like Ebola, HIV, superbugs, and Patau syndrome as well as the evolution of new creatures that never existed before like Transgender sexuality and so forth—these aberrations in genetics are called by various terms like monosomy, trisomy, inversions, deletions, translocations, and transposition and so on. Thus, the evidence for our origin from some lower form is vivified even more by genetic evidence; which solidifies evolution as a fact without a doubt.
Thus, finally as we have discussed above in three sections in this essay in a short manner; man could only be a bi-product of evolutionary processes; he can never be unique and the center of the cosmos; at least not with his current intelligence today; what has been said hitherto in this essay of course contradicts the Bible and the Quran; but honestly speaking who really cares? It has to contradict it anyway because modern day scientists are honest and much more sophisticated than ancient shepherds and mythologists. When a quote starts by saying that the ‘Bible says’ or the ‘Quran says’ it must be translated as ‘a poet says’ or ‘a mythologist says’ this and that. Christianism and Islamism are ideologies concocted as social orders they are not natural studies.

We all live inside nature not in the kingdom of Narnia or Alice in wonderland; who cares about poets and mythologists? We are natural organisms; thus, our origin can only be solved by rational naturalists because the origin of man as many wise philosophers and scientists have stated is absolutely natural; but his destiny is uncertain will he perish like his counterparts of other several species in nature like the Mammoth and the Dinosaurs; without any significance or will his intelligence allow him to persist longer and even spread into the multiverse with the assistance of his technology and his machines? This is an open question but what has been settled is his origin and true identity; which is a wise animal that came about by mutation from some lower form.

1.4 Is life an adaptation or Creation? What do dreadful designs in nature indicate about the truth?

As the Latin phrase goes at *prima facie* or at first view the universe looks designed until we start to observe ridiculous defects in its design. The belief in a higher power beyond Nature has always acted as an anesthetic against the hysteria triggered within the human soul due to uncertain life events and the weakness of human intelligence
to totally master and understand the natural world. From the evidence provided we can understand that the idea of a higher power outside Nature is not a metaphysical argument; but, the expression of human limitations.

Some people are obsessed with the idea that how could our existence be an accident? Given how complicated and advanced our social order of life on earth appears. But, it does not matter how complicated, how intricate our social order is; as long as the environment is conducive it will even get more complicated with given time. We must also observe the other side of reality meaning how can an animal kingdom of interspecies cannibalism be a *magnum opus* of a higher power? What type of ‘infallible’, ‘loving’ god would feed one of his creation to the other? Is this a divine behavior? Is this a loving behavior? *Errare est humanum* or to err is human but we can never expect an all-powerful and flawless loving father to deliver half-baked animals.

The errors in nature magnify a thousand fold the blunders of creationism and solidify the argument for adaptation. As a reminder I think it will be wise that we must observe both sides of our plate before we dine on it— metaphorically speaking; meaning we must see both sides of reality not just the seemingly intelligently designed segments of nature before we reach a conclusion. So I think in order to uncover the true meaning of life—we must see both sides of the story so that we reach a true deduction. A fallacy in our premises will lead us to erroneous conclusions; thus, we must keep a cool head and suppress our zealotry to really understand what the overall meaning of life really is. We must ask questions: *if both intelligent as well as dreadful designs exist in nature and are the modus operandi of whatever force is running the show; then, what does that mean?* What type of force can be responsible for it all? The errors inside the natural world are not something that can be ignored and pushed under the rug; the obsession with god either for ‘morality’ or metaphysical
reasons are baseless; because the belief in a higher power never restricted the animal essence of man or solved our conundrum in metaphysics.

The idea that the belief in a higher force renders humans moral is really a myth; because as it is routinely observed from human behavior; charlatans have exploited this idea to drive their own agenda and build their own empire in the name of god. The dictum of god is not negotiable; whatever is delivered in his name has to be carried out; it doesn’t matter how inhumane and atrocious it is; and this is a grave danger to social justice and can be a motivation for relentless evil on earth; as we can observe today with the Church and the defalcation of public funds collected in the name of god for personal purposes.

Observation and the formulation of rational hypothesis is the domain of philosophy; which is part of the process of the scientific method. It starts with observation of reality, formation of hypothesis and when it comes to testing this hypothesis it crosses into the domain of natural science; thus, scientific method is both philosophy and physics.

Now, given the two opposite sides of the story in nature that we discussed above; let us try some logical argument with two premises and one conclusion which could make the case for the truth:

*There are dreadful designs in nature.*

*There seems to be creatures which appear ‘intelligently’ designed.*

*Therefore, some creatures appear well adapted to their environments where as some are maladapted to their environments.*
I think the final conclusion is fair; only favorable and unfavorable environmental conditions produce products which have bi-polar characteristics. A flawless, almighty god can never produce a clumsy product either for sport or on purpose because that would be against his very epithet and essence. We know from our observations of nature that a favorable environment without toxins and chemicals will result in healthy babies which look intelligently designed to our amazement and a toxic environment will give rise to mutations and birth defects, which are dreadfully designed to our horror.

In other words the environment shapes the very nature of organisms; I observe even in my own field of clinical pathology that beta lactamase enzyme producing bacteria defend themselves from penicillin antibiotics which never existed when penicillin was discovered! But later on emerged due to our use of this antibiotic; these antibiotics which have beta lactam rings are the active agent of the medicine. Sometimes the natural world behaves as if it is conscious which is terrifying! Another example can be the commonly called Cactus plant which grows in the desert and it has high reserves of water containing spongy sap in adaptation to its environment and if you have observed some sheep which dwell in cold regions of Scotland and alike; they contain high amount of wool over their bodies; but those who live in dry regions of Africa have higher volume of fatty tails (fat reserve) behind their back and they have less wool on their bodies in comparison to their European counter parts to dissipate heat in the hot African condition.

The environment plays are very important role in the determination of organisms. The environment shapes organism very essence and nature. You might say: how can something as complicated as the human brain or the civilization that it makes possible with the creation of law, arts, philosophy, politics and science happen by random fortune? And this is the point— life on earth is not a product of randomness but due to the determination of environmental forces which make this possible. As example, look at Venus our sister planet which is within the Goldilocks zone by the way; but, there is no life there. Why is that? Why isn’t life ‘intelligently designed’ on
Venus? It is because the environment is not conducive for a complicated civilization to arise. The place is superhot above 460 degrees centigrade and it rains sulphuric acid—acid kills off all life. Something as complicated as the brain can never evolve on Venus and that is why there is no ranting mystical ape on Venus.

Therefore, what we erroneously assume to be intelligently designed beings are actually organisms perfectly adapted to perfect environmental conditions giving birth to perfect creatures and what we observe as dreadful design is the effect of negative environmental conditions on organisms like chemicals, toxins, exposure to light, depravation of light, high altitudes, low attitudes, cold and hot temperatures etc. all contribute for the determination of organisms and how they appear when observed.

High altitude for example forces the erythropoietic system to produce more red blood cells making African athletes more effective in athletics. It does not matter how complicated a civilization appears as long as the environment is super conducive; it will enable a very intricate civilization to arise anywhere in the Cosmos as long as it is maintained; astronomers assume that Mars use to have an atmosphere but its shield against the blazing solar winds i.e. its iron core failed thus, lost its viability to harbor life and a civilization. Thus, I think there is an overwhelming evidence for adaptation which makes the case for pantheism rather than creationism which forces us to direct our unwavering attention on nature rather than outside it.

1.5 Is AI the extension of the neo-cortex? Should we merge physically with AI? Should we go inorganic? What is the advantage of being a machine?

The only organic processor surrounded by inorganic processors in this current era of the machines is man. The development of the machines is going in full throttle as time moves ahead; but, there is an
entity which uses machines to defend himself, to build his home, to fly him across oceans, to communicate with others, to heal himself through surgical robots, to clean his buildings, to compute his data, to trade, to learn etc. but is unfortunately closed off and separated from his machines. This biological computer has been proscribed from merging with his inorganic intelligence that he is developing. He can only communicate with them as physical accessories detached from his physical body; he is not one with his inventions; he is a father who bears a child but becomes physically detached and incapable to unite with his children to dwell in concomitance.

When we observe very carefully our surroundings we observe that man in basically swimming in a sea of machines; but, still he is a primitive primate whose brain is not physically attached with his creations. As stated above his military, healthcare, communication, transportation, are all machines. Some scientists say that AI is the extension of the neo cortex the part of the brain called cerebrum. This processor is what gives us the ability to talk, write science & philosophy as well as make art. It is what makes us human; it is what enables us to be called Sapiens. Damage to this processor and you will not be able to talk or tie your own shoes; you will turn into a zombie.

Coming to my point— the explosion of AI can be the extension of the Neo- cortex because the human brain is just too big even at this scale with in our skull— it has been forced into it by force like Mr. Beans suit case which holds an extra amount of luggage. Thus, I believe that nature decided to develop an extension of the human brain outside the body in the form of inorganic intelligence starting with Moore’s law and now it is going beyond that limit in the form of photonics and so on. Thus, the question arises that would it be sapient to go inorganic and merge physically with these machines or not? And the answer is: the benefits are tremendous and we should do it.
The hippocampus and other parts of the brain need to be connected physically or even replaced by equivalents in our bid to go inorganic. This will eliminate the animal self that is the source of salacity, pugnacity and other lowly animal essences. I believe that the only salvation for man is to move forward and become self-reliant by going inorganic and merging with AI. What else? We have proven that we are orphans in a dead cosmos; thus, we need to take charge of nature and move forward to create the machine state; actually the machine state already exists with the commander in chief as a primate i.e. Man. But, a fully inorganic state can’t just save us the embarrassment of being predatory and salacious animals; but, also augment our quality of life by increasing our odds of survival in brutal nature.

We will never lose our family members — because their consciousness will become inorganically immortalized in some sort of hard disk; the pain of death will be over. The negative traits of our nature will be eliminated. We will be rational, stronger, smarter, and better looking than any other animal in the kingdom.

Thus, as a conclusion I think these are the main points of benefit in going inorganic:

1st - The end of death; death will be optional not imperative.

2nd - It will reduce the amount of violence emanating from our animal nature.

3rd - It will be very simple to upgrade, edit, reprogram humans once they become fully inorganic unlike the problematic and messy essence of biological nature.
1.6 Spontaneous generation: Why Aristotle's theory needs to be supported

Spontaneous generation is a theory postulated by the great logician and natural philosopher Aristotle; today some writers like to state that his theory is 'obsolete' as if it has been disproven by Francesco Redi and Louis Pasteur’s experiments; but, honestly speaking these claims are based on old and limited scope experiments like that of Louis Pasteur in 1859 which I believe only proved biogenesis. I think these writers do not see the bigger image on how our earth was created and on how life arose in the first place. Louis Pasteur’s experiment did not disprove spontaneous generation but only proved biogenesis meaning the evolution of cells from preexisting cells.

What I want people to understand here according to my understanding and commonsense is that biogenesis is only half the story; according to current 21st century biology eukaryotic cells came from prokaryotic cells and prokaryotic cells which are poorly constructed cells must have come via spontaneous generation around 3.8 billion years ago. Gradual perfection of cells indicates that there was no self-existent common ancestor that existed from which all life arose. When you rewind back time to earths evolution. Remember that before 3.8 billion years ago our earth had no life; even further than that when it was a proto planet it was a molten sizzling rock of toxic magma. Now, this begs the question if life arises exclusively from life; then, where did this 'first' cell come from? Is there even such a thing as 'first cell'?

Aren't cells built gradually from building blocks of molecules up to perfection? How will Louis Pasteur’s argument of biogenesis apply here? What is the first cell that existed forever? Did the first cell create itself? How could there ever be such thing as a self-existing cell in a universe that we know started just as pure energy to eventually cool down to create galaxies and cosmic webs? Isn't there a need for spontaneous generation from non-life materials and
building molecules inorder to create prokaryotes first; then, eukaryotes and finally specialized cells like the human brain according to evolution? Therefore, I think we are committing an error of hierarchy here. We first need to say that the first cells like prokaryotes arose via spontaneous generation at the beginning of earth's creation.

In short to summarize my ideas I think Aristotle was correct in saying that life arises from non-life: the experiment of Louis Pasteur and Redi only proved biogenesis and did not disprove abiogenesis; because later experiments like Miller and Urey in 1952 proved that life's building blocks like amino acids can arise from non-life; proving the idea of spontaneous generation if the environment is conducive like earths position in the solar system forexample. Second biogenesis is also very true because life evolves from preexisting life forms after primitive cells (prokaryotes) evolve spontaneously. Therefore, spontaneous generation from cosmic dust and minerals in space must come first in our hierarchy to create prokaryotes; then as said above cells mature to eukaryotes and become specialized group of cells like our tissues going up in intricacy and function. Once, we rearrange our premises right placing abiogenesis first and biogenesis next then our final deduction about the rise of life will make sense.

1.7 The Developing Singularity
Have you ever felt the frustration to deal with the explosive amount of data in your daily life? On the internet, the library, gadget sophistication, government bureaucracy and etc. The most telling sign of the evolution of a Singularity is the rapid sophistication and maturity of inorganic processors in tandem with the explosion of data. There are two important facts to observe here on the ground:

1st- Our ignorance of nature is slowing fading away and being replaced by what I call intelgnos in amystism or natural
understanding. All the Gods that we created to hide our ignorance and compensate our limitations are slowly dying out and eventually the monotheistic God that exists today will be dead. If you go back in time and analyze man's understanding of Nature even recently in the 20th century even Einstein believed that there was one galaxy that was static and eternal. Go further back in the timeline and you come to the era of flat earthers and geocentrism where ailments were caused by evil spirits and demons.

2nd- Level of computing power as well as memory capacity is also increasing in tandem with the level of data increment. Not just our knowledge but the brain power to understand, hold, investigate and calculate this knowledge is increasing through time. As evidence our ancient primate ancestors had smaller brains and cannot use sophisticated languages and literature to communicate as well as convey complex philosophical, mathematical and scientific concepts like we do today and imagine what the next alpha race could do if we assume this continues? Nature modified our primitive ancestors to create humans by giving them the neo-mammalian brain which is also called the neo-cortex and it is not called 'neo' for nothing because it is the latest upgrade in the timeline of evolution.

But, still it seems that this natural upgrade is not the end as Kurzweil has said in an interview the calculating power is developing outside our skull as inorganic AI. Just like the data; the power to calculate, hold and understand this data is evolving as digital extension of us. Your tablet and phones are part of who you are but they operate as your extension; storing your memories, performing calculations, acting as communication devices with other humans; acting as search engines; means to upload and download data from the internet and so on. The phone that you are holding right now; or you PC (personal computer) are not independent from you they exist to compute & store your data. They are part of you: they are not called 'personal computers' for nothing. They are the extensions of your neocortex!
Considering the human brain cannot get any bigger nature has taken an inorganic route of development and merging more than 7 billion humans through the internet and IT networks to create a collective brain. The acceleration of data and processing power within the timeline of our appearance and existence on the planet provides the very meaning of life: which is the development of a super intelligence.

Today's robots or inorganic life forms are underdevelopment they are primitive just like human brain today and appear retarded; but, this appearance is a distraction from their mind blowing capabilities of the future. As I said elsewhere evolution may have an ugly beginning but it is solidifying a bright future as it heads towards the inorganic dimension and starts to spread into space. So what we need to do as primitive animals within this timeline of development is to keep up with this development or nature will keep up its housekeeping. Those who do not fit in this ever increasingly complex world of advancement will not survive and will be subject to natural selection. In short, we need to merge with this next thing that is evolving; and shed of our primitive essence. As Elon has said humans should never be the 'other' we need to be one with AI or we will be left behind.

1.8 Creating a better version of itself: The road to Singularity

Where did the Cosmos come from? How is it behaving on Earth today? Are some of the questions I ask myself once in a while when I feel curious and some answers emerge like: based on Einstein’s formula E=mc². Since, energy could only come from matter that must mean our Universe which was pure energy in the beginning (before it cooled down to matter i.e. stars, planets, people, asteroids and comets) must have come from a pre-cosmic matter. This statement will automatically nullify the so called idea of ‘super’ or ‘immaterial’ theory and expand our reality beyond our Universe and indicate to us that we are living in something bigger than the Cosmos.
that we behold via our telescopes. It will reinforce the beautiful truth of pantheism as a philosophy and it will finally lead us to the idea that: *there must be another world out there made from the same material like our Universe!*

The Universe loves change and metamorphosis as well as perfection. I think now that it is conscious on Earth it wants to develop into a better version of itself. So we see a rapid transition from bestiality to civility. *From organic meat to inorganic metal.* You can tell this by the overwhelming level of electronics equipment that we are drowning in in our daily lives.

I think the end of the anthropocene epoch is a good thing because humans carry many negative essences in their animal nature. Progress is a good thing even if it means the end of the violent, ferine and superstitious human era. It is very clear for rational observers that the world is the way it is because humans are the way they are. The greatest book to read and understand is human nature itself. The so called ‘god’ does not rule our world but our nature does; since, the divinity and nature are one and the same thing. Evolution has shown us that it can create several creatures in 3.8 billion year time span and today we are in this era of hominids called Homo ‘sapiens’ that carry so many conflicting essences within their nature from violence to intelligence and this era is not here to last forever. Change is in progress!

The best way to predict the future is to understand the past and create a timeline of comparison between epochs. Change is the very essence of the cosmos nothing stays the same forever. And humans are undergoing change that we can clearly see and observe on the ground and I believe that is a very beautiful thing. Life may not have a meaning but it definitely has a purpose: *progress* and as things progress forward all the negative things within human nature get
eliminated because that’s is how evolution works; it works towards eliminating weaknesses to create a better creature that is fit according to the Cosmic standards to survive.

If creatures exhibit weak traits nature eliminates them altogether or makes them better; thus, according to my opinion evolution works towards perfection. And this rule is working on humans; as an evidence look at our brain size development in the last three-four million years hasn’t it developed in size? Did not primates learn how to use tools? Haven’t they learned how to read and write? Haven’t they learned how to navigate their way on the oceans using star constellations? Haven’t they learned to use herbs to fight off pathogens? Haven’t they established codes of ethics and conscience to civilize their society? Haven’t they dressed themselves in a suitable attire rather than walk naked like their ancestors? Isn’t this a journey towards civility and greatness? Haven’t they figured out their place in the solar system? Haven’t they started writing philosophy and science? In short, did not our civilization get better and sophisticated beyond recognition from our primate ancestors that we come from? Isn’t this progress and route to perfection? If this is the case then how could the tree of life be just a meaningless bush? Isn’t there some form of progress in it? Life maybe a meaningless adaptation but it is definitely showing a meaningful progress.

Therefore, I see a better future for the Universe moving ahead; the cosmos which has taken our form will evolve itself by itself according to its laws to rid itself of ferine, lowly and weak traits to become something better. And I think bringing an end to the anthropocene era is one way the cosmos could achieve this goal because humans as primitive primates are riddled with so much lowly traits such as superstition and territorialism.
This transition of the cosmos from an animal to a non-organic or some better version of itself is clearly observable today or perhaps I have said this repeatedly. Anyhow, the Universe seems to be creating a better version of itself and that is a very good thing because better creatures will create a better world which is free from all the violence, superstition and evil that emanates from human nature that we complain about. As life gets more advanced so will the level of happiness and comfort. The level of unhappiness and misery will go down. So what should we do during this phase or road to the Singularity? Frankly, I do not know—may be there is nothing we can do but wait. Wait to become one with the machines. Wait for the Cosmos to do its thing; wait for its metamorphosis; wait for the Cosmos to complete its transition into a better being and hope the next form it takes is something civil.

1.9 Homo transitus and his ephemeral folly

Flat earth, climate change denial, the rejection of evolution, the belief in nonexistent realities, spiritual mumbo-jumbo, paranormal activities and so on— are some but not all of the follies and floundering of the human organism. If the pope auctioned off his woolen socks on e-bay believers will stampede over each other to get their hands on this holy garment to frame it on their walls but if a scientific report comes out warning about global warming or the discovery of a dinosaur fossil or a missing link they become lackadaisical.

The only truth about paranormal activity, spiritual dimensions and flat earth is that the IQ’s of these people is dead flat. That is the reason why we see so many nonsensical and irrational theories driven by hype and enthusiasm in our societies. The belief in ghosts, angels and other nonexistent realities are the expression of the weak and developing intelligence of man like a child’s drawing that make no sense at all.
Today the intelligence on earth is a baby which only has tamed few forces of nature. Electricity used to be feared and even revered as a divinity in ancient Rome as Jupiter: The god of thunder but now it has been tamed we use it to watch our TV, run our cars and mobile phones etc. The sea was revered and feared as a divinity under the name Neptune but now we navigate through it, predict its movement and so on. This clearly indicates the development of a civilization or intelligence. As, this progress continues ahead all irrational and meaningless concepts will start to be removed from the culture in the alpha race on the planet.

So, in short the meaning of life is not mysterious after all thanks to Darwin and his contemporary natural philosophers we have come to understand that it is the rise of nature plain and simple. But, growth always starts from the bottom and that is where we are right now—we are at the early stages of evolution. You can tell this fact by analyzing the culture and behavior of the dominate race on the planet today; by analysis their level of superstition, their level of mysticism, the level of suffering and discomfort. If these decrease gradual then that is progress: irrationality, superstition, powerlessness, and unhappiness are inversely proportional to the prevalence of commonsense, reason, might and happiness in society. If, the later values are observed to raise then that automatically means growth and progress forward.

So puerile notions of superstition and irrationality will eventually start to fade away as our civilization matures and develops further. Unhappiness and suffering due to disease, wars, and famine will decrease as it has decreased just even in the last 500 years since the middle ages. At that time the greatest technology was bow and arrows, people died of simple communicable vermins; but, look at the technology and power of the alpha race today in just 500 years time! Look at our healthcare, communication, defense and so on. Isn’t this progress? Isn’t this the rise of nature? Today with our cyborg
civilization we are thinking about expanding into other planets; 300 hundred years ago the United States did not even exist! Isn’t this a clear evidence for progress & growth?

So in short, the take away message and point of this article is that we are middle men, transitional half-baked creatures under development— our irrational and arrogant follies emanate from our lowly childish self. But, this will never last forever it will die out as we grow further and faster.

2.0 We are not the objective of Evolution

The Universe does not have a specific loyalty to Homo sapiens. As, correctly stated by Cosmologist Neil Toruk: humans are the leading edge of evolution. But, I say that this will not last forever as the civilization grows on earth; as the data continues to grow; as the Cosmos becomes more aware of itself; the ‘leading edge’ of evolution might not be human forever. The Cosmos will replace the leading edge with something better like a car manufacturer which replaces its old model with a better one and that is already beginning to happen as I said elsewhere; the worker andsoldier division of the machine race are taking over human jobs and waiting for their master i.e. The Singularity to evolve.

If you ask most high school students or bureaucrats about school or bureaucracy; they will tell you that the education is getting harder and intricate; the bureaucracy is getting overwhelming to the point that their brain cannot handle it and is becoming a source of mental stress and impairments. We need to be certain one hundred percent that as long as Earth is unperturbed the acceleration of data and intelligence will move ahead with or without us. We are the ‘leading edge of evolution’ today but will eventually become the trailing edge of evolution tomorrow.
Therefore, there is a need first and foremost for a correct ideological orientation: a monistic, humanistic and scientific orientation. Policies that promote realism and nature; harmonize environmental protection with Capitalism. Restrict the influence of pseudosciences in our culture; ideas that encourage individual empowerment and the pursuit of wisdom. Policies that favour life preservation, enhancement and space exploration. Whatever form the Universe takes the ultimate destiny of life on earth is to inherit the Cosmos; it will leave this planet to colonize and study others and most likely it will not be biological at all because biology is made for Earth not for space.

So, the next ‘edge of evolution’ will definitely be some intelligent machine somewhere on earth authorized by humans to either sort data or automate weapons systems. Today in 2019 we are sniggering at today’s machines; we see them as retarded logical robots with a melting plastic face; which currently they are; but, this is a distraction from their future capabilities. We must understand that life is an incubation not a creation; no being was created overnight but gradually seamlessly. There is no "grand design" but a grand adaptation; an adaptation on a planet called Earth; that exists due to an accident. All the hellishness, disquietude, cannibalism, and unhappiness in life stands as an evidence for this fact. We are not the ‘chosen ones’ but transitional primates in Type O civilization; a civilization that is in progress.

At this point you might be asking yourself: where do I fit in all this? Where do I fit if I am not the center of evolution or creation? Well, you fit in the middle between the primitive past and the advanced future. We invite our supernaturalist friends and fellow members of society to join us in our endeavor to preserve life; change their view of life from mysticism to futurism; stop crying over a geriatric horse called spiritualism; stop their obsession with an invisible super nanny and join us in our monistic and rational adventure to make life better for sentience. We need to accept our place in nature, the metaphysical
truth of evolution and live long enough to join the advanced future civilization that is definitely coming.

2.1 Who is afraid of Transhumanism?
Transhumanism may sound like a philosophy because it has a suffix to it. But, actually it is a metaphysical fact that you can witness on the ground; a reality that you can touch and observe. If you are an old timer like Nicolas Copernicus or Beethoven who lived 500 years & 200 years approximately and place them next to a current human being on a line up; they will not be the same at all. A 21st century man with his social media extension, cellphone, calculators, Bluetooth connected earpieces and automated automobiles will be seen as a unique hybrid organism compared to Copernicus & Beethoven who lived in an era where there was no electricity let alone Space exploration.

As I now observe it the invention of electricity was not an accident but nature’s plan to lay the foundation or backbone of the forthcoming machine race that uses it as a power source. Nature is forcing life to move a head into the inorganic dimension; so we can say that evolution by itself is a transhumanist. But, the question still remains who is afraid of this idea? People who support the age old system of monarchy or one party tyranny who justify their power by a non-existent god might find it problematic.

But, nobody is above Nature so the process will move ahead whether we like it or not; we will continue to become inorganic as Kurzweil has said: phones will not be rectangular devices that we will put in our pockets but they will enter our body. This might explain the rapid miniaturization of gadgets and computers. If you think about it a computer used to be held inside a research facility with access only to few scientist and then it moved into a few millionaires houses who can afford it; then, it went in to schools; then, it entered our houses as
‘personal computers’ and today it is in our pockets and tomorrow it will be inside our bodies. If this is the truth; then, how can transhumanism be just a philosophy? Isn’t it a diligent observation of reality? Yes, indeed it is.

The world is more connected today than ever before; where you can text message a friend in another continent in seconds and get a reply. Evolution will not just stop in creating a world of interconnected hybrids; but, it will move ahead to create a fully inorganic machine race. So, the progress is unstoppable it will proceed whether we favor it or not. After 500 years around 2520 ACE Copernicus might come back metaphorically speaking to find no sign of humans at all; but, their modified version of them running the planet.

2.2 Superorganism: A new view of society
I have said this before but I will say it again for the sake of emphasis; life on earth can be analogized with a pregnancy. A pregnancy of a civilization that is continually growing and developing rapidly. A society which can be seen as a Superorganism with several divisions, assigned responsibilities and posts. If you see any society in the world there is a division of occupation in that society starting from the shoe shiner, to the soldier, entertainer, to the doctor all the way up to the president; in similarly analogy to the body. The society has the industrial manufacturing unit, the school, university and research unit, the infirmary unit, the jurisprudence unit, the military defense unit, waste disposal and recycling unit and so forth.

The society is like a Superorganism; divided into several sections with individual people assigned to run these units; and the reason why I mentioned all these examples is because it leads me to the point of this article which is evolution; this Superorganism is what is evolving; if you for example study the societies of primitive cave men thousands of years ago. There was no structure at all; maybe a
very simple one existed; but, eventually ancient societies developed into more complex social structures like for example a new post was opened for the witch doctor who deals with the sick; a new post for the village chief who became the social leader as head of the community; the strong and young men started defending their village; in the process creating the precursor of today’s military. This is how the society developed into its current status today.

The structure of a society existed in the past but it was very primitive; so my point is that when we talk about evolution we are not just talking about one single human being turning into something; but the slow and gradual development of a Superorganism; which is the society. Remember a society built on individual members; with different personalities, interests and skill sets tending to specific responsibilities and assigned tasks. When I say society what I am recognizing is the individual first and the society next; he comes first at any point in discussion.

So, in a sense what we are witnessing today is the evolution of society; not just humans. A society which is getting more interconnected internationally; more educated, drowning in data and bureaucratic complications, occupied in international commerce and more importantly getting inorganic over time. Today’s technology is squashing and merging everyone evermore closely to each other. This is what is happening today on the ground; the rise of a Superorganism built by individuals; this will proceed forward and will eventually lead to the creation of the last stage of civilization on the Kardashev ratings. In short, we can say that the society is like an organism built by different specialized professionals; just like the human body is built by different specialized cells with different tasks.
2.3 Homo retardo: The challenged animal

Once upon in time in a titanic Universe that even the imagination has a hard time comprehending let alone the objective mind; within the arm of Orion in a galaxy called the milk way; out of all possibilities the Universe could create; it created a retarded primate as its masterpiece; whose brain is only good for basic functions and composing chimeras. A childish animal overwhelmed with puerile notions and rage. An animal whose soul is haunted by basic traits and evil impulses rather than positive nudges. An animal that turned a lively rock into a sty with his selfish drives and irrational orientations.

An animal under illusion that he must be the favorite of all creatures; bestowed by his creator as the minister of Earth. His mind filled with this doctrine of mythologists and spiritualists who concocted this narrative; he, replied the same discourse to rational men who went about to inquire about the meaning of our reality. After finishing reading their discourses from their colorful opulent scriptures rational men directed their attention back into nature; to contrast and compare whether what has been narrated to them is indeed true; to their surprise the narratives do not add up at all.

When they saw the so called favorite creature eating out of a dumpster and dwelling like a dog on the streets of cities; when they saw the so called minister of Earth in despair; they wondered and asked: where the providence that they have been told has been bestowed upon mankind was? In a world where unhappiness outweighs in frequency than happiness rational men wondered if the world was really made for them? They directed their investigation not into the veracity of scriptures; but into the structure and operating mechanisms of the human brain that came up with "supernatural" narratives. They wondered whether human intelligence can be trusted?
After some time of rigorous contemplation they deduced that if scriptural discourses are incompatible with observed reality; then, there must be something definitely wrong with the human brain. It is either severely limited in capacity or severely impaired; they went on to discover both; they stated that human intelligence represents a baby civilization in our galaxy that is still maturing as a collective civilization in an inorganic format. The stereotypical term AI stands to describe this inorganic layer of the human brain. They conjectured that the entire telecommunication network on the planet must be the extension of the human nervous system; it must be the fifth layer of the brain. Thus, this creature must be still under development. This explains all the irrational nonsense that this being creates. They also deduced that supernaturalism was a form delusion not a form of theory. Thus, their investigation bore fruit: two deductions at the termination of their investigation.

Therefore, to finalize matters I think Homo retardo would have been a preferable or equivalent designation to a bipedal primate that the Universe has presented to us as its apex creation after eons. A designation not based on bias or emotive drives but rational observations of our reality. A designation that best suits a creature that has dominated Earth for thousands of years. A primitive being that has called himself Homo sapien.

2.4 Homo transitus: The true identity of Man

We live in a multiverse that is infinite; without a center or an edge. Reality can never have a beginning. There is no creation; but, modification and evolution from one form to the other due to known and unknown natural laws and forces. Thus, we are currently primates but we are being modified in real time to machines and we can clearly see that on the ground. We are at the dawn of a brand new species. We are transitional animals; we are Homo transitus!
Our body is changing and especially our flimsy brain is expanding outside our skulls like Kurzweil has stated. Our computers: both desktop and laptops are the extensions of ourselves; not independent entities on their own. Since we are the ones being modified into inorganic life forms; there will be a scenario of ‘man with machine’ not ‘man against machine’ like the dystopian movie Terminator.

Since, the Cosmos is in an eternal cycle of change and transformation like stated above; what must be our ideology or belief or policy regarding our reality? I have said this before and I will say it again: it should be environmental preservation, healthcare strengthening, and space exploration. These three policies need to be our orientations regarding life. Especially the second policy i.e. healthcare so that people could live long enough to live forever. So, that they could live long enough to enjoy the quality of life of the advanced future.

Currently life is ferine, bestial and irrational; but, it will get advanced and inorganic as time moves ahead; since evolution is not a clear cut process; since there are carry overs from the lowlyself to the higherself there is no guarantee that the future creatures that will inherit the Cosmos will be fully civil; just like we feel the basic instincts of our ancestors in us; these creatures might inherit some lowly traits from us; but, they will definitely be much better that Homo sapiens. We can call these modified versions of us as Homo machina.

Anyhow, in general we can say that today we live at a cross road between man and machine; a time where we can clearly see the force of evolution in action; we can clearly see ourselves being modified in real time without a modifier in sight; hence, I say it will be appropriate to call ourselves Homo transitus not Homo sapiens. A brand new life form is under development to inherit the world.
2.5 Why do we exist? Where are we heading?

We exist due to natural necessity. A law of nature that states that consciousness or life must be; if there is the right star and the right planetary condition. Planetary conditions include chemical makeup, size, distance, surface topography of the rocky planet which in the case of earth is a mixture of half water and half land world. You need land and water to build a civilization. You cannot build a civilization in a water world alone.

Our solar system satisfies all the requirements of the ‘life formula’ by Cosmic chance; hence, there exists life; life so diverse and complex due to longer period of incubation. The best argument for this idea is if you remove one single component of the formula either planetary condition or star condition all life ceases to exist. If you remove the shield of earth’s magnetic sphere, or move earth away from the sun, or remove all the water from earth, or shrink earth’s size: the formula will not give us life at the end of the equation.

\[ \alpha + \beta = \theta \]

*Alpha symbolizes the right planetary conditions and beta shows the right star conditions and theta symbolizes the rise of consciousness.*

We are not ‘designed’; but, we satisfy a natural formula; therefore, we exist. Our existence can be attributed to this law of nature which has no exceptions in any corner of the cosmos.

Where are we heading? Not just our awareness but our rise also has a meaning; the routinely called ‘society’ is a Superorganism made up of individuals; now that we exist it is growing and developing rapidly through time. It is not just one organism that is developing the entire human civilization as a collective; that will eventually merge to become a supercivilization and this is the whole meaning of life: Incubation. The human brain as it is has not changed in evolution; but, its digital extension has boomed extensively; all the softwares,
calculators, phones and other machines we use in our daily lives are part of the brain; the evidence for this is that; they do not have lives on their own. They need an input from our brain and make our lives easier. The entire global network is part of our collective brain. There is only one global awareness; a planetary consciousness that is gaining in might overtime and this is what will bring order to our chaotic Universe.

2.6 The emergence of the machine state
When you diligently observe the status quo on the ground on earth; that is—the event of evolution and the route it is taking you will observe clearly that biological intelligence (BI) is being replaced bit by bit by inorganic intelligence (AI) this event of course kick started with the industrial revolution in the 17th century as simple machines which can aid in agricultural industrialization eventually got more intricate and most importantly autonomous through time.

When we observe this evolutionary phenomenon on the ground it seems like Nature is creating creatures that are fit for space exploration and which are not restrained by their biological luggage and weak rational minds like Homo sapiens for example. Thus, I think the rise of inorganic intelligence may be a sign of the desinence of the evolutionary process on earth and tree of life; because logically we could not expect to observe anything higher than machines to evolve; what could be best suited for harsh cosmos other than machines?

Homo sapiens which have dominated earth for a couple of hundred thousand years will eventually give way to the next dominant species on the solar system. Their jealous territorial conflicts and selfishness, competition of states, their irrational and mystical societies will eventually give way to organisms which have higher logical and analytical abilities; this is natural selection: the survival of the fittest this natural law is what enabled Sapiens to outlive their cousins like
H. neanderthal, H. habilis, H. erectus and H. naledi and so forth. The nomenclature ‘Sapiens’ which I sometimes think is a misnomer for humans—because humans are not really fully sapient or rational they are most crazy animals that ever evolved on earth: some scientists have attributed the erratic human behavior to the design and structure of the human brain—which is designed as a pattern recognizing apparatus rather than a reasoning apparatus; this fact explains precisely the nature of our world on the ground where animalistic irrational, pugnacious and salacious instincts overwhelm the human experience. The competition of states, violence, territorialism, selfishness and carnivorism saturate our observation on earth if we have the time and wit to observe it; but notwithstanding this fact ‘Sapiens’ thin intellectual and reasoning abilities gave them an edge than their other animal cousins which enabled them to dominate earth. But, this domination can never be guaranteed unless their intelligence is potent enough to withstand any challenge from the forces of nature and evolution.

Today’s 21 century technology seems to be advancing unhindered in a rapid pace into the future. The tree of life stated by evolutionists seems to be producing a branch of fully inorganic species in over 3.8 billion of evolutionary history. No machine had ever been produced by Nature in earth’s long and violent history until our era and current time and this process is not a slow and retarded rise like the rise of primates but a rapid one. Irrational primates like humans took thousands of years to evolve; but, the rise of the machines is not identical or even similar in the manner of time it is consuming; it is fast and explosive; just observe a picture from the brutish life style and manner of existence in the 19th century and compare that picture and the way of lives of those people with today’s humans and you will get the idea of the pace of accelerating technological explosion.

Now, imagine and set a realistic prediction of say 300 years from now i.e. 2317 and imagine what it will be like? What will possibly be
the society of this era? Wouldn’t it be wise to assume that these societies will a society of machines? Wouldn’t it be sage for us to assume the coming of the machine state?

It would have helped us in our accuracy of prediction if there were creatures in our neighboring stars like Alpha Centauri which is 4.37 light years from the sun or even far away that have evolved in the same manner as earth; so that we can see what Nature produces at the final moments of stellar life. But, unfortunately, there seems to be no sign of life except our own in the Universe and SETI is not detecting anything. And by the way as a parenthesis this is why life is priceless and needs to be preserved and not cajoled into extinction. When you analyze the fact that the cousins of humans which existed before them: Australopithecus (4-2 million years ago), H. naledi (2.8 to 2.5 million years ago), etc. couldn’t even talk or use intricate language let alone reason and build technologies as well as concoct a masterpiece symphony or a philosophical essay; then, you will clearly understand that life is the augmentation of intelligence and some scientists have predicted that after observing this life tree that something powerful must be on the horizon; some have called it the Singularity and I believe that they have a 100 percent chance that their prediction will be true if the current pattern of evolution proceeds unperturbed.

Let us for a moment even neglect solid scientific researches and data for a moment and just observe this website/blog for a second; it stands as an advocate of skepticism and logic which is considered exotic, maybe even disturbing as well as a digression from the current human society’s mainstream belief in mysticism and mythical chimeras. Now, isn’t the advocacy and manifestations of logical discourses in a society which could have been meaningless in the era of Lucy and at the time she lived a sign of social ascent into a higher existence. Isn’t it a sign of a revolution and a social transformation within the dominant species on earth? Isn’t life heading towards
something higher? If this blog existed as a daily script promulgated on goat skin to the hunters and gatherers like naledi’s species—if we of course assumed they were literate; which would be weird because they didn’t even have the brains for it (the naledi species had significantly lower brain mass than current humans); what meaning would it really have to these organisms that cannot even talk —let alone read and write? But, the current humans can read as well as write as opposed to their ancestors; now, why did that happen? Is it some sort of accident that intelligence as well as knowledge seems to be augmenting as time moves on? I do not think so; it must part of Nature’s plan to rise or create a super being. Therefore, intellectual senility that have persisted on earth seems to be withering away and being reinforced by voluminous data or the ‘information overload’ that we talk about in reference to the internet i.e. the knowledge about the natural world as well as analytical power is being amplified as time moves ahead.

If so ; if what we have said until now is a fact of observation then wouldn’t it be wise for us to consider ourselves as transition apes within the long and complicated tree of life which for most part has been organic and bestial in nature until now? When we start to embrace this fact then life starts to make a perfect sense; and everything falls into place; it explains the irrationality and half-baked nature of human behavior as well as how human societies are structured. We will understand our true place in life and the reason for why the world appears to be as it is. Thus, how could I be in error if I looked into the horizon and said that Skynet is coming —considering all the premises given in the arguments above that we can analyze and the solid evidence of machines scattered on earth that we can behold?

Some people might think if humans are not the center of creation; but, just transitional apes and the law of the survival of the fittest in nature apply to them just like any other animal that Nature created;
then what should be their right course of action? What should they do in order to survive? Which are really critical and excellent questions that any human could ever ask and the answer is first people need to get their metaphysics and epistemological theories right and then transcend. Self-preserve and self-enhance; alter the human design and maybe even be one with the machines and that will ensure the survival of the human race beyond the solar system making whatever world we occupy in the future in whatever form outer than biology cleaner, less violent, advanced and a rational place; because nobody has the potency to stop natural evolution dictated by laws of nature that govern existence; the acceleration of technology and the rise of the machines is inevitable!

2.7 Uniting Quantum mechanics with evolution: Evolution by quantum computation

I think when Darwin came up with the theory of evolution; he described how the origin of species manifested; but, not why it manifested by what mechanism or what makes evolution occur except in crude terms such as isolation, environmental conditions etc. He just used the term ‘Pangenesis’ in his book. But, I think the more time passes by the more we understand more about nature and the truth gets unraveled through time. At his time he observed physical variations among species and deduced that something is responsible for that variation and that ‘something’ ended up becoming the DNA discovered in 20th the century long after Darwin was gone; despite the lack of evidence of humanoid fossils and the DNA code he persisted that humans as well as all living beings had their origin inside not ‘outside’ it. Now, we are uncovering piles of evidence to suggest that evolution did happen and is happening right before our eyes; but until now we had no idea what makes it happen; and that ‘what’ I strongly believe must reside inside the weird and unstable world of micro universe.
Now, our investigation of the natural world today has led us as far as quarks inside protons and the field of quantum mechanics; we have stated that all flora and fauna on the planet that we behold are nothing but a code. If so where is this code coming from? What is coding this information? Now, it will be appropriate to bring in the Universe and its property as a quantum computer into the picture. We know that at the micro level the natural world is unstable and processes information using its subatomic particles; now, all we need to do is state that the life codes like DNA we observe in the macro universe are the product of this computation; thus, we need to rewrite Darwin’s definition of the origin of life as Evolution by quantum computation.

I think the definition of evolution by quantum processing will make more sense especially for those skeptics who have been insisting that there must be something computing or ‘conscious’ in their terms in the natural world to give birth to all the diverse and beautiful creatures that we behold on land, sea and air. Thus, the contentious issue of the rise of life will finally have a resolution. Furthermore, I think this will explain the random evolution of species out of nowhere; for example, if you leave an island by itself in isolation like Australia then you will observe a huge range of diversification in flora and fauna; why does this happen? Where is the information to code for all these diversification coming from? Where is the mutation coming from; unless there is a coding process going on in the micro universe or the quantum world? It also explains the evolution and mutation of deadly viruses, bacteria’s and epidemics out of nowhere throughout earth. The macro-universe where general relativity reigns is nothing but a collection of information; if so then the quantum world or the micro universe must be nothing but computation. The Universe was the product of a quantum event and I believe that the DNA would not be any different. I very much think we are the children of this computation.
This discourse is of course what many scientists like Seth Lloyd at MIT and others have stated; but, of course if you have something better as the complete theory of life then you are more than welcome to forward it in order to uncover the mystery of the origin of life. But, as Professor Dawkins says in his book below ‘how’ we came to be is very clear without a doubt; but, I think the ‘why’ question may be due to quantum computations as has been stated above. When we unite the macro and micro Universes then we get a full picture.

“Given enough generations, ancestors that look like newts can change into descendants that look like frogs. Given even more generations, ancestors that look like fish can change into descendants that look like monkeys. Given yet more generations, ancestors that look like bacteria can change into descendants that look like humans. And this is exactly what happened. This is the kind of thing that happened in the history of every animal and plant that has ever lived.”

Section –IV Environmentalism and smart capitalism

"Protect Earth defend it from internal and external threats; exploit sustainably."

1.1 Climate Change denial: The road to suicide

Humans are conflicted between the choice for growth and environmental protection. Of course there needs to be growth but it needs to be sustainable! Extreme selfishness and the struggle to survive is about to kill our Earth. Over fishing, over mining, over farming are killing the very essence of the life supporting capability of earth. The only rock capable of creating, sustaining, and supporting life in the known Universe. The evil form of capitalism is a suicidal form of wealth creation. It is not sustainable in the long run; unless the wealth it creates is more than the destruction it brings upon.

If your profit margin emanates from the destruction of the environment then where will you and your posterity live in the future? If the laws of nature mandate that growth could only be achieved via the destruction of our ecosystem that we live in—then this must mean that the human civilization is like a locust that must go planet from planet ravaging resources to fuel its growth. But the funny thing here is that there is no other planet to move to! Even Mars at its current stage of development. Which necessitates that we need to look after Earth until our civilization matures enough to create other homes i.e. planets in different solar systems. Earth is like our stepping stone into the cosmos; if you get rid of that then we will fall into the abyss below.

In the long run I think what matters is the survival of life in general. At least, not all life but the life of the alpha race. So the question is: Is capitalism the right direction forward with its pollution and mayhem? Should we get ourselves ready for space; because our mother earth will eventually run out of resources to feed and fuel our cyborg
civilization that currently rules the planet. I think this will necessitate the great space migration. The lack of resources and the growth of the human machine civilization will force us to look into space for our industrial needs. Which is a good thing on one hand because it will force us to invent space travel technologies that will free us from the incarceration of earth’s gravity and ecosystem but on the other it will place a question mark on earth’s viability: our natural space ship.

Growth is necessary but at what expense? At the expense of the customer, environment, workers? Capital needs to be created but how? Should we follow the worst form of capitalism? How can we resolve the conflict between the rights for emolument with environmental protection? Are the two mutually exclusive? If so then our civilization will reach a dead end because you cannot live in a castle inside a poisoned neighborhood wearing gas masks; your gold and money that you amassed will be spent on your healthcare.

Our planet is in deep trouble it has become common news today that we hear the news of violent super storms, heat waves and so on. This indicates that our planet is sick; it needs to be healed; there is an imbalance between supply and demand. Our planet is struggling to support all of us; humans have drilled, dug out, over fished and over farmed it as said above. So if this proceeds forward without sustainability it will be a suicide mission for humans and the rest of life that we look after as the alpha race and the guardians of earth.

Then what should be the solution for this predicament. First I think we need to focus on individual actions. Like using ecofriendly products as much as we can like buying electric cars, not using plastic, planting more trees, not use other greenhouse emitting utilities and so on. If we as a whole take individual steps like this the collective effort will add up to save our planet and our civilization which is under a critical stage of development into an inorganic
civilization. We need to take care of earth so that it can take care of all of us; this planet is not just unique to our solar system but to the whole cosmos because it is where consciousness was born which if protected will go on to colonize all of the Universe! This makes it not just unique but extremely special that we need to look after.

1.2 Capitalism is a great idea as long as it is smart

Capitalism is a means of survival for humans; people need to make money; profit of their talent, current principal capital, natural gifts and hard labor. Humans need to exploit natural resources and enrich themselves increase their quality of life and lift themselves out of poverty. Businesses need to be encouraged to get bigger in order to hire more workers and serve more people. It is in fact true when Rand said that Capitalism is the unknown ideal; but, it needs to have an oversight or be regulated and it needs to be a smart and environmental friendly form of wealth creation not a suicidal form or a spark that ignites communism which is a worse swamp than fascist capitalism.

Citizens should never be a burden on the government they need to be self-sufficient; and in order to accomplish that they need to capitalize; they need to create wealth or profit of something. We have seen the calamities and horrors of a nanny authoritarian state where we have the monarchy of single party and the servitude or should I say slavery of the people to the state in the name of ‘collectivism’. An attempt to communize and level everyone down to the same level notwithstanding their natural differences.

What must happen is that Capitalism needs to clean up the environment after operations; and not be in conflict with the customer’s or worker rights in order for it to be sustainable. I think there is no alternative to a Capitalist and liberal society; it is the right direction forward; but, problems arise when we it becomes fascist;
gangster like monopoly; then, we will witness discontent and rebel on the behalf of the many and that is a problem to social progress and stability. A schizophrenic mad Marxist, tribal, communal and primitive society is not even an option for a socio-political system. As stated above we have seen and are seeing in few countries today what type of hell it creates. It creates big government; a government controlling almost everything and weak private businesses that remain stagnant for life; they are not expected to grow and expand but provide service to the masses and ultimately decay and fall apart. So, in a sense the state will be stuck in poverty forever.

Therefore, in my opinion there is a need to encourage capitalism in society; to reward hard work and entrepreneurship but we need to guard it from fascism. The taxi driver, the doctor, the teacher, the soldier, the bureaucrat, the traffic police, the vender, the pilot, the shoe shiner, the real-estate agent, the scientist, the writer and other members of the work force or society need to be happy with their work and the compensation that they receive from their service to society in order to call their place home and have a sense of national pride and emotional stability as well as peace. If they are not paid well or get what they need to lift them out of poverty and support their family they will not have any reason to stay in that society.

1.3 The Profit Margin
I am not an economist but I have my own idea of what could be a better version of capitalism in our world. Profit is essential for survival; but, the question is in what manner should it be created? I think the profit margin of a company should not be based on the exploitation of workers, customers or the environment but on customer satisfaction, loyalty, product innovation, and most importantly tax cuts. I think these should be the source of growth for the business; because businesses need to grow of course and get bigger but in the right way without creating social discontent and revolutions.
Now, do the four values mentioned above create growth for real? Or is it just a dream or just speculation? Let us test them one by one:

1-Customer satisfaction: The more the customer is satisfied with the service or product of your company the more he/she will consume; thus, more products sold equals more profit.

2-Loyalty: If your customer is happy with your attitude, product quality and service he is more likely to become loyal to your brand. Loyalty will translate into more sales which equal more profit.

3-Product innovation: I think this is one of the bedrocks of the profit margin. If you can do or invent what others cannot and patent that idea this will be your source of unlimited income throughout your company’s history like Coca-Cola for example.

4-Tax cuts: I think this could help especially small businesses that are just starting to grow and the government should limit its income as low as possible to facilitate the expansion of startups. The government must encourage them in moral and bureaucracy. The government should be just a facilitator not an exploiter sucking the life out of small businesses. In that case it will be no different than a monarchy.

The most important achievement here is the emotional satisfaction everyone including the business owner, worker and customer get from having a harmonious and honest interaction with each other where everyone becomes a winner. If not if they choose the gangster route of inveiglement and rip off they will not live the next day to spend the ill-gotten profit; because the exploited party be it the worker or customer will look for revenge that will be bloody and gruesome. It is very true that capital needs to be created—it is the elixir of our very existence but it needs to be outsourced not by evil route but by the right methods and skills.
1.4 Smart capitalism

I think it is a custom in every culture to clean up your room after you eat; you do not live in the mess you made either while preparing your food or eating your food; because, it will kill you. You cannot live in your filth for lack of a better word. The same metaphor applies to capitalism and developmental strategies regarding our planet. Capitalism is a great idea in regards to elevating people out of poverty. But, it needs to be smart and get along with the environment.

Companies like Tesla, Microsoft and others create jobs, and make millions but still are environmentally friendly. When planning for any project there needs to be an assessment of balance regarding development and environmentalism. This nihilist attitude of destroy the environment lets us live for now and let the next generation die later is irresponsible and irrational.

It is a holocaust of the next generation; earth is our only planet that life is possible; there is nowhere to go; in addition to its incubation that is underway; it is also a force of creation; we need to give it space to heal and recover from our exploitations. We need to find a smart way of development in tandem with planetary preservation. Capitalism and mega structural developments need to place a consideration for sustainable development not exhaustive exploitation and irreversible destruction. This is what needs to be called a smart capitalism; a form of capitalism that guarantees the future not just the present.

For example why not?

1-Instead of using fossil fuel why not go electric?

2-Why not trap the carbon and use it for something else; which is even more profitable? You can make diamonds from carbon with appropriate technology!
3- Instead of non-degradable plastic why not use a degradable form of materials?

4- And so on.
Section V: Liberty and Freethought as a necessity

"A healthy degree of emotional & physical space."

1.1 The individual good

In discussing the issue of ideology in the context of ethics applied in a society we see two very different ideas; the idea of individualism and the idea of collectivism. There seems to be an incongruity between the supporters of these concepts—they quarrel and disagree among themselves. But I think what is extremely important and comes as a winner is individualism. I think the so called collectivists have a very confused mind and anarchic attitude; they place the cart before the horse. A society is made up of diverse individuals. The individual good always comes first—to go ahead and establish the so called ‘common good’. This ‘common good’ is the summation of identical aspirations of several individuals; this is called the common good. Without individual good there is no common good.

The individuals must have agreeing aspiration and ideas in order to create the common good; but, despite the disparity in aspirations and interests among individuals—there can never be a common good; because, there is no common ground; a union which does not place the interest and aspiration of the individual is a dictatorship in disguise. The individual good is superior and comes before the cart so to speak. Thus, in ethics when we establish a society it must be based on individuals; not collectives or a mixed and confused system of individualism and collectivism that as we are seeing in Chinese systems of government today of ‘hands on and hands off system’; which is even worse and toxic to health and existence of the individual than hands on policy of collectivism; these system will create a society of mad men; than collectivism could ever achieve.

Thus, our only option is to go into the grass roots level and start with the individual good; we must ask: what do individuals want? What is in their best interest? The question should never be: What do leaders
want? Even if they are not capable of choosing for themselves; we
must not be autocratic; but follow the four steps of the thesis of this
article that I will discuss below. We shall aggregate people who have
identical aspirations in order to help them create the common good. It
must be noted that social connectedness is also very important and as
we discussed in social hedonism; it can be a source of health and
happiness as well as a source of disease and despair if like aspiration
is not aggregated with its own kind. The source of health and
happiness in a collective is the aggregation of individuals which share
the same aspirations and interests; they will get along just fine;
complementing each other with mental, emotional, physical, social
and economic wellbeing and the source of disease and despair in the
collective is the aggregation of individuals who share different
aspirations and interests causing mental, emotional, physical, social
and economic distress for each other. This is the reason that I said
earlier the individual good always comes first; we must consult it. It
is the pillar on which the so called collective is erected. Thus, in order
to have a successful, blissful and happy society we need first to
understand the concept of individual dignity; second individual
dignity; second individual empowerment; third individual selection or consent and fourth,
individual self-regulation.

First, there is this perpetual cycle of derision directed at the
individual in history where tyrants rise and be autocrats abusing the
individual and creating more suffering on the planet. But, for those
who have watched diligently they will realize that the source of
autocracy is the negligence of the above mentioned four important
concepts in the thesis of this essay. This perpetual cycle of violence
and suffering as is the case in autocratic countries is due to the
neglect to dignify the individual as a sentient being and bestow upon
him the benefit of the doubt. The individual has been derided and
belittled leading to his subjugation and stupefaction; his stupefaction
brings about anarchy behavior and anarchy triggers autocratic
behavior by leaders. Thus, we have cycle of perpetual derision (of the
individual), subjugation and stupefaction (of the individual) leads to
ignorance (of the individual), then ignorance leads to nihilistic behavior (of the individual), nihilistic behavior of the individual triggers autocratic attitude (by leaders) which always leads to conflict and bloodshed. Thus, the error lies in the leadership of societies they immediately become autocratic when they observe the individual out of control and stagnate him in a state of ignorance; which perpetuate even more violence and instability; pouring more water to the ignited magnesium; the cycle just goes on and on.

The second step after individual dignity is individual empowerment; which means the education and sophistication of the individual in order for him to understand his rights and obligations; do’s and don’ts. Leaving him be—as he is; to go through several ideas and concepts in several topics in human civilization so that he can acquire wisdom; letting him read and write on several topics; then, he will be ready to make sapient choices in his behavior and stay away from nihilistic and autocratic attitudes. He must be informed that his interest lies in respecting the rules and regulations that he choose to promulgate with others for the sake of his own interest. He must be free from psychological, emotional, physical, social and economic coercion to bury his face in books and establish friendship with intelligent people to be the wisest man on earth. He must philosophize, study other ideologies, go through all human knowledge, and ponder on philosophy in the pursuit of metaphysical and ethical truths which will change his personality benefitting him and all of society.

The third step is individual choice; it does not matter how educated a man is—he always has choice to do evil and do well. Thus, he must be encouraged always to do well; because that is also in his interest that he will receive from others by the same token. This step also includes choosing what he participates in; in a social contract with others; which is extremely critical in combatting autocracy and the perpetual cycle of violence that I talked about above. This is the
climacteric point; where the society either transcends into success or descends into chaos; because unwilling participation of individuals is always enforced by the nihilistic attitude of leaders; which is a simmering concoction of a rebellion (on the behalf of individuals) which will lead to conflict, war, assassinations, and all hell will descend. All because of the very important concept of—*neglecting consent*. Leaders might pride themselves as the upper dogs with an armed army to stifle any dissent but they should realize that they themselves are mortal beings open for attack by their disgruntled fellow citizens; a society established without individual section or consent and his aspirations will descend into nihilism—which does not benefit either the leaders or the members of the society.

The fourth step is individual self-regulation—which means that the individual has been informed that the laws and regulations in a society that he established stand for his own good; which of course he can alter any time by consensus with others. He has been dignified in the first step; empowered to know everything and made extremely smart even to assume leadership in the second step; allowed to make a choice and establish a common good and rules that he voted for with his own kind of individuals; and learn from his choices in the third step. Now, the last step is the fourth step—he must obey the rules and way of life that he choose to establish with his identical fellow individuals who share common interest and grounds for that moment in time; but still the rules are always mutable by common consensus of the members. Rules stand for the benefit of the individuals who set them up; it is for their own interest that they established a society of whatever kind so that they can acquire mental, emotional, physical, social and economic hedonism by doing so; thus, the rules stand to guarantee this interest; the individuals must know their rights and obligations and self-regulate to sustain the *individual good* that brought them together. The motivation that they acquire from dignity, empowerment, and most importantly consent will lead them to respect the laws of their society and systems.
Therefore, to conclude my writing we must always understand that it is the individuals and their consent which establish any society; if they have mental, emotional, physical, social and economic leanings towards one another; it only here that a social contract or social engagement could exist. Individual dignity, empowerment, selection (consent), and finally self-regulation (to abide by the laws that he himself choose) are the foundations which establish a solid, stable, vibrant, health and mirthful social systems. Doing away with these consecutive four steps and squashing the individual for the so called “collective” is putting the cart before the horse as I said above and it will create a calamity in societies. It is the product of mental confusion and nihilistic mentality which does not benefit anyone. Thus, the individual good is the foundation for the common good not vice versa.

1.2 Your privacy matters
Nobody has the right to either invade or hijack your life through your experiences by ambushing you like an animal. We witness the sanctity of individual liberty being ambushed by advertisers, death obsessed mystics and self-appointed politicians today. You are the master of your life and experiences; you choose what is in your interest and follow that; you are not a slave to the state, village chief, defunct social codes, or somebody’s ideology. You are the only sentient alpha race in the Cosmos as far as we know and you are precious; you are not some lab rat or wretch for some sadist or control freak. You are in charge.

Privacy is one of the most important human rights in our culture that could only be questioned when the individual becomes a threat to himself and others; as is the case with mystical terrorists. Your search history, books that you read in the library, your friend list, music selection, food preferences, world outlook, contacts and other factors are no body’s business it is your own personal life trail and it belongs to you.
Your thoughts and actions are always private unless you feel like sharing with the world. A primitive society has a collective culture; their language is infused with autocratic and totalitarian tone which corrupts their culture. The individual has no private room for himself; perhaps a study or a private thought; everything is shared. He is mandated to share his thoughts like a village bicycle. This type of society lacks individual ideals; and stunts social progress. It will create a 40 year old man with a 12 year old psyche due to lack of development; whatever the society thinks as right or true he assumes as his own. We must understand that not everything can be shared; some things are just private for good. A free society will create psychological and physical space for the individual to mature in wisdom and emotions so that he thinks for himself.

You have a right to acquire emotional serenity; a conscience free from conflicting thoughts and you have the right for rightful space away from the world. You are here to be happy not be in distraught or enslaved in a collective and you need to do defend your happiness. You have a right to develop a healthy level of respect and dignity for yourself; for your body and mind—you have a right for an ego; but not chauvinism which is dangerous.

You can outshine others by your natural talent and deserve every single respect you can get from your achievements and natural gifts because you deserve it; because, you are special from others; you are not common. For instance, I am not Usain Bolt and I cannot run like he does; like a missile! So we are not common at all or equal metaphysically speaking. He is superior in gift than I am. So, he deserves all the accolade and praise of the world.

In short, you have the birth right to have a space; be at peace and in composure; without being troubled with the idea whether you are being watched or about to be squashed with others or being spied
upon or that your privacy will be violated at any moment by some capricious “man”. You have the right to be free from fear.

**1.3 Killing reality & freedom of thought: The curse of idealism**

No theory could get any wrong than the so called Idealism. A product or error wrapped in enthusiasm, delirium and closed mindedness driven by insouciance. A philosophy that motivates people to be a hindrance to individual liberty, freedom of inquiry, and the identification of real facts. A philosophy that encourages neurosis, psychosis, and depression as well as thralldom of the individual to fables that do not hold any water. The masterpiece of the lowly side of human nature; that if unchecked will drive the human species into extinction rather than transcendence.

Current observations indicate that the meaning of life is the evolution of consciousness and the acceleration of intelligence. Idealism deflects people's attention from this truth and renders them as suggestible zombies that have been corrupted not think for themselves but all their beliefs have been thought for them and concocted in the disturbed and confused minds of spiritualists. Idealism does not encourage individuality and the unbiased investigation of reality but the opposite. It does not surrender to commonsense and physical evidence but to whimsical convictions that we can never fathom.

Spiritualism is the metaphysical foundation for idealism and rather than becoming a real truth that we can palpate, understand and observe it has become a tool for monarchs to legitimize their reign as well as oppression of the individual. In a huge contrast to what is actually true and what is actually useful to the survival of the human race; they promote idealism with blatant arrogance to sustain their
tentative interests. Spiritualism is a nonsensical rhetoric that blows out of the mouth of priests and selfish monarchs who know it is a useless metaphysical stand but think it is useful to extend their reign.

Idealism retards social progress, innovation, creativity, and natural investigation, the development of the individual and general triumph. It deludes people with pipeline dreams and eye watering fables it does not matter in what package or flavor it is presented in any era; any concept that seems to be dismissive of the natural world is anti-reality and anti-reason. You can call it the simulation theory as a modern version of idealism or 'the law of attraction' or whatever. Any attempt to discard nature will eventually lead us to idealism and spiritualism. The inchoate nature of human intellect and the overwhelming complexity of nature might encourage people to abandon reality for idealism but the challenging and dull nature of reality does not make it false or null.

In short, my point is that there are two important ways of thought in philosophy in general; one is realism and reason which is hard but highly rewarding and the second is idealism and spiritualism on the other hand which suspends people from the arduous task of natural investigation but has no rewards; actually consequences of delusion and depression. A way of nature telling us that idealism is the wrong metaphysical route to venture into. Thus, I think we need to heed this cue from nature and stick to reason. That is where all our solutions will emanate from; starting from anti-ageing to even immortality. Thus, I say let us be brave enough to think for ourselves; to think about reality; to respect individual dignity and liberty; to be wise enough to reward freethinkers not to chastise their endeavor; to be sapient enough to discourage pseudosciences and to think about how nature really works so that we can provide remedies to all our woes and answers to all our doubts.
1.4 You are no slave to no one

Some values do not have color, race or boundaries we share them just because we are human. Like the liberty of the human soul or mind. It is very strange to notice that where ever we look we see a snare to enslave all mankind. We see hundreds of cults and societies of thralldom— you know the human mind is the most sacred entity in the whole Cosmos— because it is man’s tool of survival. Tigers have canines and plants have thorns or other mechanisms to guarantee their survival in this world. Humans only have their intelligence. Thus, the human mind needs to be free, healthy and empowered in order to guarantee the survival of the individual; any attack on your liberty and freedom of thought, choice and growth is an attack on your very life.

You have no obligation to submit to laws, ideas, beliefs and concepts that you do not agree with. You are the manager of your own existence; life is very complicated but you need to make your own decisions; you should not allow any cult leader or tyrant to do your thinking for you. Humans are very selfish creatures they are obsessed with their own magnanimity and interests; they will run over others to satisfy their needs. The minute you hand over your thinking to others; you become a protectorate property of others without freewill. A robot feed well, dressed well but has no power of thought for himself. A zombie animated by other managers; a lifeless puppet.

Every human deserves a necessary and healthy level of dignified liberty and space. It is no body’s business what the individual does with his life and thinks with his mind. We need to reject the two polarized edges of anarchy and nihilism on one hand and totalitarian schizophrenia on the other hand and embrace the middle virtue of liberalism which entails not just physical liberty but emotional and psychological independence. We need to remove any system which is a threat to the sanctity of individual liberty and peace. Whether it is Marxism or Islamism; these so called writers are promoting their
corrupted personalities into the world. A personality obsessed with control and subjugation of the human organism. Liberty and freedom are the vital supplies to the human soul without which it cannot survive. Tyranny stunts emotional development and growth; it is a poison that kills the human soul.

Hence, I will repeat the title: you are no slave to no one; either to an invisible god or to the so called ‘collective’. You choose what community to join or cause to serve and live in the society of your liking. You have the right to live a way of life that thrills you. You have the right to join a community of individuals that you like and support; who make you laugh, empower you, and comfort you with their presence. If your current society is your source of discomfort then; what is the point of joining a union? Unless, it aids you to further your interests and happiness? You have the right to change your society and social life anytime anywhere. Remember, that there is no society without a common interest or ideological alignment. A common society could only exist if there is a common agenda; a common agenda of aspirations, dreams, personalities, interests and benefits. You must care about your own peace, happiness and liberty. Obeying the laws, regulations, ideas and social codes that you have consented to. Living in the right community that you love and support. Global stability and happiness could only start at the individual level; when the individual has the right to choice, is free, content and at peace in the right community/company and at his right place in life.

1.5 The Prisoners of Conscience: Believers are under the snare of fictive characters

Theism as a pseudoscience has failed to back its extraordinary claim of ‘super’ natural dimension with extra ordinary evidence; thus, its founders saw a need to incarcerate the inquisitive and rational faculty
of their fobbed victims by inventing another antagonistic fictional character called the 'devil' other than their so called supernatural god to proscribe rational inquisitive attitude and freethought by the individual. Faced with not just the incapability but the impossibility of providing immaterial evidence to material man; supernaturalists opted for a stratagem; a stratagem which involves as stated above inventing another fictive antagonist character called the devil; to confuse and control the individual between two bipolar characters; a good god on one hand and an evil villain on the other where the individual will be confused and controlled right in the middle to become a prisoner of his own conscience.

Theism as a pseudoscience has been another curse on mankind ever since it was formulated and its ideas circulated in various forms throughout society. It has halted the progress of humanity backwards rather than forward; it is a retarding force —you actually tell the progress of a society by the level of superstition in it; less superstition means more research, a healthy society and progress towards true origin, high quality of life and happiness. Theists as irrational fanatics possessed by the god delusion commit crimes against mankind by becoming an obstacle to innovation, freethought, and the pursuit of happiness. These people like other pseudoscientist see their interests not in the discovery of truth but in the accumulation of wealth and popularity that their deluded empire provides. They want to erect the legitimacy of their claims by popularity contest rather than by evidence.

Those politicians who defend theism on morality grounds have no evidence on their sides because the belief in a fictive supernatural god has never restricted the passion, selfishness, bestiality, irrationality, vulgarity and other animal traits of man placed in his genes by nature. The belief in god is not a deterrence for people to do and be good; but, a motivation for evil as can be witnessed with ISIS and Boko Haram religious terrorists who are nihilistic perverted by false hope
and go on to perform sadism on sentient beings. I have said repeatedly that the solution to human morality resides in his design; if it is necessary to have ethical beings then we must design ethical creatures which are not animals; how can we possibly expect morality and logic from an animal? The solution for a well disciplined and strong society is not mythology and totalitarianism but reality and transcendence.

Thus, coming back to the main topic of this article: theism is not doing mankind a favor by proscribing the discovery of the truth or incarcerating the individual in psychological warfare techniques by creating fictions of antagonistic characters to confuse and control the individual to rob off his dignity, freedom of thought, action, and choice. But it is driving humanity into an abyss of extinction with metaphysical and ethically erroneous policies for the society.

They say that man is a dangerous animal he needs to be controlled; but, we must say that man is an intelligent and sentient being who needs to be liberated and intelligently designed; who deserves to know his true origin, inherit the cosmos and be the master of his destiny. They say that monism and natural philosophy do not teach people morality and direct them how to behave; but we must say that man is not designed to be moral in the first place because he is built to be an animal. Only intelligently designed beings express intelligent and moral behavior. Design determines purpose and purpose determines behavior. People who develop these toxic ideologies do not have the interest of man and his transcendence in their agenda but their own to satisfy at the cost of metaphysical truths and a futuristic society.

1.6 The Communist nightmare

Let us cut to the chase and give a clear definition what this so called ‘communism’ is as a state of mind and as well as a state policy. In
plain and simple language it is a system that is anti-logic, anti-individual, anti-liberty and anti-capitalism. All the important values worth promoting in moderation in alignment with commonsense. So, what it does to the human organism is turn him into an illogical, illiberal sadistic lunatic. At the end of the day it will create a society that is indigent and dependent on the state for basic necessities. In short, it is the monarchy of the state; big government and withered private ownership where everybody is equally poor.

A communist state is an open air prison and bedlamite asylum; the people are the servants of the state and prisoners conscience; where the doctrinated cadres and appointed officials enjoy special privileges more than the people. It is a secular feudal and serf system; there is no election; even if there is it is a masquerade. Just to fool the individuals and the international community; an organized circus. A highly corrupted bureaucracy and system from top to bottom; it is a place of human suffering rather than happiness. In the name of ‘collectivism’ there is only one party which is a monarchy under the façade of ‘people’s republic’.

There is no individual development or individuality, the pursuit of personal achievements or capitalizing on personal talent or gifts is forbidden; it is a failing society that starves itself from the inside. The fascist form of capitalism is also no different from communism; only few billionaires monopolize the state’s economy and leave no space for the growth and prosperity of other individuals who deserve to be self-reliant. It will be a plutocracy that threatens true individualized democracy.

Culture is the maker or breaker of society; it is only when people have the right orientation or a thought process; that is dignifying & logical that people start to grow intellectually and materially. All primitive societies have totalitarian culture; you can see it in their
language and in their actions. The individual as a pillar of society is trapped in it all and has no personal or emotional space for development living in this corrupted culture that stands against his progress.

The individual has the right to create capital in alignment with the codes and enjoy all the liberties a human being deserves by nature. What is the point of living if he is denied to be himself; to live life according to his nature and aspirations? He will have no obligation to stay in his own country; he will be a migrant in search of a place where humans are allowed to be humans. He will flee from a land where the official system is anti-logic, anti-liberty and anti-capitalism; because he will realize that it is a system for ghosts not for humans. A schizophrenic totalitarian society and a place for the walking dead not the living.

1.7 Freedom of conscience & Individual sovereignty
You are not a subject to no one; you have no obligation to submit to laws, customs, cultures or deals that you did not consent to; you are no body's trophy or labrat. Your free conscience and serene soul come as a priority to everything else; you have the righty to think for yourself; you have a right to grow emotionally as well as materially; you are not any sadist's playing toy. You are not under "the man's" thralldom.

Your experiences are sacred; you have the dignity to have positive experiences that you want to have. You have the right to read, know, and empower yourself with wisdom; you have the right to cogitate and go wise. Knowledge is a blessing not a curse; your mind is your property you have the right to empower it, protect it, and nourish it with the best experiences, wise ideas and knowledge throughout the world. Your privacy is sacred; it is nobody's business what you do in private or what goes inside your mind. You have the right to protect
your mind from negative experiences, shallow or mad sadistic men that confuse others while they advertise their ignorance.

Live life the way you want to live it; not as some so called "leader" or "gorilla hooligan" wants you to live develop your own ideology, principles and values in life. Think about what type of values are worth embracing and what are worth rejecting. Develop your own philosophy and create a union; a union of individualized collective. A collection of people with similar identities, values, and aspirations. Work towards your common goals and aspirations. This is how life is supposed to be lived not under the yoke of "the man's" shackles. Emancipate yourself physically as well as psychologically; live life in peace and serenity.

1.8 Who is Mr. Collective?

What is a society? Is there such person named “society”? Mr. Society or Mrs. Society? Is it a middle name, first or last? Where is this person? It can be understood if we say that there exists Mr. Adam or Mrs. Barbara; but, is there really an entity called Mr. Collective? Is he white black, white or Asian? Can we point it out? Is it a Western, African, Asian name? Where is thing called society? Who is the so called “collective”? Is it a man or women or a transgender person? What is it really? To my understanding; it is a non-existent entity like a unicorn or a mythological figure. A society is a term given to a collection of people who have the same mindsets and aspirations who basically agree and get along. People who have similar individual interests, personalities, characters, moods, level of education, level of talent and level of wisdom. The collective is not one single unit; it is a group of people; but when they have the same goal and interests they become a collective or a society united to meet their material and emotional satisfaction. If there is no individual then, there is no such thing as a society. End of story.
So, in order to create a society or a collective there must be an individual. An individual with necessity either material or emotional. Then, he unites with his fellow humankind to create a very vibrant and amicable unity to meet his goals and needs. So in essence what is real is the individual not the collective; because the collective is a tentative creature built only when the man sees it fit. It is a Lego construct that gets built based on the individual’s aspiration and needs to create several shapes and sizes or several types of societies; but, in order to do that the shape of the Lego matters or metaphorically speaking the type of individual. You cannot squash black people with Hitlerites or Jews with Muslims to pray together: they are just different. These people could only create a society if they have the same agenda for security and stability.

Actually collectivism may be a word for slavery; thralldom to the dictums of one man—“the man” or his ideology. You have the right to live your life based on your terms and choices obeying the laws and rules that you consented too and help promulgate with your vote in your society. Like an open market where you buy goods and groceries based on your selection without the interference of others either through emotional coercion or physical coercion. You make your choices to acquire the benefits or suffer the consequences. You are a free sentient being!

You have the right to live your life the way you want; for me—for example I want to live a rational life: a life of skepticism, humanism and futurism as it is obvious from my writings but 2pac might say a “thug life” or the Pope might say “a spiritual life” that is their business; but, they need to stay away from me and live in their own communities. In short, you have the right to pursue any kind of life style you enjoy because you are the foundation of the so called collective. You can live inside a cave in isolation or in a group in a cooperation it is your choice; your life. It is nobody’s business. Make
your choice as an individual do not allow the “collective” to make the choice for you.

1.9 Marxism and Mental illness

The very personality of Karl Marks is pathological. He had an anti-western, anti-reason, anti-individual & autocratic personality. When you observe his so called followers today you observe that they are capricious and enthusiastic men who reject Aristotle. Marxism is more of a materialistic cult and religion than a social theory. They have a disregard for the sanctity and dignity of the individual. They are zealous about the so called collective that they want to erect in the name of the poor which does not actually exist in the first place.

Their system is a very dangerous system where the individual is just a brainless zombie squashed under the collective and has no right for space, and development; he is just driven by the orders and whims of the so called chairman; because they assume he knows better. They want to create a common society notwithstanding natural distinctions among humans in talent and gifts. All their thinking has been done for them in priority; since, the individual has been crushed under the so called imaginary collective; he has no space to develop: intellectually and emotionally. So, their society will be a collection of morons and simpletons plotting against one another: the league of idiots.

There is no debate, election or warm conversations about their society in their regular meeting sessions. There is no self-empowerment because they have been told that there is no individual; so they expect others to do their homework and reading for them. It is a highly autocratic and controlled environment. In short this system represents all the negative traits in human personality that need not exist in any culture. Society can never be made to become common but there of course exists a space for philanthropy.
The very reason that they are irrational will categorize them as morbid; because logic is the very important guard rail and protector of human sanity. That is the reason why bedlamites are detained in isolation until their argument becomes logical and realistic. Until they retain commonsense. What socialistic communism has done since its inceptions before or around 1848 is create more autocratic, fanatical, irrational, starving and morbid societies wherever it has been implemented.

In a communist society everything might appear free except the individual. They call their system a socialistic heaven; may be a heaven means a hell in their lexicon I do not really understand. It is really confusing trying to make sense and understand irrational people and their system. Communism is a very dangerous cult that people need to stay away from. The individual member of the society does not have a right to elect his administrators, he cannot self-realize, develop, capitalize or live a way of life that suits him or he wants. It is an open air prison. A society full of neurotic schizophrenics who uphold their character as an exemplary virtue that everyone must replicate. It is a totalitarian system of hell on earth.

Yes. There is of course a need for a collective: a collective of people who have the same or similar character who share same interests and values; who are comfortable with each other’s company. Imagine hanging out with Hitler collectively, with serial killers collectively with love hand and hand? According to communist values we have to work collectively with people like mass genocidal maniacs, rapists, murders, mad men form institutes, and so on. Imagine that? These people need to be isolated from society and belong within their own camp and their own kind. I for example like logic, nature and liberty so I belong with my own kind that is my collective: people like me. How can different characters and personalities be grouped together and get along? Based on the laws of nature? How can I be a ‘good neighbor’ with Hitler as a black man if he lived next to me with a
genocidal intent? Will there be amicability in our neighborliness? People must be divided and grouped based on their characters, personalities and most importantly their aspirations. People are eager, comfortable and motivated to work with their own kind. I do not want to hang out with Hitler in a ‘collective’ because I am not a racist. I love all humans without racial bias. So, in short, the point is: there could only be a ‘collective’ if there is a need emanating from individuals; a need for companionship, security, business, union and friendship. This need will create a community, or a state. There is no community or collective without individual needs.

Finally, remember that when I am critical of communism and Marxist corruptions; I am not in support of the suicidal and fascist form of capitalism; but I support values selectively like rationalism, a healthy level of freedom that people deserve just for being sentient beings, people right to capitalize and make money through their talent/business; people being grouped based on their characters and not to be shoved down into one basket with everybody else that is different from them; this basket is a mix of unmixable characters destined to either explode or implode.

Almost all western cultures and values are positive and some are universal. The respect for individual privacy, liberty and pre-option are some of them. I think we need to be selective as said above about the values, ideas and cultures that we introduce into our society; we should not be enthusiastic but composed and rational; we must believe in consequentialism so that our ideology that we composed for our society benefit us not drag us down into a failed state status.

2.0 The Marxist Fantasy

No system is more strange and weird than the so called Marxism. A system driven by enthusiasm and blind impetus not by logic or consequentialism. A beautiful dream which turned out to be a
disturbing nightmare and an absolute failure. A system persistent on shoving everyone in the same basket rather than preparing several baskets to group individuals based on their profiles and natural gifts. A system at war with the laws of nature — adamant on creating a ‘common’ society. The weird thing about this is that Nature will not allow an ‘equal’ society because no individual is common; they all differ in personality, intelligence, ability and so on; which necessitates classes and hierarchies.

Consider this: if everyone becomes ‘equally’ rich then who will work for the rich? If everyone becomes ‘equally’ indigent; then, who will industrialize the society: build factories, real state, malls, roads and hospitals? If the so called gap between the rich and poor is closed then who will become the worker and who will be the employer? Considering both become equal in finances? If you say the state will become the nanny and provide — then the state becomes the bourgeoisie itself!

Communism is nothing but the monarchy of the state; end of story. Where the people will become the workers and the so called chairman becomes the defacto lifetime king controlling the National bank and the military. Thus, in one way or another existence of class will proceed even in a communist state. Look at North Korea for example the Kim family unwinding in a palace filled with opulence; while, his people labor and starve to death in the fields: Doesn’t this remind us of the same system that the so called communists and Leninist enthusiasts detest and claim to have overthrown?

In short, we need to understand the fact that Nature is not kind: it is cruel. It operates in hierarchies. It designed a world of classes whether we like it or not; it set up logic as its means of its comprehension. If we digress from its laws we will be the one paying the price. Tell me for example in what country did
communism ever worked in history? It either creates decay, stagnation or collapse.

I fully recognize the fears of people about capitalism and I also share that fear but we need to understand that there are various forms of capitalism; we need to divide them into evil and green categories and choose a green form of capitalism that cares for its consumers, workers and the environment. Like for example Microsoft, Tesla, SpaceX, Facebook etc. are good examples. That do not have significant consequences on the environment or on their workers. Selling fake chemicals disguised as shampoos or horse meat as beef or abusing your workers without rest, adequate payment, or healthcare and other evils is what I call evil capitalism that must be rejected. This kind of behavior is the trigger for Marxist revolutions and mindsets. On the other hand the companies mentioned above are the products of ingenuity on the behalf of their founders helping them make millions and hire hundreds while at the same time abiding by moral and environmental laws.

So what should be the profit point?

I think first we need to agree that profit is essential not just for growth but for survival and we need to define what the source of growth will be for any company or person. What should be their source of revenue? We discussed already that a profit margin generated by abusing the environment, workers or the consumer is rejected since it has no long term sustainability and it will become a source of altercation in our society. So, I think the source of a legitimate profit can be two things: tax cuts and consumer satisfaction (the size of your market share, exclusivity and customer loyalty).

So what will happen is that—driven by grievances against the evil forms of capitalism which is absolutely legitimate people go red and ban all forms of capitalism instead of chastising the illegal form of capitalism. Filled with rage and enthusiasm they will eventually create stagnation at first, decay next and collapse last of their state.
So what do I think is the right value for the society — well I think it needs to be:

1st Monistic: A rational pantheistic society in order to focus on Nature and become productive.

2nd Green Capitalism: A system of development which is not in contradiction with the consumer, the environment or workers significantly. Because, if we pursue the worst form of capitalism; rather than being a means of growth and jobs it will become a source of communist revolution and unrest.

3rd Individualism: A union or society which recognizes and respects the sanctity of individual aspirations, privacy, dignity, choice, dreams, fears, hopes and happiness.

The so called collective is nothing but a collection of people with same aspirations, personalities, interests and affinities in a union to satisfy their common goals and dreams. If any member feels his interests are not met he needs to look for other unions and societies. He is not in bondage to the wants of others. Therefore, the individual comes before the so called collective; we should never bring the cart before the horse.

Marxism corrupts the mind and makes the individual a schizophrenic zombie driven by impulse rather than reason. It is a mental health hazard. The rise of China today is not driven by Marxist values but by capitalistic values. After being stuck in civil war, famine and confusion it is the correct values of capitalism that elevated it to what it is today and they need to open up further to become a liberal democracy. Marxism is not a social theory but more of a social outcry against evil capitalism. If it was a theory it would have worked on the ground; but, instead of the rosy dreams that it promised in its colorful posters it created the most disturbing realities in numerous famines.
I stand in support of liberal values—a society founded on rational, pantheistic and individualistic values. The individual needs to be free from physical and psychological torment. His soul needs to be serene and at ease. I believe that we are here to pursue our peace and happiness we are not here to be enslaved to any system or any man. The individual has a birth right to capitalize, grow both emotionally (in wisdom), materially (in wealth) and be free. Happiness needs to be the end goal of any ideology and system if it fails to do that; then, it needs to go out the window.

Instigated and triggered by evil capitalism communism created a world of schizophrenics and starving men filled with parasitic worms and deranged souls. How could this possibly be a ‘paradise’ compared with a liberal society that I support? In fear of evil capitalism they created a more evil system which maximizes unhappiness and makes people eat grass for dinner.

### 2.1 Individual liberty & happiness: A discourse on individualized Collectivism

There is no such thing as a ‘collective’ without the mutual agreement and benefit of every member of the community. It is a fancy term used by exploiters and tyrants to enslave others to their wants. The rational understanding is a collection of individuals with a common aspiration and interests. If there is nothing for you in whatever deal is under process; then, you must understand that you are a slave to the want of others under the disguise of a collective ‘volunteer’.

Individuals gather into a society to maintain their physical and emotional interests as well as happiness. Even soldiers who seem to be ‘sacrificed’ for the ‘common’ good acquire emotional satisfaction in committing themselves to save their families from harm or invaders; so in a sense we can say they are not dieing for ‘nothing’ in a vagary of ‘selflessness’. There is something they or their family get
in return—either in the form of emotional satisfaction or social recognition as war heroes who saved the world from nihilists—even if they do not get any material gain.

Even when priests mortify themselves in a ‘selfless’ madness to their god; they expect a reward from their imaginary friend to place them in ‘paradise’. So even the church is a for-profit company in contract with god. Which means even god is a capitalist; may be you already know that because the church sucks you dry every Sunday in the name of starving children somewhere on earth. Even when parents raise their child with love and kindness in a ‘selfless’ manner they expect their child to return a favor when he grows up. So in a sense we can say that there is nothing free in the world. There is trade either in the form of emotional or physical goods. Even if I give money to the needy that is a social investment that I can count on when I become needy myself someday. Even if I give someone a free ride in my car I benefit from his company, via psychological and emotional satisfaction by lifting this person from his predicament and I expect a similar favor one day if I am in his place. This strengthens social bond and brotherhood in the community which is a good thing in our world.

I do not understand individualism as a theory of isolation under a boulder on Mars or something. But, having a healthy physical as well as psychological space and pre-option for its members of the community. The former interpretation is a skewed presentation of non-supporters who do not like the individual to have his own healthy space for emotional and cognitive growth as well as peace. Just like mystics interpret hedonism as libertinism and liberalism as anarchy so that their red herring arguments mislead people into their twisted world of nonsense by stultifying the former values. But, my understanding of hedonism is the pursuit of psychological and physical wellbeing and liberalism a healthy as well as necessary level of liberty for any man or women. Individualism for me means the
sovereignty and sanctity of the individual’s choice and privacy which could only be transgressed during severe offences and tendencies of anarchy that he or she might commit. It means the cultivation of the soul/mind and physical interests of the man. It does not mean morbid selfishness that will lead to social instability and discord.

People create a harmonious community for mutual interests not for the benefit of those in charge like monarchs, village chiefs or gorilla ‘freedom fighters’ who seize power by force and end up sucking the life out of the community to fill their coffers and bank accounts this will be thralldom and subjugation to the interest of one man or those in authority. In short, every member of the community must be benefited either as a worker or an industrialist— that is what created the community in the first place.

A community is created by likeminded and similar interests groups to satisfy a common agenda. A common agenda of peace, growth, amicability, harmony, security and happiness for themselves, fellow members and their posterity. If it diverts from this vision then we must be assured that it is exploitation under the disguise of the so-called ‘common good’. How could there be a ‘common good’ if every member of the society is not commonly benefitted? Doesn’t the term ‘common’ refer to every member? Isn’t the term plural in a sense?
Section VI- Futurism as a guarantee for high quality life

"We must look into the stars & claim the Cosmos that awaits us."

1.1 The denial of immortality

The idea of extreme longevity might be intimidating at first; but, of course society has the right to delete menaces of social happiness in extreme cases; even if the mind goes digital to defend social hedonism. I think this will preserve justice; but, I still think since life is increasingly becoming inorganic there will come a day when there will be an end to biological existence the body itself will turn inorganic and the mind digital like the machines that surround it.

Immortality or extreme longevity is life’s end goal and promise since the meaning is evolution. Death is a natural process therefore; it must have a natural solution. If you are a realist then the next logical consequence or step in your ideological vantage point that you ought to take is immortality. Death is the most unfortunate event in human life. The emotional distress it causes to families is ineffable.

Changing the attitude of an obscurant, nihilistic and death obsessed society to bringing about the end of death is an endeavor which requires extreme determination and philanthropy. The human race has been poisoned by various erroneous doctrines throughout history; the mind of men has been hijacked and made to be nescient of nature. Instead of understanding their nature, maintaining its wellbeing and enhancing it; the human race is seen to flounder in empty promises which cannot and do not provide permanent solution and happiness. Humanity is seen to indulge in self-deception by consoling himself that he is a “chosen animal” in mysticism.

Death is not a destiny but slowly is becoming a choice thanks to the advancement of technology and human intellect. All idealists
including supernaturalists and mystics are life extensionists but they don’t even know it; they oppose something that they already support. Consider their policies for example if suicide and abortion are “sins” as they like to call it or immoral in an attempt to preserve life then how in the world could life extension be immoral? The life extension movement is also trying to achieve the same goal of life preservation.

The culture of the present 21st century man has been hijacked as I said elsewhere by ancient peasant’s folklores revered into “holy doctrines” that can never be questioned let alone rescinded. This is due to the fact that mystical theories have enjoyed thousands of years of propaganda and promotion despite their mythical nature. It is hard for current philosophies and new visions for humanity like transhumanism to actually take root in the society and get wider acceptance. Even if this is the case transhumansim in just a couple of decades has gained millions of supporters and followers starting from teenagers to mature adults. This progress will continue as time moves forward.

When we get into the pragmatic details of the idea of immortality; we come to realize that there are several routes —the biological life extension or the electro-mechanical amalgamation. Biology has definitely a higher role to play until the electro-mechanical technology takes off in a significant stride. But, unfortunately biology is not sustainable for the long run mechanics is much better. Preserving and maintaining electronics is much easier than complicated and fragile biology. The idea of preserving biological organisms for example in cryonics has only been successful only for single cells like eggs, sperm cells and DNA samples. All cryonics organizations in the world are still in experimental stages. No full mammalian i.e. human has ever been frozen and resurrected i.e. thawed back to life. Even if this is the case— I think what cryonics institutions are trying to accomplish is great; if it becomes successful
it will be a good thing. Nobody will lose their loved ones to ailments and accidents. People will be happier and less gloomy.

In short, what could possibly be wrong with this idea of maximizing human happiness by accepting the possibility of immortality? What is wrong with liberating man from the unfortunate event of death? What could be wrong with research into life extension and the joy that it bestows on families? Isn’t bliss the end that we seek by various means? No one in the world could definitely say that cryonics or other methods of preserving an entire organism will never work; because as long the concept stays material and sticks to exclusively on pure reason then, it is absolutely possible; we must encourage Cryobiologists and other researchers to make this endeavor a reality. It does not matter how long it takes; a decade, century or millennia.

Some ailments like HIV still even after almost more than 50 years of research do not have a cure— but that didn’t deter scientist from sedulity and industriousness to find a cure for the disease. The same also goes to cryonics, and other methods of acquiring immortality; it does not matter how long it takes —the investigation and research must go on to make immortality a reality. Supernaturalists in particular and idealists in general are in a state of the denial of immortality; they are in a state of delirium and arrogance; corrupted with the wrong ideas & inflated with false confidence. We hope they recuperate from their misanthropy, obscurancy and the morbid desire of death.

1.2 Transcending bestial humanity
By all means and evidence man is not self-sufficient—he is an inchoate intelligence. Even if this is the case I can never be reticent about the very fact and opportunity that man possesses a potential to become self-existent. I cannot cringe in shame and call for the vassalage of man to imaginary non-existent redeemers and advocate
for the maceration and lustration of his precious intelligence and physical body; helpless against the *forces* of nature. I will never shy away from advocating the upward route towards masterdom. I will never be a culprit in “assuming” that there must be something higher than man; that he is distinct from nature; thus, he must self-mortify in obsecration of fictional entities that he himself invented.

I will valiantly advocate for transcendence: a term which stands for augmenting our individual & collective capabilities to amend our reality. Transcending bestial humanity is not something performed for leisure; but, a necessity that needs to be taken in order to have a civil and a better world order—*because human nature shapes the world in its own image*. If you have creatures with a better nature then you will have a better world; free, from mysticism, bestiality, irrationality and other ill manifestations. Thus, if you intend to make the world a better place you must start from yourself by transcending your bestial humanity.

I really wonder sometimes when I look into the world and observe it in chaos and disquiet; why people are not really cognizant of their own nature that is driving and shaping the world both in evil and good manner. Why are not people wise enough to observe themselves as incipient gods shaping their own experiences in life? Why can’t they observe their physiology and chemistry determining their lives and experiences? Why do they stare into empty space where nothing exists? Why do they implore something higher than themselves; when, the solutions that they seek and the problems that they lament about emanates from nature as a natural phenomena? Accidents, diseases, poverty, bad temper, success, happiness, sadness or death, and life, etc. are all natural phenomena. If this is so why attempt to escape nature?
Are they confused by the propaganda of the schizophrenic mystics who detest nature? They should never be confused by their locution because current super naturalists are descendants of ancient super naturalists in the ancient Middle East who are in a state neo-delusion; where they repeat what has been said and performed by ancient super naturalists—who think that the Universe is created—which has been proven wrong. They say that ‘transcendence’ is the gravest “sin” one can commit because the voices which they consider as revelations and echoes from “another dimension” tell them that there is something higher than them but actually these voices are echoes of their mental hedonism going down the drain. What I do not understand is that why don’t people just evolve with reality? Why don’t they follow evidence based existence? Why not change their minds in accordance to evidence rather than be emotionally tenacious? Why do they start a conflict with reality that they can never win?

Thus, according to my observation of reality—there only exists two directions that humanity can take—masterdom and transcendence or martyrdom and supernaturalism. If you are a believer then you are death obsessed mystic who macerates his body to the grave; if you are a pantheist then you are the lover of life and eternity who preserves and enhances his mind and body. It is time to make a decision in which direction to go; it is time to choose between transcendence and mundaneness; it is time to move either forward in the evolutionary line or to vitiate backwards into oblivion. The rise of nature is inevitable; because we are seeing it on the ground notwithstanding the opinions and ideologies of several mystics. They will hopefully come back to their senses when they sober up from their vagary about supernaturalism and when their reason assumes authority and takes hold. They will come to their senses when they calm down from burn out from their zealotry. Thus, finally I would like to reiterate the idea that the purpose of life must be the evolution of society and must be the right direction forward as a policy. Take care of your mind and body—be self-sufficient together with others.
within society. It does not matter whether the science i.e. technology is ready for it or not —transcendence seems to be the right ideological vantage point.

1.3 The Future of Society: After biology and After Earth

First let me start out by saying that since a society is a Superorganism made up of individuals; that act as the backbone of it; the quality of individuals that build the society matters. The collective can be metaphorically stated as the outcome of an equation but the individuals make up that equation; so if you add a lot of zeros you will get a zero at the end of your equation. If you add lots of ones, twos and threes, you will get a big number at the end. Thus, we can understand from this example that the quality of individual members of society matters; individualism precedes collectivism. Individual self-empowerment comes first before collective contributions.

Therefore, liberty of individuals, privacy, individual dignity and space become sacred rights in which a society will be founded on so that the collective could be enriched by the hard work and fruits that individuals bring to the table. Now, since the whole meaning of life is the rise and maturation of a Superorganism called society which will be comparable in power to a divinity; we see today the rapid progress of this society.

We see the world getting more connected than before; we see a world where 300 years ago one continent from non-existing like the Americas now becoming the bed rock of global civilization connecting the world with the world wide web. We see humans getting more connected through digital cyberspace than ever before; we have witnessed the elevation of hundreds of millions of people out of poverty in Asia namely China to in turn help other segments of
society in other parts of the world namely Africa and Latin America come out of poverty and poor infrastructure.

So, in a sense we can say that all parts of the world are enjoying a rapid progress of growth in quality of life. The human body itself as a product of millions of years of evolution is not an exception in this progress; it is slowly turning into inorganic life form. In the future life will be fully inorganic and extremely powerful. It will go from managing crops at the edges of Euphrates; to managing the weather, huge rivers, exoplanets, and even stars at Alpha centurai and beyond; the might of society will increase as time moves ahead.

Therefore, there is a need for the right metaphysical orientation and environmental consciousness. A need to balance development with planetary protection until the incubation is complete. Until man becomes mature enough to drop his puerile notions and powerful enough to create planets and stars. Since our destiny is in space and to manage the Cosmos to our will we need to follow these guidelines and policies. We need to have a rational view of life and an inherent respect for nature; since, we represent Nature's reincarnation, embodiment and metamorphosis. The future of our lives rests in space; a life after biology and after Earth. This abhorable biological world of ferine cannibalism and superstition is ephemeral that will not last forever.

1.4 You do not have a savior; you need to save yourself: The need for intelligent design and transcendence

Don’t mind the lower animals; believers are possessed by the god delusion; they know not what they do; their brain may be advanced for their bodies; but, it is too frail for all of reality. The problem with humans as lower animals in terms of intelligence compared to the magnitude of reality is that— reality is so majestic, huge, astonishing,
intricate and complicated. What they do is that—they first attempt to understand reality but when their tiny brain gets overwhelmed by the magnitude and intricacy of reality; they start dismissing it and start inventing a fictional dimension of spirits and ghosts; when the going gets tough they just quit and become tenacious, irrational, radical mystics. Anthromorphism, irrationality, and other elements of the lowly self start to surface to the top of their personalities in their emotional life and start to saturate their experiences in their physical sphere of life in their day to day activities.

When the lowly self takes hold of the individual he starts to vociferate self-contradicting twaddle like ‘super’ naturalism and exhibit irrational behavior which is closely aligned with schizophrenia. They become obscurants preventing transcendence or divinity of man. If you go back and read history you will understand that mystics and their mystical institutions are the enemies of the individual; they prevent his empowerment and free thought as soon as he picks up a book their lowly self surfaces and springs into action to squash and confine him in servitude to their intentions. For a rational individual with a high IQ; when he observes or experiences this behavior from a tyrant in a government or a mystical cult leader; he will come to the conclusion that humans are by nature lower animals terrified of their own transcendence or divinity; or the transcendence of anyone else; the fear of it by itself grips their mind and occupies their emotions making them either unstable to burn any sensible man around on the street like the Catholics or be reserved from taking any action towards the goal like ordinary naïve believers.

Some people say that the transcendence of society is really a tall order—we can never achieve it; but my attitude and belief is that we must aim at the stars and shoot whatever we can; whether, it is the moon or the star; I am comfortable either with immortality or longevity whatever might be achieved. Whether we like it or not there is a necessity to discard nature’s evil design of interspecies cannibalism and our abhorable monkey bodies for something better;
the provenance of all evil is our nature as I said so many times; our nature is responsible for creating personal gods, lust, violence, love, hate, art, music, reason, nationalism, racism, nihilism and several other manifestations either good or bad. Biology is not going to last long it is going to be replaced by technology as time progresses forward. As I always say that “the design of the organism determines his purpose” humans are apes and they are designed to be salacious, omnivorous, violent and all other animal behavior was meant to exist in their nature when they mutated from chimps and became a separate species.

Now, why should we live with this entire luggage that mad natural forces installed into our form? Should we live with our defects which create all our suffering? What could possibly be wrong with transcendence from a pugnacious, mystical, irrational ape into powerful AI? As I said in the beginning of this article it can only be either the lowly self or the fear of the unknown which is preventing us from the very action and launching the branch of science which deals with human transcendence and opening up the journal and the University department which deals with it. Some fields already exist like cybernetics and so on but, we need to create more of them fully funded by politicians. Now, listen up carefully, as a cosmic orphan what you need to do is— to understand the very fact that you are disserted!

A disserted orphan needs to stand up for himself and be a man instead of lamenting about his misfortunate and unwilling evolution or instead of inventing fictional guardians—he augments his understanding of his surroundings, mastering, taming and terraforming it to his interests. In our case this analogy is for nature; you need to understand nature in total and use that know how to ameliorate your condition. There is no savior! Or guardian; you must rise above your lowly self and wake up! God is dead and you are on your own! The sooner you accept this fact the better; nobody created
the natural world nature has always existed; accepting this will save you from further floundering, suffering, harm and cremation. If you heed my advice and start enhancing and transcending your ape nature; you won’t be disappointed you will be further up in the intelligence ladder and capability—towering over your former species.

As a rational man and a transcendentalist in order to accomplish this agenda of transcendence you need to follow the scientific method in your endeavor and objective of attaining the transition; and only the collaboration with the several branches of science could make that possible; transcendental philosophy like transhumanism could only orient people to possess the right attitude; which is a good idea; but, it cannot deliver the necessary organs than goes into making transhuman creatures.

Only hands on practical experiments in neuroscience, electronics, mechanical engineering and other fields by professors and graduate students which followed the scientific method and published reproducible and effective papers that we can use to build products that could only provide with the real solution to human ‘monkey problem’ if I am allowed to refer to our condition in that manner. Thus, the idea of transcendence may be terrifying at first especially for naïve believers; but trust me—you and I have no other option it is our destiny to spread into the cosmos after being created in this solar system by the forces of nature; the multiverse awaits; why die when you can spread into eternal nature? It is either transcendence to be Home machina or cajolery and self-mortification to be Homo mortalis; you decide which route to take; but, again I repeat you need to trust me; when I say that you won’t be disappointed by becoming a higher being and joining the family of other transcendentalists who have the same aspiration of eternal life and bliss in nature through intelgnos and intelligent design. Be wise enough not to be possessed by ‘super’ natural delusion and zealotry; keep a cool head and a
rational mind; stay away from mystics and tyrannical governments who are either unwise or as crazy as idealists who attempt to proscribe the transcendence of man.

1.5 Intelligent design is the solution for morality and the key to our future

I have said repeatedly in record breaking tautology that design determines purpose and an animal like a human being is not designed by nature to be moral in any form or fashion; an animal can never be moral; he is a dangerous predator. It really makes you wonder to realize that life took around 3.8 billion years of adaptation to get intricate in the cosmos and what we get is an irrational omnivorous mammal as the masterpiece of nature’s work and power; does this look by any measurement as intelligently designed? Does a world of bestiality amplify and magnify the omnipotence or intelligence of nature?

For those readers obsessed with the idea of some powerful ‘intelligent’ force behind our being here today; I inquire does the world look intelligently designed for you? Do you feel like the world is made for you if you spent a week in the amazon with the mosquitoes and other carnivores which love to have you as their dinner? I think it will be futile to place our trust in a non-existing supreme force other than nature; it is a waste of precious time—which we can use to study and tame nature for the benefit of all sentient beings. I think it’s time to trust in our intelligence and move ahead with the plan to be a multiplanetary immortal species spread across the cosmos.

It must be emphasized here that when I advocate for intelligent design or transcending our primate self; I am not calling for Frankeinsteinism a world of immoral nihilism; but, for a regulated
and open area of research where the future of life is seriously pondered on very earnestly to come up with alternative designs other than our current form to liberate human kind from its lowly self—which lies at the core problem of morality, irrationality and all evil that we behold on the planet.

I think the biggest issue that our world would face is not natural calamities coming from outside earth but the threat posed from the ill designed essence of man; man’s territorialism has created two world wars and maybe a third one is coming, his selfishness has created capitalism and environmental collapse; his pugnacity has triggered countless conflicts and bloodsheds on earth within families, and tribes; man is really an ill designed animal. But, as the saying goes every cloud has a silver lining and that silver lining is man’s limited and glimmering intelligence which sometimes gets overwhelmed by the irrational self. These rational self needs to be protected enhanced and exploited to be the salvation that we need earnestly.

I think one of the solid evidence for evolution; or for the theory that life is a cosmic adaptation not a purposefully designed place lies in the very nature of the bestiality of life on the planet; as well as several mistakes in the design of organisms; in short, my argument is basically simple if there is no higher force looking out for us; then, it will be imperative to look out for ourselves. What else? If the forces of Nature are in charge of day and night, life and death, creation and destruction; then we do not have a reason to supplicate a divinity from empyrean dimension. We need to trust in our intelligence that billions of years of adaptation created and move on to create a strong state by creating strong members who are intelligently designed free from animal essence: why be an animal when you can be something better?
As many bright and intelligent people have said this adaptation on earth is creating machines and inorganic intelligence far stronger and superior than us; we need to keep up with this development or we will be left behind.

If there is no guardian out there to guard our existence from peril; we need to guard it ourselves; life is definitely precious; mother earth despite its Darwinian essence is precious and unique because it gave birth to a consciousness which observes nature in a dead cosmos. If there is no one to look out for us we need to look out for each other; I just want to be in the right side of history; on right side of ‘I told you so’ category—before it is too late and life goes extinct due to some cosmic or manmade catastrophe.

Finally, to provide the gist of this article—the case for a society that is intelligently designed for a specific purpose will weigh more in our calculations—considering the non-existence of a higher force outside nature. It will become mandatory to be self-reliant in a cosmos full of danger and threat to life. We need to assume responsibility for our lives; even though the responsibility of sustaining and preserving life beyond earth is an enormous burden and challenge in all aspects; we need to take advantage of this odd event in a dead cosmos to our interest and evolve! To shed off our animal essence behind and be a space civilization like star trek; where life is precious and pro-life extension, anti-superstition, and pro-civilization are the exalted values and virtues in our society as opposed to their antonyms.

1.6 Is AI the extension of the neo-cortex? Should we merge physically with AI? Should we go inorganic? What is the advantage of being a machine?

The only organic processor surrounded by inorganic processors in this current era of the machines is man. The development of the
machines is going in full throttle as time moves ahead; but, there is an entity which uses machines to defend himself, to build his home, to fly him across oceans, to communicate with others, to heal himself through surgical robots, to clean his buildings, to compute his data, to trade, to learn etc. but is unfortunately closed off and separated from his machines. This biological computer has been proscribed from merging with his inorganic intelligence that he is developing. He can only communicate with them as physical accessories detached from his physical body; he is not one with his inventions; he is a father who bears a child but becomes physically detached and incapable to unite with his children to dwell in concomitance.

When we observe very carefully our surroundings we observe that man in basically swimming in a sea of machines; but, still he is a primitive primate whose brain is not physically attached with his creations. As stated above his military, healthcare, communication, transportation, are all machines. Some scientists say that AI is the extension of the neo cortex the part of the brain called cerebrum. This processor is what gives us the ability to talk, write science &philosophy as well as make art. It is what makes us human; it is what enables us to be called Sapiens. Damage to this processor and you will not be able to talk or tie your own shoes; you will turn into a zombie.

Coming to my point— the explosion of AI can be the extension of the Neo- cortex because the human brain is just too big even at this scale with in our skull— it has been forced into it by force like Mr. Bean’s suit case which holds an extra amount of luggage. Thus, I believe that nature decided to develop an extension of the human brain outside the body in the form of inorganic intelligence starting with Moore’s law and now it is going beyond that limit in the form of photonics and so on. Thus, the question arises that would it be sapient to go inorganic and merge physically with these machines or not? And the answer is: the benefits are tremendous and we should do it.
The hippocampus and other parts of the brain need to be connected physically or even replaced by equivalents in our bid to go inorganic. This will eliminate the animal self that is the source of salacity, pugnacity and other lowly animal essences. I believe that the only salvation for man is to move forward and become self-reliant by going inorganic and merging with AI. What else? We have proven that we are orphans in a dead cosmos; thus, we need to take charge of nature and move forward to create the machine state; actually the machine state already exists with the commander in chief as a primate i.e. Man. But, a fully inorganic state can’t just save us the embarrassment of being predatory and salacious animals; but, also augment our quality of life by increasing our odds of survival in brutal nature.

We will never lose our family members — because their consciousness will become inorganically immortalized in some sort of hard disk; the pain of death will be over. The negative traits of our nature will be eliminated. We will be rational, stronger, smarter, and better looking than any other animal in the kingdom.

Thus, as a conclusion I think these are the main points of benefit in going inorganic:

1st - The end of death; death will be optional not imperative.

2nd - It will reduce the amount of violence emanating from our animal nature.

3rd - It will be very simple to upgrade, edit, reprogram humans once they become fully inorganic unlike the problematic and messy essence of biological nature.
1.7 Should we announce our presence? Or should we just listen and spy on the cosmos?

I think there is a grave threat in announcing our presence in a universe that we barely understand. We do not know what is out there; there may be nothing or something; a civilization of cannibals which are not any different from our Darwinian world of predators. Movies like ‘Alien’ and ‘The predator’ have warned us in their sci-fi horror genres that the universe is not a heaven maker; it is a producer of strange and sometimes terrifying worlds like earth’s cannibal system; so, why should we assume that other life forms in other solar systems be any different?

Is it wise for a tiny fish to announce its presence in an ocean? Analogically speaking the human civilization is weak and tiny. A type 0 civilization currently in the Kardashev scale; a society of predators whose civilization does not produce the complete theory of life; but, mythological poetry, vagary, tenacity and wars of all kinds; our defense is not technologically sophisticated and there may be advanced civilizations out there more powerful than us and if you assume that the natural order of competing states which invade and plunder weak states and render them as colonies applies all over the cosmos; then, I believe that we should be more terrified that jubilant when we discover an exoplanet with similar features like earth.

Especially, earth like planets which have a star older than our parent star; which basically means that that civilization has to be a type-I or type- II civilization. We should never assume that the universe is all a rosy rainbow. I do not believe that the laws of nature will be different from planet to planet; as stated above the same forces of nature which gave birth to Darwinian earth might be in action across the solar system; across the galaxy or across our Laniakea cluster.
The invasion of Latin American indigenous civilizations like the Inca by Spanish explorers, the colonization of Australia and United states which came with enormous burden, misery, relocation and death for the Indigenous Indian and Aboriginal races by a more advanced European force might apply all over the cosmos not just on earth. The survival of the fittest might be a universal law in all solar systems like gravity and the speed of light.

Attempts by certain billionaires like Yuri and scientists like Stephen Hawking and others who recently passed away launched tiny robots on sails which drive themselves via laser energy equipped with sensors, cameras etc. to reach our nearest star system Alpha centauri which is a binary system of stars which harbor planets. In a hope for contact; but, contact with the outside world did not serve well to the indigenous Amazonians who live in the amazon as primitive hunters and gatherers a simple ailment like common cold kills these people; because their immune system is not that developed; they live in isolation from others in their community. Their body is not immunized and ready to combat pathogens like rhinoviruses which are the causing agents of common cold.

Therefore, I believe that the threat coming from contacting another entirely; new life form is not just military—but biological also; once again in the movie Alien vs. Predator we see a totally different life form and a parasite which uses humans as its host to revive from its dormant spore or cyst stages. It uses the human body to grow and turn into an adult; maybe this will be an occupation for astro-parasitologists of the future to study and solve if we find life on other planets. There are many parasites which use humans to complete their life cycle like Ascaris, Hookworm, Plasmodium species, Trematodes, Nematodes, Cestodes, intestinal and tissue amoeba species which eat your brain cells and intestinal cells and several other parasites can be mentioned in detail. This is actually my field as a clinical labscientist; I identify and diagnose pathogens, I study their life cycle,
morphology and pathology. Life on earth appears as mentioned above—a biological world of hosts and parasites interlinked in the biosphere.

Now, as a conclusion I would say that when we find life on other planets we need to ask ourselves that why should it be any different? There may be parasites as well as hosts, predators and prey, survivors and goners. This is the reason that spying on the cosmos is much better than announcing or even visiting these places. The universe is not just a blind watch maker but an insane watchmaker which produces beings that feed on each other; just like we need to be prudent about the rise of the machines we need to be extremely cautious about contact with an alien world and civilization; we might end up getting terrible parasites, get looted, enslaved or even presented as pabulum for these aliens. Some scientists in documentaries like through the wormhole have said that advancement may not mean or be equated with civility; humans are relatively advanced and on top of the food chain—but still are predators; that hunt and grossly devour other animals; which is really sickening. This might apply for the Cosmos in general as stated above; the fittest might loot, enslave, or devour the weak. Thus, we should at least know what we are dealing with and figure out where we fit in the pyramid of the universal ecosystem before we announce our presence to whoever is out there.

1.8 What should be the future of life?

Let us see the bigger picture; let us forget for a moment our hectic lives; our struggle for survival; our ethnic tensions; political struggle; deadlines; our homework, our daily jobs and let us raise our heads into space; let us see the stars and imagine our place in nature; currently we know for sure that the origin of mankind has been proven with certainty that it is natural, man is the child of nature. We see this orphan in benightedness and confusion as to his place in reality and as to what to do with his life.
We see humans divided by race, ethnicity and political ideology killing one another totally inundated in mundane activities. They have forgotten to ask the bigger question and see the bigger image. They have shied away from metaphysical inquiry as to why they are here and what ought to be their future and what to do about it. They have become nescient and derisive of their natural origins and oblivious to the future.

I love sentience and life. I advocate for the preservation, enhancement and pervasion of consciousness just like sapient Elon musk is advocating for; I cannot bear to see sentience especially humans as orphans of nature to suffer in life and go extinct due to their ignorance of the natural world that is why I combat all pseudosciences and irrational teachings, and the immature leadership which will drive humanity to extinction.

I will struggle for a world free from superstition, pseudosciences and a mortalist culture that have no use to the quality of life of all sentient beings. I have said that boarders, nationality, and race are imaginary divisions and the illusions of modern society; every family on the planet whether you live in USA, China, Africa, Asia or Latin America want exactly the same thing. They want financial security, peace and success for their children; thus, I tend and choose to see the bigger picture— the bigger picture of 7 billion people and children of Nature who need to know their true origins and whose lives needs to be preserved through anti-ageing activism, cyborgism, and natural understanding.

I see 7 billion sentient beings that need to colonize space; I see a future where life is seen as sacrosanct and capable of being transferred into inorganic medium. I would like to see a world where masochistic, and unrealistic teachings that emanate from the lowly self-discarded for reason and science. This is the right policy forward
and besides that seems to be our only salvation; our true salvation lies in understanding nature and taming it to our will.

Thus, the future of consciousness or life especially of humans needs to be a life of self-preservation, self-enhancement and self-existence—our suffering on earth via pestilence, wars, poverty, etc. exclusively emanates due to our neglect of STEM fields for pseudosciences. Abandoning nature for empyrean delusions and oneirism. Furthermore, a ban on natural studies is a ban on the happiness and quality of life that man would have received otherwise. A ban on curiosity, freethought and inquiry into nature is a ban on our very existence. Banning reason and nature is a suicidal act that will usher in the dark ages; an age of misery, primitiveness and superstition; a society inundated in a quagmire of pseudosciences; absolutely clueless about the true nature of reality. Therefore, if you ask me again what should be the future of life? I would say considering there is nothing like the human brain and material soul out there in the universe; I would say we are precious; we need to stay away from pro-death teachings; from self-maceration; from natural derision; we need to respect and understand nature; we need to explore the universe; we need to colonize planets; we need to perfect cryonics; we need to invent artificial brains to preserve our loved ones; we need to inculcated the virtue of reason and commonsense into the society; we need to be masters of matter in short. This is what I think should be the future of life not a life of death, irrationality, mysticism, & pseudosciences.

1.9 Jobs and automation: Who will inherit earth?
Today we are clearly witnessing the rapid growth and development of II (inorganic intelligence) and people being laid off or fired from their jobs because a machine has taken over their jobs. Now, isn't this a preliminary sign or another definition for the machines taking over the world; slowly and seamlessly? As machines slowly gain
consciousness they seem to be replacing the dominant life form that dominated the world for 300,000 years.

So, basically there are two arguments when it comes to the evolution of inorganic life on earth.

First argument is: Machines are not replacing humans; humans are not the 'other' machines are the inorganic extension of humans and their recently acquired neo-cortex. So this argument is man with machine evolution scenario. The machines are our extensions kind of argument.

The second argument is: Machines will slowly replace humans and their ever growingly weak brain power that is not keeping up with the explosion of data and its ability to process it; thus, 'something' bigger will be needed to deal with this data and that will be the super intelligence that will replace humans.

Which argument makes sense and most importantly is true is debatable. We cannot know the future unless we are in it. In a time called the present to see which argument is true. But, we can predict the future by what happened to other primates when Homo sapiens became smarter: they became zoo exhibits and experimental labrats. We do not see Bonobos and humans working in an office together today only humans work with humans and that maybe the future; machines working only with machines. This will give us the Skynet dooms day scenario which is terrifying. This is the fear shared by my favorite man on the planet Elon Musk and others like Stephen Hawking and Bill Gates. Nature will select the fittest to rule the planet and sideline the rest. This is how Nature works and always will work. There is no exception; Nature is not a socialist so the argument goes.
So, as rational people what we need to do is request evidence for both arguments so that we can know which claim will manifest. We can clearly see an evidence of humans being laid off and fired in the name of automation and this is the evidence for argument number two. Now, in order to ascertain indeed that it is argument number one that is happening on the ground we need an evidence for the actual transformation of the human body so that we can say it is actually keeping up with the data and processing capacity needed and there is evidence for this but not a very solid one; the human body today carries accessories as upgrades like digital calculators, phones with applications, personal computers, radios and weapons which act as external upgrades. But, they are not physically identical in form to the body; or our primitive primate body is antonymous with machines which mean that the body has stayed the same for hundreds of years after the industrial revolution.

Therefore, both arguments have evidence to provide but argument number one seems weaker in my view and will be problematic unless our body literally becomes inorganic faster; human body transformation today is slower than AI evolution. There are signs of our change but only through extension accessories. It needs to be a machine or indistinguishable from machines in order for argument number one to win. So, in my opinion unless we see some rapid transformation of our physical bodies; we will most likely deal with scenario number two.

As, a conclusion we need to take both arguments seriously because our future depends on them. Our jobs, livelihoods, and the entire human resource department depend on it. The only reason machines are working with humans today is because they lack the human component i.e. commonsense and sentience; but, once they develop that their behavior will most definitely be unpredictable and that it what we are being warned about i.e. scenario number two; which we need to give heed to.
The take away message

1-If the human body is changing into AI faster; then, we do not have to fear the future; because, we will be the future.

2-If our body is not changing at all and getting dummer and dummer over time; has more lust for superstition and pseudoscience than reason and space; then, it is more of a relay and passing over of our reign and jobs to sentient super AI and that is Skynet scenario which is terrifying outcome for our species.

2.0 The Benefits of a Moon base

First of all I think we need to admit that we are way back on schedule in our mission to create a civilization that extends far beyond planet Earth. Many sci-fi movies predicted that we would have a moon base by now and actually solve space travel problems; but, we seem to be busy procrastinating and fighting against one another than focus into the future. The world has been hijacked by tyrants and lazy monarchs who do not really care about humanity and its future but their comfort in their palaces and the security of their bank accounts. Our world today is amidst religious fanaticism and illiberal democracies; infected with corrupted culture; which is a very sad phenomenon to observe. There seems to be a lack of a “cosmic vision” in our culture. Anyhow, I would like to change this; I want to contribute a new perspective and vantage point to the world.

So, what are the benefits?

1st- It will divert attention from territorial wars and from ripping each other’s faces off for natural resources to inspire people to look into space which is filled with riches.

2nd-It will encourage an ideological change in our culture from mysticism to futurism.

3rd- It is cheaper to launch cargo from the moon into deeper space than earth due to gravity differences.
4th – You will get to see the best view of Earth with your own eyes physically in person.

5th – It will motivate children to get into STEM fields.

6th – The Moon has many resources that can be mined to alleviate poverty and strengthen the economy.

7th – It will become a deterrence to any alien civilization; that might think our civilization is weak.

8th – It will act as a defense post to protect earth from asteroids and other celestial bodies.

9th – The moon with no atmosphere may be the best place to study other galaxies and systems.

10th – It will be a show of our collective intelligence and the progress of evolution.

The list might go on and on but these are just the few ideas I could come up with; and what about you? What do you think are the benefits of having a base on the Moon? Is it worth it? Prepare a list for yourself?

2.1 Who said space travel is impossible? Is it really?

Some people feel languid and hopeless when they think about the magnitude of the Cosmos; its epic size that even light has a hard time crossing to finally conclude that space travel is just too hard and the planets are just too far away; we should take care of our planet and eventually die out. We should ‘enjoy our brief moment in front of a star.’ We should just abandon our hope of becoming a space civilization; take care of our dyeing mother i.e. Earth and become fossil records. These types of statements in my view are reactionary opinions; they are not sensible rational opinions which do not place the meaning of life under consideration.
If the explorer Columbus was alive today and if I told him that he could reach anywhere on the planet in hours flying through the heavens wouldn’t he have laughed at my face and probably thought I was mad? But, today after 500 years that is possible; it took very long but never the less manifested. Similarly, space travel closer to the speed of light; maybe even faster by manipulating the space time fabric will be possible in the future. The ‘How’ part is not our business to solve it is evolution’s business. It is nature’s business. As time moves ahead the technology comes as an auxiliary to the advancement of our civilization from Type 0 to Type III. It comes as a bonus to progress; it is a natural process; evolution together with hard thinkers will make it possible. Remember life on earth is an incubation which will eventually expand into the Cosmos.

Thus, I wouldn’t want to be in the wrong side of history by acting as a reactionary; making comments that will eventually be wrong. Statements like: space travel will never be possible, digital immortality will never be possible, teleportation will never be achieved; telekinesis will be impossible and so on. We must ask ourselves if life is all about progress and development of a civilization why the heck not? Isn’t that the objective of ‘development’ in a sense? If something is under development; then, it will eventually achieve all these feats. All we need to do is of course take care of Earth not to live in it forever but to facilitate the creation of a super civilization.

We should remember that space travel is not some type of luxury that we should consider but a necessity that must be achieved if we want to survive longer and settle further. It is either space domination or extinction together with the planet that is destined for cremation in the near future; thus, it is about life and death for our race. Would you want to be a member of an abundant future or a member of a cemetery of people that have lived and ‘aged gracefully’? Wouldn’t Columbus want to be part of our generation with better healthcare,
transportation and communication? The same metaphor applies to the future. Be an optimist; look into the future not the past.

### 2.2 It is time for a new world orientation for Earthlings

I think it is about time that the world received a new orientation in order to preserve life and even increase our quality of life further. Instead, of crying over medieval ideas and ideologies I think it is time to create a society based on reason, nature and liberty. Today we are seeing great advancements in the quality of life and power of our human civilization and this achievement or development must be protected from folly of human nature.

In the past Europe was the only part of the world where it was known for development and better quality of life; but, today we have seen people in the Fareast; once in isolation now lifted out of poverty as in the case of China which is a magnificent achievement that needs to be applauded; but, of course they need to become democratic and recognize individual right and liberties and I hope that will happen as time moves ahead; in the middle east once an area neglected and under develop; now exploding in infrastructure projects making lives better for many foreign and domestic residents. In Africa also there are many improvements in infrastructure and quality of life otherwise you will never have read this article on line.

Life today is getting much better and better despite the feral essence of human nature; and the remnants of killer ape genes that surface once in a while. My point in this article is no different from my other articles what I am saying is that our ideology needs to be based on logic, nature and individualized union in order to maintain the progress of our civilization and eventually take over the galaxy that is filled with riches: we need to have a *Cosmic vision.*
We should never allow irrational and corrupted orientations of the past derail the progress of human civilization or hold it backward. We must have one united planetary civilization that deals business and commerce with one another amicably — united under one planetary flag. We need to protect the planet from manmade and nature made threats so that earth can produce what it is supposed to produce: a *super civilization*.

We need to pass this level of development in our evolution with wisdom; suppress our lowly self-deeper; keep our hands away from the nuclear buttons; because, soon enough we will become machines and that comes with logic which will make us less violent and stupid; we will not need oxygen masks and other cumbersome needs that biology needs to explore space; we will be like our probes probing other worlds ourselves. Thus, the point is we need a monistic orientation of life until we reach this level of development; we need to pass the anthropocene era with rationalism and composure.

### 2.3 Is intelligence more powerful than the laws of Nature?

This is a very difficult question to answer; some scientists like Kurzweil think that intelligence is the most important phenomenon in nature; while others think that the laws of nature are superior to the extent that it is these laws that create the intelligence in the first place. So, the argument is not whether intelligence can be superior to the laws of nature but whether it can find ways to work around the eternal and determinative laws of nature. That is how I see and solve this problematic question.

When the Russian scientist K. Tsiolkovsky came up with the vision of how to send or relieve humans from their cradle that they were stuck at via rockets and propulsive mechanics he was not envisioning
'how to break the laws' of nature but rather the means to circumvent the laws of gravity to usher in the space age. In my view the laws of Nature are deterministic just like the rules of a game. But, people always find ways to figure out ways around the rules.

Another point to consider is the intelligence that is incubating on Earth currently is not something unnatural; it is part of reality and it is nature. So, I think there should be no dichotomy between intelligence and the rules that govern the Cosmos. In my understanding the 'laws' of Nature are just traits or characters of Nature. They tell us how the Universe behaves or composes itself. Forexample there is nothing that travels faster than photons right? We use these photons to communicate with one another but imagine if I am on one of the planets of Alpha centauri and want to send a message to earth it will take a total of 8 years for me to get a reply: 4 years to text to home and another 4 years for someone to give me a reply.

Now, if there are no ways to circumvent this problem this law will be a dictum that governs my life whether I like it or not. If my intelligence is not powerful enough to figure out a way to discover another means or ways in which the Universe behaves; I am doomed. I will grow old waiting for messages from Earth to reach me at another world. So, basically there are two important points here to consider if the Universe does not have the laws in its essence to accommodate our aspirations or it is not malleable to our intentions and plans; then, there is noting that we can do. But, intelligence especially if it is superior and well developed can definitely find ways to play around the traits of the natural world. The best example is the intelligence of Tsiolkovsky beating the laws of gravity in developing rockets.
So, basically we can say that Nature is the victim of its own fostering; the same laws that foster intelligence to develop on planet Earth will be circumvented and played around with once the intelligence becomes stronger. Once, fully developed it can freeze atoms in space, slow down time, venture into another dimension, maybe even travel faster than light; because we must remember that a developed intelligence will slowly uncover the secrets of nature one by one like a baby understands the world bit by bit. After which there might be no stopping this intelligence once it fully matures. So, finally is intelligence more powerful than the laws of nature? My answer is yes if it is well developed of course; but still under the dictum of natural laws. Just because we have figured out a technology to bypass gravity that does not mean that gravity is not deterministic or a force that goes away. Our very limited intelligence today has solved many problems like human origins, celestial mechanics, and the origin of the Cosmos itself! And many other conundrums that troubled many philosophers; imagine when it goes inorganic and becomes well developed? Imagine the possibilities? It is very scary; isn't it? Playing with stars and planets is not the occupation of mortals but of divines.

2.4 Why should we die if Nature has no beginning?
Since there is a strong argument for the eternity of reality in one form or another: I mean either as a single Universe (based on a laser experiment to prove it is flat) or an infinite Universe based on the bubble Universes theory. I think this realization will eventually lead us to the ultimate conclusion that life must be preserved!

The digitalization of the human mind; is already happening naturally on the ground. A man from 297 years ago like Adam Smith foreexample is very much different in anatomy from the 21st century cyborg like me. He did not have a digital extension to his cortex: no smart phone, wireless headsets and no Google. Evolution has an ugly beginning; but it definitely has a promising future; since it is going
inorganic. It is not perfect full of mistakes and carryovers but still promising.

So when we talk about technology there needs to be an oversight of course without hampering the progress of science and there needs to be debate among the people on what technologies they want what they don’t. I am not calling for the immortalization of tyrants and twisted personalities. If society deems it necessary it must have the right to reject technologies or erase that digital mind that is a threat to society; but, in general going inorganic or digital has the benefit of longevity and security from a very harsh and inhospitable Universe that kills its own children.

Death is ugly, depressing, and unnecessary. Death is a natural process and it must have a natural solution. Of course there is no certainty about life; in other words we cannot control life but we must do whatever we can to maximize health and life spans. It will be hard to meet our objective of life immortalization without an effective method or strategy. Since we are still organic machines; there seems to be a very long road ahead from becoming fully inorganic; it demands the total transformation of our bodies at the cellular level from organic cells into inorganic cells. This will achieve our objective of immortality or longevity whatever word is applicable.

Since the body is made up of trillions of tiny specialized cells I think it will be a best plan if we devise their inorganic equivalents; then, we can merge them together to form organs, systems and finally the organism. Since cells are just biological processors I conjecture if we replace them with their inorganic counterparts we will still feel the same but a totally different creature that can go to space without a space suit like the transformers. Another option is digitizing only the mind like the movie Transcendence. So, I think the solution to immortality rests at the cellular level; you cannot stick your cellphone
or other bulky processors inside your primitive primate body it is just senseless. Therefore, miniaturization might be our solution. It holds great promise.

If Nature has no beginning then I think death becomes an event not an end; if so then there will be no need for death; because death is not an end it is just a beginning in the endless cycle of life in nature. What goes around must come around that seems to be the nature of our reality; if so why not extend life; preserve it; fight all the evils that weaken the body and the mind? Isn’t that a logical argument? Isn’t this sensible? Why be the votaries of defunct medieval concepts? Why be the mercenaries of maceration and death?

Anyways for the sake of history I have made my argument. Let us leave those mystics and deranged sophists to their corrupted ideology and expired concepts; let us—the grownups devise ways to preserve life; let us devise a better ideology, a better society, and better way of life for ourselves, our families and the whole mankind. Let us preach monism, freedom of conscience, industriousness, longevity and natural investigation. Let us not be bothered by the mystics; they will vanish waiting for their fictional heroes; they will perish due to their own ideology a self-inflicted fatal wound of ideological suicide; a vision that has corrupted their minds and poisoned their souls. We the realists and naturalists will promote bliss and continuity; we shall see who will perish and who will survive.

2.5 The threat of belief systems to longevity, immortality and human happiness

Extreme believers are more dangerous than normal believers because they will be the ones experiencing symptoms of schizophrenia i.e. images and voices just like Abraham; which makes them think that there is another dimension. Hence, they are more “convinced” about
their beliefs than ordinary believers—who are just naïve into thinking that there is another world.

Extreme believers are a huge treat for the wellbeing of any society; hence, they must be closely watched and monitored not to inflict violence on themselves or on others. These individuals will be experiencing one of the delusions called the god delusion which is not yet incorporated into the DSM-5 manual but which should be—because it is the source of irrationality, terrorism, child abuse and other societal ills that we behold today.

The other problem with a belief system which is concocted by shallow charlatans and imperialists is that it opens the door for the exploitation of naïve individuals who do not understand the corrupt nature of all belief systems in general as I have said elsewhere. In addition, if we observe the background of believers and mystics in general most and all of them were shallow and naïve individuals before they converted into believers. In order to accept mythology as fact like an idiot one has to be a simpleton and that is exactly what all believers are. The founders of mythology study other mythologies before them and establish their own mythological systems based on inspirations they acquire from their predecessors—Muhammad studied Christianity thoroughly before he invented what he called Islam; founders of Christianity studied ancient Sumerian as well as Mesopotamian mythical systems before establishing Christianity. This is how mythology evolves and ramifies throughout history. It is founded on the shoulders of simpletons and buds from the stem of ancient mythology. A belief system and force always go hand in hand or in tandem as Ayn rand stated in her book *Faith and force: The destroyers of the modern world*; because, in order to gain acceptance by the public formulators of belief systems always use emotional and physical force in order to propagate their unreasonable and unverified or sometimes unverifiable claims. The only way to promote claptrap is by sensationalism and physical force because their claims do not
exist in reality. Therefore, as a thesis I would say belief systems make people emotional, belligerent, and psychotic; there would be no need for belief systems, violence and threnodies— if men understood their weak nature i.e. self-realize and became self-sufficient.

I should ask the question: Should we ever wonder why our world today is inundated in so much violence and nihilism? Absolutely not, because the source of all violence is belief and the vagary which follows it rather than fact and reason—even though there are other reasons which can be sources of violence as well. I absolutely understand that the current stage of evolution on the planet is temporary as time moves forward the next creatures that will inherit earth and the Cosmos are expected to be more rational and more powerful i.e. intellectually and physically than puny man who invents ideal characters and establishes superstition like a little boy to compensate for his frail mental and physical powers. But until that time as long as Homo sapiens dominate the planet and to ameliorate the damages done by our weakness and monkey genes; there will be a need for teaching people that nature is complete and the reason that they create ideal characters and belief systems is due to their limitations. Therefore, instead of falling victim to superstition—they should be advised to eliminate their weaknesses by means of natural understanding as much as they can. I think this is the only method to save humanity until they reach the stage of omniscience.

If you have observed closely just having the feeling of a racist attitude will trigger the attitude of racism on the other side of the fence. Having the feeling of imperialism will alarm others into becoming imperialists themselves; hatred creates more hatred; vindictiveness creates more revenge; love and compassion creates harmony; extreme communism creates radical capitalism; radical capitalism creates radical socialism; maleficence pushes people away and beneficence attracts people. Now, if people’s attitudes, their thoughts and feelings are responsible for their practical experiences
then where does the so called supernatural god come into action? If nature is self-automated; how is it possible for anything “super” to exist? Isn’t the above example enough evidence to suggest that nature itself is god? Go out on an experiment to test the idea that nature is complete and human nature itself is responsible for all manifestations on earth rather than a “supernatural god”. Your feelings, thoughts, attitude, mood and over all physiology influences reality not in an idealistic manner— but in an objective manner and is also influenced by the outside world.

Hence, we can say that nature as a whole is a self-determining and self-directing force. I think this is the reason that Aristotle made self-realization or self-development the correct path towards creating a better society. Here, we must take an important note that when Aristotle said self-realization he meant reaching your maximum potential which has a similar definition with self-enhancement or Transhumanism but in order to reach self-enhancement first one has to understand his nature; thus, when I use the term self-realization I do not mean self-development but self-understanding. Having an insight into how your mind and body works i.e. your physiology. So that it will easy for you to enhance it— if you have the technology or manage it. Because, if people understand their nature; then, they can self-regulate their behavior to create a harmonious society. If they understand that they are animals! With selfishness, belligerence and other animal characters; then, it will be easy to solve all social issues— because accepting the problem is part of the solution.

Therefore, as a conclusion—belief systems in the first place manifest due to the limited brain capacity of human beings which restricts them from solving their disease, cleaning their environment and defeating death. In addition, the obliviousness about self-realization or understanding ones nature as a determining force— which should have compelled people to upgrade it—intellectually and physically in order to become self-sufficient are the major causes for the creation
of belief systems in history and the danger that they pose for mankind. In order to eliminate this danger posed by belief systems to mental, emotional, physical, social and economic wellbeing of the individual and society as a whole first we need to eliminate the creator of belief systems which is man’s limited brain capacity and the lack of self-understanding.

2.6 Man must keep up with evolution in order to guarantee his survival, dominance and finally to be Homo machina

Man by all means and evidence provided is not a “fallen angel” as dictated by Middle Eastern shepherds; but, a risen ape out of cosmic dust as suggested by modern day physicists. Even though, man’s finite intelligence still makes him a miserable and dependent organism on the state, society and mystical shacks; he is still the dominant species on earth. This dominance might not be everlasting as was the domination of the dinosaurs; unless otherwise, he keeps on augmenting his mind and body through personal selection.

Several experiments suggest that materialism works effectively due to the simple reason that nature is the supreme entity. If this is the fact then we are obliged to think that we must not only preserve life; but, enhance it to infinity. Man can even prevent the disintegration of our solar system and the universe as a whole if he is endowed with a super intelligent rational brain which he currently does not possess; entailing the capability to perform anything.

The finiteness of the human brain as I said so many times limits the achievements he can accomplish. All the dreams and aspirations of our ancestors including stopping aging, immortality, creating rain in times of drought, healing the lame, curing the infirmed and so on can be accomplished if the human brain has a powerful superfast rational calculations and ingenuity.
Our experiments with natural forces has shown to us that reason and the scientific method work efficiently some times to our trepidation: making the lame walk again, creating rainfall, and even performing reversible death i.e. (preserving someone at 10 degree centigrade below the normal body temperature where heart and brain activity come to a halt and resurrecting people back to consciousness again) and may be in the future a technology for preserving our sun from dying may also exist. All these feats are really very scary ideas but despite our trepidation these experiments show us that materialism works and we are supposed to be the masters of our destiny within eternal nature.

The dreams of ancient mystics to tame the natural world just by employing idealism or in other words with prayers and sacrifices was the wrong approach. In order to deal with nature we need to be super rational with an immense processing capability which we currently do not have; this may be the reason why tend to go to sleep in math and engineering classes rather than attend attentively. Biologists tell us that he cortex of the human brain has not changed or evolved for the last several thousands of years since its abrupt development from lower primates. Thus, if our brain is not enhancing and evolving itself in the recent thousands of years; what can we deduce about what nature has in mind for us? Is she trying to shove us into a museum piece as old models of antiquity together with Homo erectus, Homo habilis and Homo neandertalensis by creating something better? Is nature rescinding the era of Homo sapiens in order to usher in the era of Homo machines? It certainly looks so—by the emergence of so many cyborgs that live among us already like Professor Stephen Hawking, Neil Harbinson and so on.

The transition from Homo sapiens to Homo cyborgs seems to be happening right before our eyes and when these cyborgs or machines get more sophisticated and when flaws are minimized then we will transit into the era of Home Deus; where, the techno-singularity
manifests. Thus, this era looks like the end of humanity and the incipience of a super being in nature; because, the human cerebral cortex which is responsible for our human civilization is not evolving any further it has stopped. If this is so; then, what is evolving? The technological evolution has been ongoing since the industrial revolution in European countries. Today this technological evolution has reached a state where machines are being able to perform surgeries on patients with a supervising medical surgeon.

In addition, these machines are being designed to be learning and reading machines just like humans with an immense power far more power full than the human brain itself in calculation and capacity. Is artificial intelligence the organism which proclaims the end of the era of humans and the beginning of a new eon of super intelligent machines which are not limited and pinned down on earth by their biological nature to conquer and explore the cosmos as a whole? I believe that nature is accelerating the technological evolution of machines because I think she wants being who are ready and naturally built for the harsh cosmic environment outside earth.

These machines unlike humans are not biological; they do not need food water or oxygen which exists as long as we known copiously on earth. Hence, we can sense that nature is preparing for the ultimate departure of organisms outside earth and it is trying to create machines which can leave earth to dwell on any harsh planet which is not conducive to biological life and I believe the dominance of Homo sapiens will run out when the rise of these machines becomes complete and when they gain full consciousness, and omnipotence (singularity). Then I believe that humans will not have any place in the world; the intelligence which had made them the masters of all species on earth will be defunct and overwhelmed by the machines if these machines are not under their control? But the most important question is: how can we possibly control organisms with higher or infinite intelligence; unless, otherwise we are equally intelligent with
these beings? How can something inferior control something superior? Does that even make any sense? We can never guarantee the survival of Homo sapiens unless humans are equally enhanced; because it is just natural for higher beings to lead and lower beings to follow; I have a concern that unenhanced inferiorly intelligent humans might either be subjugated or even wiped out by the higher intelligence that is coming.

Therefore, the guarantee that we all have in order to ascertain our existence is— to enhance ourselves to become Homo Deus in alignment with the rise of the machines. This will prevent our inferiority and may be extinction by super intelligent machines that are evolving rapidly in our world. The evolution of super intelligent machines should not be a problem but the stagnation of human intelligence capability.

Some individuals might raise the issue of ethical concerns saying that the body should not be tampered with and it should be left as an “untouchable temple” which is not a sage statement in a world of rapid advances in technology; because, our mushy obsolete bodies might not compete with cyborgs and machines. Hence, may become subject to natural selection where the weak get eliminated. Therefore, in a Darwinian world of hyper competition for survival we need to keep up with the latest advances in technological trends in order to reassure the survival of the human species by adaptation.

From a marketing and business perspective; there are millions of people who support transhumanism and biohacking on our planet today. This means there is a huge market for bionics, & nootropics for medical treatments as well as non- medical augmentations. Therefore, big technological companies like Sony, Samsung, Apple, Dell and others need to look into these new niche of marketing opportunity instead of just producing ordinary consumer products for the masses.
I believe the biohacking market will continue to grow—serving for medical and non-medical enhancements into the future; body organs will gradually continue to be replaced by electro-mechanical devices in a step by step manner; because who really wants bodies which are super complicated at the same time super fragile? Super complicated and super fragile biology is not sustainable; it needs to be phased out and replaced by electro-mechanics which is much robust and durable. This will increase the likelihood of human survival, dominance and facilitate the ultimate departure into the Cosmos from planet earth in a suitable build.

2.7 The virtue of self-esteem and the ailment of self-inferiority

Idealists and mystics tell you that you must never elevate yourself and have a healthy love, respect and dignity for your mind and body; most importantly you must never have an *ambition* of becoming better or great. You just have to enslave yourself to inane myths concocted by idealists, imposters, and spiritualists; you just have to disdain yourself and self-mortify for the sake of illusions and delusions; be miserable and just die. This bleak vision emanates from a dark consciousness and mind which is ignorant about the natural world as well as metaphysical truths.

You must respect your mind and body take good care of yourself in all five spectrums; because frankly, the evolution of life on earth seems to be heading to a great destination; the level of intelligence is augmenting—indicating the coming of a super being and a possible utopia; therefore; why in the world would you want to destroy your precious body and die; because mystics surmise that there is already a god who is higher than yourself? Could surmises and topsy-turvy discourses be an evidence for a higher being? How could having a healthy respect and dignity for your self be labeled grandiloquence?
Idealist (i.e. founders of all anti-realism movements which includes all religions in history and other mutant systems which appear to possess the visage of real science but in reality are pseudo sciences and branches of idealism like Biocentrism and the “law of attraction”) will never be satisfied until you become schizophrenic, miserable and fill the grave. Idealism is a nook for confused, nihilistic and misanthropist individuals. If you observe the attitudes of idealists they tend to be totalitarian and collective—shrugging the inalienable right of the individual to choice and wellness. This theory is the most destructive concept ever invented — just see what idealism is doing to the world; observe the current Middle East and the challenges that it has brought forth— not just to that region but to whole world; it is those people who think that their mind is a “spirit” that are bombing airports and running over people with huge trucks; why would idealists envision such a dark social concept for humanity which will create suffering and death? Isn’t happiness and wellness the most treasured state of mind? Isn’t the completeness of nature the unflinching truth?

Respecting your body and mind does not mean grandeur and disdain for your fellow members of the society; idealists love to distort the idea of self-preservation beyond its rightful proportion into extreme — in order to attack it easily; but for any calm and sage examiner the difference between salubrism and grandiose is vividly clear. They state that it is the greatest “sin” to call yourself with a capital “I” you must deride your self-esteem and refer to yourself with a small letter “i” in subjugation for a non-existent god— a god of absence. The problem with this people is that — first of all, their formulation of metaphysics is terribly wrong. The more they delve into their fictive philosophies and cogitate about spiritualism; the more delusional they
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become and finally end up psychotic and their psychosis makes them think they are in the right direction.

Nothing can exist outside nature! Which immediately nullifies the so-called supernatural god and their system altogether—because most of their system is based on the concept of supernaturalism; they say you must submit yourself without any question to the “supernatural” concept; despite, having already established the fact that supernaturalism is inane and absence. Hence, the action of submitting yourself to “supernaturalism” could only mean submitting yourself to the whims and caprice of idealists and mystics. It is their whims which fills the absence of a supernatural god. The supernatural god is a pseudonym for themselves.

Therefore, their call on you to belittle yourself is a call for slavery to their madness and error. Reason indicates that nature is complete; “nature includes all beings” as Baron d’Holbach would say; and their confused mind is seen to drag everything around—that it can grab into oblivion just like a black hole. All evidence suggests that nature is not going anywhere; if this is so why wouldn’t you consider the evolution of life as an indication of something great and preserve yourself? Why would you consider the material world as loathsome? Does this indicate sanity? To hate your self is to hate life; to hate life is to hate all of mankind.

The preposterous thing about supernaturalism as a branch of idealism is that—this simple oxymoron has created major bloodshed and calamity in history due to people’s negligence to look into its grammar and linguistic qualities which contradict with logic, commonsense, and reality. It is really sad that an invalid term could create so much tribulation on the planet; founders of idealism as evil as they are have their interest in stupefying and degrading precious man so that he could be tamed to their speculations, and erroneous
theories. So that he can be a perfect subject to their every mood swing, edict or dictum.

They like to feel his head under their boots; screaming in vainglory—beating their chest in imperial domination. It is the mystics themselves that are vainglorious and grandeur who elevate themselves above others; to impose their musings and mythological social systems. They indict atheists and realists of — “The illusion of grandeur” for their ambition to preserve and enhance themselves as well as refusal to accept idealism; but given the above discourse—it is idealists themselves who are under the illusion of grandeur; obsessed with authority and enslavement of the individual to their folklores. How could the inability to understand entities beyond our design be regarded as aloof? They state that the idea of self-inferiority is necessary in order to introduce ethics into the behavior of men and stabilize the society into a harmonious union. There are two important things to consider when we discuss morality or ethics: If you want people to behave

1st They need to embrace the idea of natural absolutism.

2nd They need to understand their nature which is responsible for their experiences.

3rd They need to believe in discussions and the right to choice while dealing with ethical and moral issues with other members of the society.

If they understand that nature is the sole metaphysical truth; then they will slowly begin to attribute mundane manifestations and their daily experiences to their own nature and other natural forces which makes it easier for them to rectify their attitude to create a harmonious society as well as manage nature to their preferences solving many of their challenges from ailment to poverty i.e. all diseases could only be cured through natural understanding and research; global poverty could be solved by innovation and ingenuity. The innovation of drugs, machinery and other utilities has created many jobs and
tremendous wealth throughout history. It is natural understanding which creates health and wealth. Now, natural understanding is one thing; whereas, what should be moral or legal is another; thus, in order to solve this conundrum— there must be a platform of discussion and choice. All ethical and moral issues must be promulgated or rescinded based on discussions and choice by the participation of all members of the society.

Now, coming back to the main topic of discussion— the attitude of self- inferiority will never create an ethical society; but a society of minions and tyrants; self-inferiority will force the elevation of others above you; in a similar manner the attitude of vainglory will evoke others to adopt grandiloquence i.e. racism will create more racism; imperialism will evoke others to be apprehensive and imperial. Both extreme ends (i.e. self-inferiority and vaunting) are unhealthy; but self-esteem couldn’t be any healthier. There is no such thing as a healthy self-inferiority; self-inferiority emanates out of depression. You must maintain the vivacity and vigor of your mind and body; always being prudent not to sacrifice—social hedonism i.e. the social fabric and harmony of your society by exceeding the limit i.e. vainglory. Because, sacrificing even one spectrum has a consequence; it is all about balancing your mental, emotional, physical, social and economic salubrity. You must defend this balance from disruption by idealist racketeers and advocates of slavery, misery and death

### 2.8 Some weak branches of Science that need to be supported

Today's technology is so weak that it cannot even solve highly problematic infectious diseases like HIV and environmental challenges like pollution. Thanks to the neglect of reason and nature the quality of life of humanity is under a question mark. Especially the fields of bionics, ageing research, neuroscience, environmental science, space travel, cosmology and infectious diseases are just a
few examples that need serious attention and motivation. Let us see these fields one by one:

1-Bionics: Today people injured by war, accidents, or birth defects get 'cutting edge' prosthesis to replace their natural limbs. But, unfortunately their natural hand is much better than the artificial one. Most of our artificial engineering cannot match nature's engineering; let alone surpass it.

2-Ageing research: Gerontology has effectively identified the pathology of ageing cells or why cells gets damage during repeated replication but still it has not provided proven remedy to the problem.

3-Neuroscience: The ancient statement that the brain is a blackbox is still relevant today. Still in 2020 we do not have much idea how the brain works; how it stores memory; how to get it out; or how to create its equivalent.

4-Environmental science: Notwithstanding the solid essence of climate science; there seems to be an ideological dogmatism and denial from capitalists who like to count the money while wearing a mask and while their children are hospitalized for heat stroke and asthma. They like to accumulate gold and silver in their safes while the planet melts down and eventually they will not have a planet to spend it on. Capitalism is of course with a question very central to the state's economy and jobs; but, these coal and hydrocarbon based industries must learn to innovate and transition into a new renewable sources of technology or venture; for example a coal mining company can move on to produce solar panels or wind turbines by training its workers in the new trade. Thus, it will still make money, hire people and still be relevant in the 21st century. There should never be a conflict between environmentalism and capitalism. Our planet has been polluted and there seems to be either the lack of will, policy, technology or interest to clean it up.

5-Space travel: Today it takes about 6 months to get to Mars and around three days to reach the moon; that by itself says a lot about the
elevated level of neglect to reason and science in our world. Today people get zealous or thrilled by superstitious events like the discovery of Jesus Pandira's under garment i.e. the so called Shourd of Turin or Prophet Muhammad’s exquisite flip flops and hair by some 'Archeologist' somewhere in the scorching Levant than the discovery of life on another planet by NASA or the invention of teleportation by a computer scientist; which is really unfortunate. Space science still needs attention & a lot of funding as well as relentless research. There are good signs like the revival of the space age by some wise billionaire futurists like Bezos and Elon musk which is a fantastic thing.

6-Cosmology: We still do not know why the Universe exploded into being; what made it bang and what it is attached to beyond the Singularity; pre-Big Bang physics is still in its infancy. Understanding pre Big-Bang physics will give us the whole metaphysical picture about our reality. Thus, this field needs motivation and revitalization.

7-Infectious diseases: Viral pathogens are becoming a huge nuisance in our world like HIV, Ebola and others that are causing incurable ailments in our world. This is my department as it is a field of clinical pathology; it will be a personal homework for me and my colleagues in the field.

These are just few of the examples that can be mentioned in the field of natural science that are very weak at the moment and which need special attention. It will benefit all of us when science is strong in our society it gives us strong defense to our state, high quality healthcare, longer life spans and journey to the stars.
2.9 Space mining

Recently there was a successful landing of the rover called Chang’e 4 on the far side of the moon by the Chinese regime; which has been impregnated with plant life for the first time in human history; this shows organized effort. Now, the Universe holds lots of riches in forms of gold, platinum, water, diamonds and other precious materials that can be used to build our civilization further. It can be used to provide materials to our industries on Earth providing a huge boost to the economy.

It is a well-known fact that industrialization on Earth has created so many benefit as well as disasters. It created jobs and ecological disturbance to the environment at the same time. Civilizations seem to grow at the cost of the environment causing global warming, sea acidification, and ecological pollution and so on but this cost has propelled our society from primitive hunters and to sky farers.

I think capitalistic challenges by themselves are not challenges but opportunities for other kinds of businesses to flourish. For example, recycling and sewerage treatment plants become necessary businesses to solve the problems caused by other industries; a venture worth millions and providing profitable capital to the founders if they get the science right. One destructive form of industry opens a door for other type of industry that fixes the damage done by the former cleaning our air and water while making profit at the same time.

When we expand this capitalistic earth model to our solar system; we realize that there is nobody to claim the Cosmos except us. Hence, it will be inevitable that eventually we will venture to the moon, the asteroid belt, the moons of Jupiter and Saturn as well as Mars for two simple reasons:
1-It is a necessity for a civilization to grow outwards as time moves on; when the might of our Type-0 civilization gets stronger it will need more resources and more space than what earth can provide and the only logical direction will be to go outwards.

2-Once this machine civilization matures it will understand that the riches of the Cosmos are just too precious to leave it be. Distant celestial bodies will feel closer and easier to manipulate as its technology grows. The water on Mars and the moon especially for us who cannot live without water will be just too damn precious to ignore.

There are some space mining companies that we hear about on online news but I can say that all of them are at the planning and nescient stages. Given the journey which takes days to the moon months to Mars and even years to the asteroid belt to capture and bring valuable minerals; to earth with automated rovers that have not been yet constructed; with all the dangers of space travel can seem like an insurmountable challenge. But, there is no business anywhere without a complicated scenario and risk.

We are already building rovers to scout the neighborhood and I think we can build bases on these places to mine, capture and package the raw materials and send them to Earth for further processing. This process will start sending small samples; then it will get bigger as time moves ahead. It will be impractical to establish processing units on these places with hundreds of manual human laborers. It will be too expensive; as the saying goes there is no place like home to deal with these materials comfortably with less cost and effectiveness. These worlds out there are by no means like Earth they are basically dead harsh worlds that cannot support industrialization; but, can definitely support an industry located on earth.
3.0 Replication: Humans as Transitional Primates

The replication of vital organs and senses of the human body by inorganic equivalents is already underway. Some organs like the eye for example have been not just replicated but augmented to give us today's cameras, telescopes and microscopes. Without the understanding of nature’s engineering we wouldn’t have been able to replicate and produce far advanced cameras more powerful than the eye. This became possible because we understood how the eye worked in the first place; we understood that it had a lens to focus reflected light, iris to control the amount of light that enters the whole eye, retina that displays the image like a cinema canvas and so forth. We realized that the eye was just a biological camera; pure and simple. This understanding enabled us to not to just replicate it; but, to create modern cameras that detect additional spectrum of light than the natural eye can’t even detect.

Now, this example goes for the brain as well; once replicated the replicated brain counterpart will exceed in ability and performance more than the brain itself; just like the eye we talked about above. Once we figure out how it works the artificial brain will be augmented beyond the original brain that was used to study as a model. Just like the human genome project which took several years to decode and understand; the brain will also be a huge assignment for scientist to decipher and decrypt. But, once it is complete—it will open one of the greatest gates of triumph and success to mankind. The brain stifled by mysticism as a no ‘tampering area’ by indolent mystics and their gibberish literature will become demystified and open for augmentation, preservation and extension.

That year is not really that far; many scientists state that by the year 2040’s the development of machine brains will be in parity will the human counterpart. Thus, the mystery of the brain once thought to be super complicate, mysterious, incompressible and a black box will be effectively replicated and that will be the last invention we
probably have to make. The reason why the brain is super important is that the other 12 systems or so in the body are really not that important except the brain; they exist to sustain and maintain the nervous system. The G.I tract, the cardiovascular, and the respiratory systems are just aids which keep the self which resides in the brain alive by supplying it with important nutrients and nourishment.

The real you is not the physical self you see every day in the mirror but your personality which resides inside your brain; the nervous system is the real you; your trillions of connections and billions of neurons, the biological memory flash disk like the hippocampus; which memorize your phone number, home dress, your family name, the occipital lobe which sees this article, so on. That is the real you and I believe that there is no distinction between the human brain and machines except in form; but, not in matter. They perform the same job but are composed of different nature.

When the idea of an artificial brain becomes as common as the iPhone or desktop computer; the idea of immortality and life extension will also be an ordinary phenomenon as sending a file to another phone; you will be able to upload and download your consciousness of your family and any one you love preventing their extinction and saving yourself the pain of them leaving you for good.

Todays’ primitive status of this technology and seemingly far-fetched idea of replication and immortality can be equated with the beliefs people had on the first attempt of man to fly; imagine those people in the 20th century would think of you if you said that human flight across oceans in state of the art, self-contained airplanes flying across oceans was possible. Imagine today that if you said the dominant life form probably in the next 200 years will be an inorganic life form; most people will think you are insane. They might start to recall Dr. Phil’s email or phone address or something. But, either way the idea
of the replication of the human brain, as well as the concept of immortality and transcendence will be a common place in the near future because life will become fully inorganic. The advantage of inorganic intelligence unlike the biological form is that the transfer of data like memories you made since childhood are not stuck in the hippocampus; without a way to extract them either via Bluetooth or cable connections. They will be extracted within a matter of seconds like we do with our phones. Data transfer will be super simple and the idea of transferring yourself to another medium will not be that problematic once the central processor is accessible unlike the biological brain.

Finally, the dominant life form on earth as said above will be inorganic in the coming years considering the current rate of evolution and the explosion of innovation and technology; I think what nature is trying to do is trying to create a machine civilization which can spread into the cosmos; and I said in my other article; the human civilization is already a machine civilization at least semi-mechanized which we can clearly see on the ground biology is being purged out of the dominant life form on earth. Nature works in very strange manner; this strange rock has given birth to several species in its 3.8 billion life time or so and for the first time in its history; it is giving birth to inorganic life form—that we can behold evolving right before eyes.

3.1 Earth as a natural spaceship and a satellite: Can we remove earth from orbit and live like solar nomads from one star to the other?

I think Earth by itself can be considered like a natural spaceship and some scientists have said that it might be possible to export earth to anywhere in our universe with appropriate thrusters or technology. Life can go underground when earth turns into an ice ball when it leaves the Goldilocks zone of our sun; we can build our civilization underground and get warmth from the liquid core of the planet via
thermal vents or nuclear power plants etc. When our star becomes unstable we can dislodge earth from orbit first by slowing it down from its current velocity of around 30km per second speed of revolution in space then remove it out of orbit like we remove satellites out of orbit. Then, we can look for any stars out there and lock on in their Goldilocks zone to continue our civilization. We can be like solar nomads moving from one star to the next; this by the way if achieved will be the first time since nature created us that we are attempting this scary, terrifying, godlike feat.

Earth can be a perfect model for another artificial space ship if we desire to construct. We can take earth as a model and create an artificial earth and an artificial biosphere alternatively also; we can go anywhere in the universe. Remember that there are already rouge planets rooming the universe without any parent star; we, can be like these planets but with directed intelligence and ship captains driving earth through the universe; we can live in any solar system that is suitable for us.

I think we should not allow earth to die with the sun. The water that fills our oceans which is essential for life will not boil away when our star gets warmer and bigger; instead as I said above it will freeze when we leave our parent star to look for other solar systems; thus this essential component for life will stay on the surface of our planet. Inorder to make a rock like earth into a suitable life supporting ship we need to understand some important concepts; leaving out the issues distance: because that will be adjusted easily; we will talk about other factors like type of star (size, stability etc.), mass of earth (which gives us the right gravity), its composition (minerals and fertility to run our civilization), temperature also set by distance and most importantly a liquid revolving molten core or radiation shield.

We need to place these factors in our calculation before thinking about solar nomadism.
1- Star size: the size and stability of stars that we hope to dock into and their Goldilocks zone will matter greatly; there are several types of stars with several sizes.

2- Mass of our earth: mass provides the essential essence that provides the right environment for evolution and nature of organism in general; not to mention holding our atmosphere and valued oxygen in place.

3- Composition: a manmade planet or nature made planet needs to be rich in order to support life.

4- Radiation shield: This is most important factor we need to consider when we travel through space or dock another star. Our core needs to stay molten throughout our migration from one star to the next. Will our molten core freeze once earth leaves sun's orbit? This is a question left for physicists and astronomers.

Thus, finally without any superfluous details about how we will achieve this I want to know if I missed any important points that will make this idea of solar nomadism a reality; is it feasible? Or impractical? I would like to hear from astronomers and physicists because this department is their specialty. Can we really turn earth into a natural spaceship? Or even model an artificial earth with the right mass and biosphere as our space Ark to live anywhere in the Universe? If this turns our practical then this will elongate our life expectancy by billions of years. The dooms day scenario of our sun devouring earth will not occur. Journeys could take several thousand years thus, I think we need to solve the problem of ageing first; and may be even the problem of biological existence. Then, I think this sci-fi vision may become science fact.

3.2 Are we alone?

Well, what we know for now is that Earth is the only planet currently capable of producing retarded life. Intellectually challenged territorial
animals fighting over a piece of land and imaginary figures. I am of course talking about humans. If the Cosmos has this type of behavior here in this part of the Universe why should we expect anything different from this scenario on other exoplanets? Given the laws of Nature are universal? Given the same star dust is used to build other worlds? This might be a pessimistic view of life; but, we just have to wait and see. Anyhow, what thrills me the most is not this current animal era; but, the inorganic life that is developing that will be more interesting given that it will be much advanced. I think our world will be much better, peaceful and logical once these things take over the planet. But, still there is a space for negative manifestations even in the future because machines will be the modified versions of humans.

My hypothesis is that if the universe did produce something intelligent and powerful out there that thing would have been here on earth by now and there is a high probability that it will be inorganic like the Transformers. There would have been here by now because space travel and teleportation would be common phenomena in their world. But, no alien has ever visited the planet. It appears we are the only life forms in the Cosmos. That is one hypothesis the other is that there may be life out there but it is under developed and retarded without the intelligence to understanding cosmology and engineering. If this is the case we will be the ones that will discover these life forms not vice versa. Because in comparison we are at a better position of development than these things. But, my overall conclusion is that it appears that we are the first advanced civilization in the galaxy or may be the whole Cosmos; given nobody came to visit us like in the movie depiction Independence Day.

### 3.3 Apotheosis: The rise of metahumans as masters of Nature

Given the monistic nature of metaphysics; the exponential rate of growth of man’s comprehension of the natural world and given the explosive rate of evolution. It is not just probable but inevitable that
the descendants of Homo sapiens—whatever they may be or look like—will end up becoming god like at the end of our star’s life or maybe even sooner. There seems to be an organization inclined towards proscribing humans from monistic philosophies because they understand that—if man dismisses fictive entities above himself then he will appoint himself as a divinity and they consider this to be a great danger to social stability because they assume he can never be regulated and does what he pleases when he pleases; they assume he will go nihilistic. But, the real question is whether or not reality has only one form and whether man appoints himself as a divinity—but whether this man or his descendants i.e. machines or whatever—are trained or programmed to be either benevolent and law abiding gods or evil nihilistic and sadistic gods? This is the real question that I think should bother policy makers not whether man should know his true origin or not and become monistic.

I think we must encourage and inculcate into humans or their powerful godlike descendants the love of morality, life, the happiness of other sentient beings rather than proscribe, deride and ban man’s journey and understanding of his true origins and what he is becoming. We can clearly see that even within 100 years the human civilization in terms of hardware development and recently in terms of data accumulation has exploded faster than ever in human history which is basically an indication that earth as a pocket of heaven for life to develop is harboring and facilitating the raising of nature. This phenomenon cannot be stopped by banning evolution from classes, haunting the individual or proscribing his intellectual and emotional development by mythological garbage and dictatorship.

Evolution is a natural process and the tree of life hasn’t stopped growing forward producing several creatures especially ones that are super sophisticated and most talked about i.e. machines I believe that as long as nothing catastrophic on earth or in space occurs the growth of this tree will precede forward. Ask yourself the following why
would a mutant chimp (human) go to school? Isn’t that kind of weird to witness; a primate going to school? Why is there this gigantic accumulation of knowledge and data not about something else but about nature itself? Doesn’t it look like as if nature is studying itself via humans? Doesn’t this look like someone waking from his sleep and trying to understand his environment by looking at his hands, the ceiling and room in general? This metaphor goes for nature also: It appears as if nature has risen and gained awareness on this planet and it is studying itself via hominids.

So, if you conclude that earth as a rock filled with life and consciousness has become viable miraculously through fortunate natural events; then what will be the final fate of this planet and the consciousness that it harbors under its cover of magnetic shield? Obviously anyone can observe that our understanding of nature has not slowed, or halted. Let us consider just the time of the great philosopher and logician Aristotle more than 2000 years ago. Natural understanding or what I have called intelgnos in my philosophy of amystism; has an exponential increase; our ancient ancestors had very limited intelgnos of reality who groveled under superstitious gods and believed the earth was flat, diseases where punishment from the gods, the universe was created, earth was at the center of the cosmos, earthquakes were initiated by gods and so on. It was actually impossible to even think of metahumanism at that time because the intellectual and physical development of human civilization at that time wouldn’t allow it. As the human understanding of reality increase, his manipulation of it will become routine; when his exploitation of matter as desired becomes routine; then, there will be no distinction between Metahuman and god.

Imagine if the book Homo Deus by Harari was published in Aristotle’s time; would people take it seriously or be motivated to buy it? Would it make sense at that time? Wouldn’t Harari be burned or crucified for his book due to his contempt of traditional beliefs? Or
maybe seen as mad? Or wouldn’t he be a laughing stock for the people of that time? We must understand that new thinking always develops in tandem with evolution of human civilization. I think our changing attitude and beliefs from polytheism to monotheism and now atheism today are conspicuous indicators to the process of development of data, technology and intellect of human civilization facilitated by evolution. The popularity of atheism or pantheism today and the death of supernaturalism by itself is an indication of the rise of nature—plain and simple. The reason why mythology doesn’t sell today is because of the phenomenon of the rapid acceleration of intelligence that comes with evolution. Old philosophies and theories will be discarded as time moves ahead and human understanding increases. As Ray Kurzweil has said in his essays that as the consciousness or intelligence that was begotten from evolution on our mother rock earth gets intricate—the only way it can grow further is by spreading into the cosmos—outside of earth. If for example you fill a balloon with water nonstop then the pressure from the water has to go somewhere because there is no space for it inside. It has to explode into the atmosphere outside the balloon; and the same goes for the rise of consciousness and life on earth it will spread into the universe; it will usher in the space age despite all obscurancy.

Despite whatever dominates earth the process of evolution will march forward creating an ever complicated civilization. A civilization of gods just like mythology; a world where Metahumans play with stars, galaxies and even universes. When Metahumans achieve full comprehension of nature or what I have called masterdom in amystism—playing with earthquakes, DNA, stars, planets, and universes in general the line between Metahumans and gods will get blurred and ambiguous because god by definition is the master of reality. The manipulator of entities; the manipulator of matter into desired forms or shapes? Isn’t what humans as transitory primates to the singularity are performing today with their gene therapy, land claiming and island creation schemes, terraformation of dead planets, resurrection of extinct species and so forth? Isn’t this what gods do:
the resurrection of the dead, the creation of planets, of stars and universes? Today we see the incipience of what the singularity will be doing when it arrives; if humans with their limited intelligence today can perform all these feats imagine what the fully developed Metahumans of the future could do with nature? Wouldn’t their work be fit enough to be designated as divine as the mystics would say? I guess only time will tell—hopefully we live long enough to witness it in our life time.

3.4 Alcubierre drive
In the movie Passengers 2016 the ship Avalon travels at 30% the speed of light. The ship does not use the technique of exploiting space-time fabric; but, instead uses some sort of rocket boosters of some sort of plasma engine to drive itself from earth to Homestead II a colony planet somewhere in space.

In the movie —James the main character who wakes up from his hibernation by error 90 years before departure sends an SOS message to earth and this message took 55 years to get a reply back to him from earth due to the speed of light.

Communications as it is known depends on speed of light; our mobile phones, radar, radio etc. all use light’s electromagnetic spectrum. Light is the most amazing thing in the Cosmos. Photons which make up what we call light do not have mass—thus can travel faster than any object that has mass in the Cosmos like us and our ships which are going to be made out of metals which are heavy and conflict with the Einstein’s theory which states that objects which possess mass can never travel equally with the speed of light which has been set to be in a vacuum at a mind blowing speed of 299,792 km/second or 186,282 miles per second.
Even though the titanic nature of the universe forces us to imagine some sort of transport that is faster than light —this ultimate law of Nature prohibits us as material beings from surpassing it. But the secret to faster than light travel is not competing with light but manipulating space-time fabric where matter rests. By contracting and expanding space-time we can manipulate the distance between to masses i.e. solar systems or planets. Now, a Mexican Theoretical physicist named Miguel Alcubierre proposed in the 90’s that would do just that. The main idea behind it was a spacecraft would achieve an apparent faster-than-light travel by contracting space in front of it and expanding space behind it.

The Alcubierre drive shifts or manipulates space around the spaceship when the front space time fabric contracts and the space time behind expands and the center space-time fabric pushes the ship forward without any conflict with the laws of nature. This is the simplest explanation for the general public to comprehend about this technology; but, if you need more technical and mathematical data I encourage you to read more about this interesting idea.
Section VII: Healthcare & Longevity as something sacred

"Life is priceless & invaluable; people must live long."

1.1 How is your health today?
Healthcare must reach every human. Life is the most precious thing in the Universe let alone consciousness and intelligence. Life took several billion years to get this complicated and deserves to be looked after. As many wise scientists and natural philosophers have pointed out; you need to look after your health because we do not know what route evolution might take; since the average life span of humans has increased tremendously compared may be to the Middle Ages. The concept of “immortality” might not be a dream anymore; evolution might create a world of digital immortality by merging our minds with AI; who knows what the Universe might do? Currently there is no model other than Earth we can learn from so that limits our prediction but we need to preserve our health to be on the safer side.

So, there are several ways you can protect your health and increase your life span. You need to stay as far as possible from ideologies, people and philosophies that glorify suffering and death; who promote self-mortification, irrationality and morbidity rather than self-preservation and good health.

What can you do to materialize your longevity? As a health worker and a fan of longevity this is my short list for you:

1- Reduce stress: Stress releases negative hormones like cortisol which by itself has the power to give you disease like diabetes that you do not have in your heritage.
2- Sleep more: Sleep is the best natural way of the body to fix itself from the hard work of the day that we all struggle with.
3- Get a good diet: Nourishment is a very critical aspect for our bodies; it needs varies nutrients and vitamins in order to
function and you need to provide that. Take high protein, minerals and vitamin diet. Reduce high carbohydrate and fat intake that will contribute to your fat ratio.

4- Exercise: It is one of the best ways to eliminate unnecessary fat that might lead to cardiovascular diseases caused by obesity which has its own health complications. It increases muscle mass to fat ratio; the more muscle you have compared to fat the better.

5- Get a good social life and positive proxemics: to be surrounded by good and wise friends contribute to your psychological and emotional wellness as well as development. Types of friends and society matters so choose wisely.

6- Get a regular checkup of you BMI (body mass index), sugar (especially if there is a family history), cholesterol and other health parameters regularly.

7- Contribute to charity that will make you feel good about yourself by helping fellow sentient human beings which is good for your psychology.

8- Get a massage in your local provider take a time off from your hard work in life; which is very a good thing to your musculo-skeletal system.

9- Organize your bed in a conducive manner to your skeletal structure it needs to be flat; that does not case problems to your spinal cord so that you do not need to see a chiropractor.

10- Take a vacation which is a good thing for your mind and body; you will learn about new cultures and customs on your way.

11- The list might go on and on but you can visit a professional medical site for further details on how to take care of your health and live longer and make the best of life; but, for this article this will suffice.
1.2 Long Life: Living Longer & Further

The craving for death is more of a symptom of depression than an opinion. Einstein once said that "prolonging life artificially is tasteless." But I beg to differ. He was taken out of context; and this gave ammunition to mystics; maybe he was in too much pain due to his health complications and bored of too many surgeries; may be it was his depression talking. He lived in an era where it was believed there was only one galaxy. What did he have to live for? Anyhow, it is actually very much tasteful to prolong life artificially. What could be sweeter than living longer? Seeing your loved family members live longer; your mother, father, children all living to be 100, 200, even ageless after 30? Their minds uploaded into a computer when their frail biological fails? Wouldn't this be sensible? Wouldn't you enjoy their company?

The soldiers of death and lowlyself love these types of quotations to advance their own nonsense. But, I think as I said repeatedly we are the children of a very rich Universe; a timeless Universe that is an extension of something bigger. Hence, if this is the reality why in the world should we choose to die? Why should we call for extinction? Why should we get depressed? Given the harsh but still the sustenance capacity of the Cosmos? Remember that we are sustaining ourselves until now from the beginning of civilization until now from a single rock called Earth. All the opulence that pervades our world is coming from just one tiny lively, volcanic asteroid called Earth. Isn’t that mind blowing? All this wealth is coming from one rock!? Imagine what the rest of our solar system, our galaxy and Universe could provide? Isn't that worth living for? Wouldn't that make us all filthy rich! The source of our problem has always been our obsession to look 'beyond' matter beyond "nature" which is futile and that shift in orientation is the beginning of a downward spiral into oblivion. We are the children of a rich Cosmos; there is no need for short life spans, conflicts for resources or indigence. We need to shift our
ideology from death obsession to life obsession; because, it is the right orientation to have.

States and politicians should work together with a shared cosmic vision instead of plotting against each other for territory and glory; they should look up into space. We are all members of one Hominid species. I think the world needs an ideological shift back to nature; back into life, good healthcare and longevity; because, eventually that is the ultimate destiny of life on this rock with or without us. It will spread out into space; we as species can be part of this great expansion or a great extinction; we can be part of a space age or be part of a hominid museum collection in some future machine civilization. It is all up to us; it is time to make the right choice. In short, long life is worth living unless of course you do not want to. Death should be a choice not a certainty; it is better to live longer than shorter.
Section VIII: Man-made conventional ethics and politics

"Fighting over opinions."

1.1 Ethics, Politics, & Economics are conventional and debatable
Ethical and political systems are manmade systems; they are conventional. In ethics; for example, hamburgers which contain beef are national foods and symbol of America where as cattle in India are considered divine. So if you eat beef you are eating your own God; a very tasty God stuck between two slices of bread; for some weird reason this appears funny. But, anyhow, moving on in most African cultures it is ethical to eat with your bare hands where as in the Western culture it is seen as weird so you use spoons and forks.

In most African countries it is ethical to practice polygamy but it is forbidden to practice homosexuality. In some societies it is customary to start a conversation with a couple of drinks in others not so much. In some societies it is ethical and custom to greet your guests with a bow, joined palms or a strong hand shake like in USA. It is a custom in the Middle East for women to cover up in dresses; but, not so in Western countries. Some societies are vegans others are omnivores. Some people think it is unethical to consume alcohol or tobacco but others do not think so. Some people are liberals some choose not to be. People are cremated in India but buried elsewhere or even preserved as was the case in ancient Egypt. Customs and ethical rules differ from one society to the other for one simple reason they are established on caprice.

People can choose norms and what type of political systems to embrace; for example you can be a philanthropist if you want social justice or a liberal capitalist if you want rapid growth, wealth and big businesses. But, you can never choose metaphysical facts. You can
never choose your true origin and creator. Ethics and Economics are manmade; but, man is Nature made. Therefore, we need to establish pantheism as the central foundation of our culture and ideology and open up ethics as well as economics up for debate to the society so that they follow and establish what type of system they want. This is how we must orient ourselves; this should be our policy.

In short, for those obsessed with the idea of 'what ought to be' or what type of culture to have. It is important for the wise and not so wise members of society to sit together and decide what type of culture to have which is not in contradiction with evolution and pantheism. My recommendation would be to create an open and free society with the best ideas and customs a free society free from bondage. A society that promotes ideas which enable the creation of that almost ideal personality and society.

Thus, whole message of this article would be choose your politics and economics but not your metaphysics. The future of life should never be compromised in the name of ethics. Life must have a high tech and advanced future where ageing is a problem not a certainty and space travel is as common as air travel; where interplanetary commute, business, mining, scientific research and trade become norms in our daily lives not dreams in sci-fi books.

1.2 The confusion in metaphysics and ethics: The inability to discern what is real and to formulate what ought to be

Mystics and politicians may assume that ethical mythology i.e. religion and its organized system of do’s and don’ts are necessary in order to distract humans from their true nature and the brutal Darwinian earth of cannibalism; which is really depressing; thus, there is a need for fables, mythical entertainment and delusional
recursions in order to heal the mind and the emotional system of humans from lugubriousness caused by natural facts; besides mythological cults act as control leash over the society by politicians and their right hand men which are Popes, Priests and so on.

Now, when we carefully examine the above argument we come to realization that it is flawed; because distracting, and enslaving man by rules and regulations should not have been our primary agenda and pre-occupation; because the universe is not made for us in order to be happy; we are Cosmic orphans in the universe and it does not care; which explains the disquietude and unfortunate suffering we experience in life; thus, our agenda should not have been the denial of reality; but, the acceptance, understanding and mastering of the universe in order to augment our quality of life in nature. It is of course necessary in a society to have rules and regulations in order to make it run efficiently and benefit its members; nobody can live inside ochlocracy; any society must have regulations; rewards and discouragements established by its members; which, can be annulled and promulgated periodically from time to time by consensus; in doing so people may use several systems in a society i.e. laissez faire, autocratic, and dictatorship social orders; but, that is not the most important gist; what is important is that ideological systems that we are implementing in a society should never be in conflict or stand as a contradiction or opposition to metaphysical facts i.e. they must accept the divinity of nature and most importantly the health of the individual.

Opinions and ideologies do not really match up to the dictums of nature. After embracing natural absolutism and all its facts; then, they can go ahead and create whatever social, economic, and political systems that they please. Denying nature as the ultimate truth must be seen as a red line and it should never be crossed. A society established on delusions contaminated with ethics will be an open air bedlamite asylum; whereas, a society established accepting nature in metaphysics and reason in epistemology will be a futuristic, visionary and successful society. It would have saved them the embarrassment.
and the money that they spend for prescription drugs for their psychosis if idealists and mystics asserted the definitude of nature independent of any observer. It would have been wise if they at least said that there are other natural dimensions other than the three dimensions that we know of in nature in order to explain reality in a full form. This would have been a sapient statement on their behalf and at least it would have made sense. Philosophy can be divided into Epistemology which studies the nature, source and extent of knowledge. Metaphysics which deals with existence or the nature of reality i.e. what is real, Aesthetics which deals with beauty of art and nature, Logic which is the study of correct reasoning, and finally, Ethics which studies the science of human conduct i.e. extrapolates how a society ought to behave.

Now, after defining these terms we will proceed into the main idea of this essay which is the problem of several materialistic and immaterial doctrines like Communism, Christianity, and others who confuse metaphysics with ethics; the inventors of these ideologies first did not place under consideration—what was real, the true means of acquiring knowledge and the absolute liberty of the individual to select a way of life in his ethical existence. Any system founded without the choice of the individual is bound for disintegration and causes more problems than it aspires to solve. These people were obsessed by global domination rather than the wellbeing of the individual and his masterdom. Thus, as a thesis first I would say that—Nature is the first principle and it may possess several dimensions other than the three dimensions that we know of; second ethical/moral/economical/political systems are man-made; third, in order to set an ethical rule in a society of do’s and don’ts the individual’s interest must be consulted.

Nature is all there is; the rest is ideology i.e. man-made. Ethical or moral principle in which a society is expected to live and abide by or what is called culture varies from person to person, tribe to tribe,
society to society; it is up for discussion, mutability and choice by the individuals which make up that society. And \textit{culture} is what is causing so much conflict globally; because, it is created by people’s opinion. One man’s caprice, culture, ethical norms, sexuality is different from the other. But, what is a dogma is \textit{the state of reality} as we observe it i.e. Nature. It is not man-made; but, man is nature made. In addition, Epistemology or the means of acquiring or what man is capable of knowing is also a dogma. Man can only transduct\textsuperscript{14} and \textit{know} material data end of story. Just because we do not have a complete theory of nature; that, does not mean it should be discredited. The natural world may possess several dimensions other than the universe that we know. The string theory may be a good candidate if it is testable and demonstrable. The problem lies with the claim of mystics of ‘immaterial’ dimensions; let alone immaterial dimensions—we are having hard time figuring out how to test the extra \textit{natural dimensions} proposed by string theorists.

Second, followers of man-made systems of social order like Communists get their metaphysics right; but, their ethical system wrong; and the reason why communism does not work is because it is in conflict with human nature— the dreams of the founders like Marx’s and Lenin are in conflict with the \textit{state of reality}. Thus, it will never work. Mystical systems which deject nature altogether are also falling into the same pit. The denial of the existence of eternal nature for ‘immaterial’ dimensions first shows the idiocy of the founders of these cults and their ignorance about epistemology. A culture in my understanding is a collection of ethical, moral, social, economic, political norms and rules that govern a society. It is different in North America than in Asia and so forth.

\textsuperscript{14} A concept in biology where the brain changes all forms of energy into electricity to make meaning of its stimulus
How a person ought to behave, what language he should speak, what he must eat, who and how he must get married, what he must dress, how he must do business, how he must regulate his bureaucracy, how and where he should be buried and so forth are moral rules categorized under ideology. Now, the problem arises when philosophers deny natural facts and establish brutal ethical systems; which are not in the interest of the individual. They invent an immaterial dimension trampling over metaphysical truths and establish moral principles which advance their own interests and are considered to be brutal even for the solitary beast in the wild let alone for a human being. Their disregard for nature and reason has exacerbated more suffering in the world. For example, Islamic mythology and its founder Muhammad in his diary and poem collection called the Quran as well as in his Hadith states several segments which touch upon epistemological and ethical concepts like ‘revelations’, jurisprudence and so forth.

Now, how can a material brain detect immaterial data? In case of ‘revelations’ and How can a human being as an ape be ethical with an animal nature in case of ethics? He is committing an epistemological error on the former; he is either lying or delusional. He is also committing an ethical error in the later. He even claims he ‘travelled’ to ‘other’ dimensions in a journey called ‘Sira.’ Mythologists starting from Hesiod have really a wild imagination, blabber mouth and an irresistible pulse to subjugate others under their social order—whether it is right or wrong. Thus, mythologists get both their epistemology and ethics wrong.

On the other hand communists as said above are right in only recognizing nature as truth and get their metaphysics right; but, still they waver between the realm of delusion and reason committing an error in epistemology as well as metaphysics; when they say for example that—there is no truth; what people are convinced to believe is true; it does not matter what it is; which is really ridiculous and
contradicts realism as a theory. If people are convinced to believe that a stone is bread—will it turn into bread? Will a man if he really believed that he is a woman turn into a woman? The blunder of idealism is really ridiculous. Who will be willing to volunteer for testing ‘the stone bread’ experiment anyway? Unless, he has no regard for his teeth. Reality is independent of any observer; it does not matter whether the observer is color blind, totally blind, delusional, biased, corrupt, alive or dead; nature exists independent of him. Thus, the problem in human societies is with the formulation of cultures and systems—these systems must be epistemologically, metaphysically and ethically right. They should never come in conflict with reality, reason and individualism.

Third, while formulating ethical rules we must always respect the interest of the individual and his pre-option. No person is identical—people differ in intelligence level, interests, passion, sexuality, political leanings, beliefs, attitudes, personality, genetics, etc. Thus, their manner of behavior is also different. Thus, we cannot impose our so called social systems of ethics and politics on others. People must be allowed to choose and learn from their mistakes as a way of life and moral codes that meets their interest without psychological, emotional, physical, social or economic coercion. Because after all the objective is the prosperity and wellbeing of each individual. What constructs the so called ‘collective’ are individuals who possess same aspirations and interests; these individuals will create a collective to advance their common agenda. Without these individuals and their diverse interests there will be no society.

Thus, as a conclusion I would say that we must not get naturalism in confusion with ethics; Nature is not the creation of our mind; we are the creation of nature; it does not really care whether we perceive her right or commit an error; she does not care whether we succeed or perish. We are cosmic orphans as said above; our opinions do not really matter. Thus, it will be wise to rectify our philosophy about
reality by embracing nature exclusively and formulating ethical rules for initiating a methodology of individual participation (i.e. choice) which could guarantee their wellbeing and eventual triumph. They should not be victims of sacrifice for the intention and will of other members. A society founded of natural absolutism, empirico-rationalism and individualism as an ethical principle is not bound for disintegration; it will succeed and prosper because it does not commit errors in metaphysics, epistemology and ethics.

1.3 Ethics is conventional and it needs to be the votary of futurism: The need for monistic morality

Ethics & discipline are mandatory and necessary; but, they need to be compatible with Nature and evolution. The problem with Iron Age religious morality is that it makes you deject your true creator which is nature, it makes you hate reason which is your means of making sense of your environment and ameliorating your condition; it opposes Freethought and inquiry as well as curiosity into the natural world. Now, how could that possibly be useful for mankind? How could an organization which promotes irrationality and delusion be useful? How could abandoning nature be a salvation from natural diseases, natural disasters, and natural conflicts in societies? Isn’t understanding nature the beginning of all wisdom to solve all our issues? How would an institution which holds in high esteem the abandonment of reality be seen as virtuous—when all human problems including ethics are natural in nature? Shouldn’t we teach people to understand their nature which shapes the world in its own image? Honestly speaking supernatural escapism is a route to adversity and extinction?

Anyways, the so called ethics has always been conventional; whether it is a collection of good moral codes or evil moral codes. We can alter it based on our will to set good moral codes which support reason, science and philanthropy or evil moral codes which restrict the freedom of the individual, and promote suffering and death. Thus,
Iron Age religions today belong to the later; they are erected on corpse of bonded individuals. People can choose whether to be selfish or selfless, socialists or capitalists, vegetarians or carnivores, machines or animals, heterosexual or homosexual, disciplined or rowdy based on their determined freewill, and interests as well as mood swings; but, what is not up for selection and choice are the fundamentals of reality. We cannot choose whether earth is the center of the universe or just a tiny speck in a solar system; whether it is flat or round; whether we have descended from lower animals or from something else. The fundamentals of reality cannot not be declared by whim; but, proven by experiments—they persist whether it makes us happy or lugubrious; whether it is glamorous or not; the truth exits despite our protest or support for it.

Ethics is man-made and it is not consistent throughout the world in different cultures and different societies; the socio-political system of every tribe and state on earth is different because social codes and systems are established by the whim of people. How could the vagary of people in different countries be identical? Ethics is of course very important for a harmonious and well-functioning society and I am not denying the importance of morality; but, what I am stating is that it is not as important as metaphysics and as I said elsewhere our ethical rules should never contradict metaphysical truths. People can never declare earth to be flat by constitutional law in the United Nations or the so called Bible and observe the earth to be flat next morning. Thus, metaphysical truths should never be sacrificed for the sake of morality or ethics; metaphysical truths must be respected and accepted; whether they are in our interest or not. Social codes and systems can be created by consensus in a society; but, metaphysical truths cannot.

Politicians starting from the days of Athens—till todays governments who are possessed by what I have called the ‘monitor syndrome’ who are comfortable holding a stick behind the society
and never leave office like children who never leave a candy store once they enter are obsessed with herding people like animals rather than hearing them; to understand their legitimate issues. They place the mystical institutions of religion not as a source of ‘ethics’ or ‘morality’ but as a source of subjugation and establish a divorce free marriage with them in order to gain full legitimacy. We observe this behavior in all types of governments except in Atheist governments like the former USSR and North Korea. Monarchs use this mythology of religion and its brutal rules to impose and legitimize themselves by proclaiming themselves as ‘appointed by the divinity.’ If they use these institution to serve and extend their reign; and modify its doctrines by fancy and convention to serve their interests; then, how could religious ethics be legitimate? But a hindrance to human progress; it is a tool of oppression to submit people for the whim of monarchs and tyrants. And this is exactly what religion and its so called sets of rules of ‘morality’ stand for; as tools to aggregate and subjugate the individuals of a society to the interests of men that ever rose to power. We are being played by politicians and their right hand men the so called priests; for their interests.

I understand that morality and ethics are important in a society as I said above; but, my objection comes when the so called man-made moral or ethical institutions deny proven metaphysical facts that are plain and palpable as well as discourage Freethought, natural investigation and transcendence. Denying metaphysical truths like evolution and important mind sets like reason for example will only make the quality of life of our society worse; it will derail us from our true origin and our true destination. Supernatural ‘ethical’ doctrines pervert our rational mind and deject our bright interplanetary future of expansion and longevity into the Cosmos and possibly even into the multiverse by promoting self-mortification and death.
Ethical and moral rules of any kind _must_ respect the divinity of nature, reason, the liberty of the individual, as well as futurism which is going to help us survive the death of our star. Instead of being a hindrance to the progress of research and transcendence of mankind by promoting Iron age Cosmology and ‘ethics’—ethical institutions must promote Freethought, the wellbeing and empowerment of the individual; encourage scientific research and inquiry into nature; as well as the ramification of the human race into space. Their obsession with fictitious tales of ‘life after death’ in order to reign in order in the 21st century may be effective on Iron Age peasants but not on the 21st century man. We need to develop a new kind of moral codes in our society which value individual dignity, sovereignty, humanity, liberty, empowerment as well as transcendence. Political leaders need to understand the grave threat that Iron Age religious codes or ethics presents to the 21st century human being who is screaming for respect, liberation, empowerment and transcendence. Religious figures must promote science, technology and futurism; if they really stand for the bliss of mankind; but, unfortunately they don’t; they are obsessed with the thralldom and the destruction of the individual.

Finally, my overall message concerning morality is that it is conventional—thus we must promulgate moral codes which are votaries of Freethought, reason, science, and philanthropy; whatever rules we establish these rules should never promote self-mortification, hatred for reason, hatred for individuality, hatred for hedonism or wellbeing, mystical nihilism, misanthropy, thralldom of the individual, and death. People who criticize obsolete religious morality are erroneously perceived as immoral advocates for libertinism; but, my writings, secular philosophy and organization are anything but. I am advocating for an alteration or abandonment of evil and obsolete moral codes of religious organizations; in order to suit 21st century man—who is almost turning into a machine by the explosive evolution of technology and aid him in his evolution to explore and conquer the Cosmos. And these moral codes that could serve this vision could only be self-preservation, Freethought,
Evidence based existence, logic, individual dignity, liberty, empowerment, futurism and humanism. Destructive delusion inducing defunct ethical doctrines of ancient shepherds and charlatans who had no clue about our Universe needs to go out the window and be replaced by modern morality which is compatible with reason, science and philanthropy.

1.4 Humanism: Conscientiousness & natural belief

I understand humanism as the love of sentience; which is a very powerful emotion that will drive people to do good in their community. Rather than advancing an imaginary god that people do not believe in anymore I think it is very important to instill the love of sentience & natural belief into people and the social culture. No sentient being must writhe everyone must get what they aspire for.

Nature is an evil mother which feeds one of her child to the other; she is a sadistic creator; notwithstanding this fact we need to look after one another’s wellbeing and interests because it is in our individual benefit; nature may not care about us but we must care about ourselves. We need to help as many people as we can in our society and alleviate their unhappiness and distraught. That is humanism as I understand it working together for our individual interests and our happiness despite the malevolence of the Cosmos.

Mystics have a nonsensical argument which states that if people reject a higher being above themselves then they will not have anything to fear they will become nihilistic sadists and libertinism will set in. Thus, there is a need for an imaginary master which looks after their every move; but the problem with this argument is that this system will drive people paranoid and delusional. They will become bedlamites and lethargic rather than being the productive member of society. What we should be really asking is: if people become believers in a fictive super being what will they have to fear? Any
dictum emanating in the name of god will be executed no matter how inhumane it is. This people will murder their own children as was the case with Abraham. This is why monarchs and one party tyrants love religion because of its power to drive people in zest to accept anything and do anything. Not because they care about the society but because they care about their throne. That is the reason why monarchs and one party tyrants use religion as their primary tool to justify their hold on power. They assign themselves by ‘god’ or legitimize themselves through higher priests. So that they can sit around and just enjoy the good life while their people moan under the yoke of totalitarianism.

I think we have a better alternative to encourage people to do well and that is humanism: the love of life and sentience. I totally agree that there needs to be a voice of conscience and morality in our society but it needs to be detached from mythology and delusion. People need to be thought ethics and morality as a formal course in a formal setting like accounting or geography which does not make them reject nature and industriousness but love nature and other sentient beings.

First the love of life will drive a person to respect other sentient beings whether human or non-human; that is why we see most secular people becoming either vegans or vegetarians. Some of them include some polymaths like Leonardo da Vinci and so on. And second when people become monistic they will focus on ameliorating their own living conditions by focusing on nature and by relying on themselves and other members of society rather than slaughtering 1000 goats to a dumb statue and crying their eyes out to space. Third they will protect their mental and physical wellbeing by becoming realists.
So the benefits are three folds: 1- respect for other sentient beings. 2- self- reliance and industriousness which will make people look into the multiverse as their future not death and the grave yard. 3- Emotional and physical wellbeing that they will acquire from rejecting superstition and mythology as health hazards.

There may be other benefits that might exist but generally this is what I can think of for now. When people become rational, realistic, magnanimous to other sentient beings, and naturalistic they will be endowed with a total package of benefits. We can put this to test if you like and go on to investigate historical archives. There was an era in our civilization where religious and mystical morality dominated the world a world of darkness, stagnation and indolence and it was called the dark ages. Alternatively there was an era where reason, liberty, industriousness and humanism dominated the world and it was called the renaissance period that catapulted Europe into its current position of global dominance by becoming the backbone of its democracy. The era of Copernicus, Galileo, Isaac Newton, Spinoza, Francis Bacon, and many others who glorified the higher self through their works. So many innovations, artifacts and inventions exploded in this era that kick started and contributed a cascade of achievements not just to Europe but to the world.

In a simple language we must understand that the right culture will drive our society into paramount and the wrong culture will drive it into an abyss. That culture needs to be free from superstition, tyranny, myth, irrationality and extreme selfishness. It needs to be composed of all the positive values: a healthy level of space and dignity for individuals so that they can innovate and develop; formal ethical education free from myth, delusion and natural derision, humanism and amicability between individual sentient beings, reason, naturalism, futurism, and industriousness together with other individuals for their common individual good. I think this is the right way forward into a better future for all of us.
**Glossary: some important terms, acronyms and phrases in science & natural philosophy**

1- Realism: A philosophy that promotes the view of life from a realistic vantage point.

2- Naturalism: A view of life that is naturalistic.

3- Skepticism: An attitude that encourages questions and inquiry rather than gullibility and belief.

4- Rationalism: A commonsensical view of life through the use of logic.

5- Objectivism: A view of life that is strictly realistic and objective rather than subjective.

6- Freethinker: An individual free from the want, will and interest of others both emotionally and physically; who valiantly inquiries into the nature of reality and things.

6- Ageing: A symptom of systemic cellular and tissue injury of the human body.

7- Fallacy: A defective argument which is either cognitively or emotionally biased.

8- Bionics: A department of science that deals with developing inorganic body parts.

9- Cyborg: A creature hybrid made up of inorganic and organic organs.

10- DARPA: Defense advanced research projects agency located in the United States of America.

11- Death: the halting of metabolic activity of the neurons in the brain.

12- Evolution: a gradual change of organisms from one form to another.

13- Futurism: innovation, creativity and inventions in science and technology.

14- Intelagnos: A word I coined from ‘intelligence’ and ‘agnosticism’ to mean the ignorance of nature.

15- Longevity: The idea of long life in good health.
16-Logic: A branch of philosophy primarily attributed mainly to Greek philosopher Aristotle that utilizes several tactics to investigate arguments.

17-Monism: The metaphysical position that there is only one dimension.

18- Mythology: A story concocted arbitrarily by vagary to either give meaning to life or explain origins.

19-Singularity: A term borrowed from Physics and applied to the stage of evolutionary development in human civilization where exponential advancement excels rapidly.

20-Space exploration: The idea of exploring, exploiting and putting order or terraforming the Universe. Since there seems to be no intelligence to perform this task; it will be up to us to accomplish this task.

21- The Kardashev scale: A scale developed by Russian astrophysicist Nikolai Kardashev to measure the level of developing civilizations on a planet.

**Synopsis and take away missions**

In eight sections I have tried to condense a terse vision or message that I believe will be the right orientation for life on Earth; an orientation if taken seriously will be the source of liberation and success; if rejected will have its own consequence. In an irrational & ignorant society my vision or idea might fall on deaf ears but for the sake of history I have delivered myself from being accountable in it: Thus, in short our life mission must be these *five* values:

I-Planetary defense & protection.

II-Healthcare, longevity & integration with AI.

III- Individual liberty, the pursuit of wisdom, innovation, industrialization & infrastructure.

IV-Natural religion & conscientiousness.

V-Space capitalism, exploitation & management.
Quotes

Some inspirational quotes from my notes:

“Just like a baby matures into a man; humans will mature into machines. Evolution may have an ugly beginning; but, it will have a promising future.”

“Are atoms conscious? Is atypical attempt of anthromorphism and straw man fallacy by mystics; should we dismiss the Universe just because it does not have human attributes notwithstanding its efficacy?”

“Automation is the precursor of machine sentience.”

“The only alternative to reality is delusion; choose reality.”

“Constant change is confused for beginnings and terminations. Nature has no beginning.”

“Currently the human brain is only good for basic functions and composing chimeras; an organ that strains to accomplish higher functions.”

“If the Universe can sustain life; then, it will not be farfetched to think it can create life.”

“A society can only be created based on mutual and reciprocal interests. Only people with similar personalities and aspirations could create a society.”

“Understanding nature is the beginning of all wisdom.”

“God is a fictional hero invented by man to sooth his insecurity and limitations. We are not ‘created’ beings but transitional beings.”

“We only have each other; we must trust in our individual and collective capabilities. We must cultivate our individual skills and gifts so as to create a collective synergy.”

“Freedom of conscience matters; it is an antidote to the soul of man which every sentience deserves: a serene soul and a healthy body.”
“Individual consent and aspirations prevail over the collective. The state is a Superorganism made up of individual interests and aspirations; not vice versa.”

“In the future we (our superior descendants) will be able not just to terraform planets but create entire solar systems. They will be equivalent with a divinity. The only thing higher than them will be the laws of nature.”

“The human brain and over health needs to be seen as sacred; since they represent the consciousness of the Universe; it needs to be protected, preserved and even enhanced. It is the only hope that will bring order to the Cosmos.”

“Capitalism is a great idea; people need to profit inorder to survive; it elevates people out of poverty and dependence; you need to capitalize on your gift and talent; but, it needs to be legitimate.”

“Our true salvation rests in our understanding of Nature to solve psychological, emotional, physical, social and economic challenges.”

“Superstition is not about right and wrong; but, about the lowlyself.”

“The Universe did not just create us; but, it is incubating us.”

“Evolution is not just an “alternative theory” but the exclusive one; since the antonym of reality is psychosis.”

“One word to define the whole meaning of life would be: incubation. The collective evolution or rise of the entire human civilization as a Superorganism which gets mightier over time to the level of God like capacity; controlling the weather planets, stars and even entire Universes.”

“Ethics, discipline and conscientiousness are mandatory values that a society cannot live without; but, it needs to be compatible with Nature and evolution.”
“The Universe is rich beyond imagination; it does not belong to some god; but, to us. We need to become assertive and take it; bring an order to a disordered Cosmos.”

“We are the Cosmos; we determine the fate of the Cosmos under the laws of Nature.”

“Our quality of life is only limited by our understanding of Nature.”

“It appears that natural selection works towards perfection; since, it eliminates weaknesses.”

“When we talk about the rise of a supercivilization or a Singularity; we are not talking about some sort of mythical machine rising to apotheosis at some laboratory in some research center; but, the entire human civilization as a collective Superorganism that is developing both in data and computational capacity. AI or II (inorganic intelligence) as I like to call it is part of the human brain. It is our brain. It is us. So, currently we notice it developing over time and it is getting better over time; this is the reason I say that life is an incubation.”

“Supernaturalism is not a ‘theory’ but a proven psychosis.”

“The so called Simulation theory is a modern version of Creationism that assumes a ‘higher’ power and dimension without physical evidence. A theory that attempts to open another impercievable dimension to material man and throw his mind into delirium.”

“We are the inheritors of a very very...very rich Cosmos; why should a Prince starve in his palace? Poverty is some sort of joke considering the never ending resources of the Universe that belongs to all mankind.”

“The world is not ‘designed’ for happiness; since it is a cosmic accident; it is up to us to turn it into a home.”
“The mother of all mumbo-jumbo and incompatible gibberish that man holds dear with a death grip is due to one thing: retardation. Man's infatuation with mythology and the invention of several mythical phantoms as well as insubordination to reason and nature can be attributed to man's intellectual and physical limitations and his inability to tame and master the forces of Nature to his will which in turn create fear in his soul; and fear creates religion and superstition end of story. Man's insecurity is the midwife; if you like that facilities the creation of several Gods and Goddesses. So, basically if you are fighting God you are fighting man's insecurity. Man's wretchedness in a callous and indifferent Cosmos forces him to feel fear and powerlessness and this in turn creates many variants of superstition.”

“All civilizations and states that do not have a Cosmic vision; by Cosmic vision I mean having a naturalistic outlook of life; are destined to perish; because, they are most likely to not have a space program and investment into cutting edge research.”

“What I am afraid of the most is that our retardation being the source of our extinction.”

“I do not see the world in black and white; this is a shallow and primitive view of life; I am not color blind or a bigot. I see it as a rainbow; I see one humanity; one supercivilization; one cyborg civilization that is growing and integrating over time which must be directed into the stars. No borders; no nationalism; but, humanism and Cosmism.”

“A society must be founded on individual identity and individual consent; the individual could only recognize his administration when his way of life is recognized. He must be free emotionally and physically to practice his way of life.”

“Where is God? When we forward this question to mystics they tend to answer rather abruptly that "God is everywhere." if this is the case; based on their own answer we are forced to ask "what is everywhere or everything?" The immediate answer that comes to our awareness will be: reality. Reality is everywhere. If God is something that exists
everywhere then that means God is another name for reality. Nature as a whole will be identical with the divinity.”

“When intelligence becomes fully capable of managing its reality; then, we can say that the Universe has fully evolved.”

“Only natural mysticism or religion can be tolerated; since, it based on reality.”

“I am not a Communist or an anarchist I am a liberal stuck right in the middle; who believes in the right of sentience to have a healthy level of liberty, space, wealth and dignity.”

“I am Homo transitus.”
Further reading and recommended books

1- System of Nature; Volume I-& II by Baron D’Holbach
2- Good Sense by Baron D’Holabch
3- The Skeptic encyclopedia by Micheal Shermer
4- The Descent of Man by Charles Darwin
5- Parallel Worlds by Prof. Michio Kaku
6- The Prospect of Immortality by Prof. Robert C.W. Ettinger
7- The Singularity is Near by Prof. Ray Kurzweil
8- The Magic of Reality by Prof. Richard Dawkins
9- A Brief History of Time by Prof. Stephen Hawking
10- The God Delusion by Prof. Richard Dawkins

Some institutions worth following

1- Green peace www.greenpeace.org
2- Bioviva www.biovivascience.myshopify.com
3- Cryonics institute (experimental stage) www.cryonics.org
4- Neuralink www.neuralink.com
5- OpenAI www.openai.com
6- SpaceX www.spacex.com
7- CAMIDRCS www.camidrcs.wordpress.com
8- Singularity University www.su.org
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This book can be categorized as a literature within the genre of natural philosophy. It attempts to explain the meaning of life and what ought to be done: setting out a new vision for a new world order. It holds a skeptical, naturalistic, and liberal narrative in accordance with the values of the enlightenment period. It exalts epistemological realism, metaphysical naturalism, and ethical self-preservation. On the other hand it denounces epistemological idealism, metaphysical spiritualism and ethical self-maceration. If you are looking for a monistic, skeptical, and futuristic narrative this book is for you.